# ONLINE JOURNAL OF ANIMAL AND FEED RESEARCH



ISSN 2228-7701

# **Online** Journal of Animal and Feed Research

An International Peer-Reviewed Journal which Publishes in Electronic Format

Volume 11, Issue 1, January 2021



# Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 11 (1): January 25, 2021

## Editorial Team

#### Editors-in-Chief

**Habib Aghdam Shahryar,** PhD, Professor of Animal Nutrition; <u>Director</u> of Department of Animal Science, Islamic Azad University, Shabestar, **IRAN** (<u>Website</u>, <u>Google Scholar</u>, <u>SCOPUS</u>, Email: <u>ha</u> <u>shahryar@iaushab.ac.ir</u>)

Saeid Chekani Azar, PhD, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Animal Physiology, Atatürk University, TURKEY (Google Scholar, SCOPUS, ORCID, Publons; Email: saeid.azar@atauni.edu.tr)

#### Managing Editor

Alireza Lotfi, PhD, Animal Physiology, IAU, IRAN (Google Scholar, SCOPUS, ResearchGate, Publons, Email: arlotfi@gmail.com)

### **Deputy Section Editors**

**Ana Isabel Roca Fernandez**, PhD, Professor, Animal Production Department, Agrarian Research Centre of Mabegondo, 15080 La Coruña, **SPAIN** (Email: anairf@ciam.es); Dairy Science, Plant-Soil Science

**Alireza Ahmadzadeh**, PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Animal Science, IAU, Shabestar, **IRAN** (Email: ahmadzadeh@iaushab.ac.ir); Biometry - Plant Breeding (Biotechnology)

**Arda Yildirim,** PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Gaziosmanpasa University, 60240 Tokat, **TURKEY** (Email: arda.yildirim@gop.edu.tr); Animal Science, Nutrition-non Ruminants, Breeding, Nutritive Value

**Ferdaus Mohd. Altaf Hossain,** DVM, Sylhet Agricultural University, **BANGLADESH** (Email: ferdaus.dps@sau.ac.bd); Microbiology, Immunology, Poultry Science, and Public Health

**John Cassius Moreki**, PhD, Department of Animal Science and Production, College of Agriculture, **BOTSWANA** (Email: jcmoreki@gmail.com); Nutrition - Non-Ruminants, Breeders, Livestock management

**Mohamed Shakal,** Professor & Head of Poultry Diseases Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, **EGYPT**; Director of the Endemic and Emerging Poultry Diseases Research Center, Cairo University, Shek Zaed Branch, **EGYPT**; Chairman of The Egyptian Poultry Forum Scientific Society. REPRESENTATIVE FOR EGYPT & MENA REGION. Email: shakal2000@gmail.com

**Muhammad Saeed,** PhD, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, 712100, **CHINA** (Email: muhammad.saeed@nwsuaf.edu.cn), Nutrition – Ruminants

**Paola Roncada**, PhD, Associate Professor, Veterinary Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Bologna, **ITALY** (Email: paola.roncada@unibo.it); Pharmacokinetics

#### Reviewers

**Abdelfattah Y.M. Nour,** DVM, PhD, Professor of Veterinary Physiology, Purdue University, **USA** (Email: nour@purdue.edu)

Adnan Yousaf, DVM, MPhil of Poultry Science (Gold Medalist), PhD of Avian Embryology; Sindh Agricultural University Tandojam, **PAKISTAN** (Emails: dr.adnan011@gmail.com; dr.adnan@salmanpoultry.com)

**Ahmad Yildiz,** PhD, Professor, Animal Science and Production Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Atatürk University, **TURKEY** (Email: ahmtstar@gmail.com); Nutrition - Ruminants

**Ali Halajian,** PhD, DVM, Professor of Parasitology, Department of Biodiversity, Faculty of Science and Agriculture, University of Limpopo, **SOUTH AFRICA** (Email: ali\_hal572002@yahoo.com) **Alireza Radkhah**, PhD, Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Karaj, **IRAN** (Email: alirezaradkhah@ut.ac.ir); Aquatic Biology, Aquaculture and Fisheries Biotechnology

**Assamnen Tassew,** Bahir Dar University, **ETHIOPIA** (Email: asaminew2@gmail.com); Animal Production and Production System

**Behzad Shokati,** PhD, Department of Agronomy & Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Maragheh University, **IRAN** (Email: behzad\_sh1987@yahoo.com); Agriculture, Nutritive value and utilization of feeds

**Ekrem Laçin,** PhD, Professor of Animal Science, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Atatürk University, **TURKEY** (Email: ekremlacin@hotmail.com); Nutrition - Non-Ruminants

**Fazul Nabi Shar,** PhD, Lecturer, Faculty of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, Lasbela University of Agriculture Water & Marine Sciences, Uthal Balochistan, **PAKISTAN** (Email: fazulnabishar@yahoo.com); Clinical Veterinary Medicine

**Ferdaus Mohd. Altaf Hossain,** DVM, Sylhet Agricultural University, **BANGLADESH;** not shah Jalal University of Science & Technology, **BANGLADESH** (Email: ferdaus.dps@sau.ac.bd); Microbiology, Immunology, Poultry Science

Firew Tegegn, Bahir Dar University, ETHIOPIA (Email: firewtegegne@yahoo.co.uk); Animal Nutritionist

**Hamid Mohammadzadeh**, PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tabriz, **IRAN** (Email: hamidmhz@ag.iut.ac.ir); Nutrition - Ruminants

Hazim Jabbar Al-Daraji, PhD, Professor, University of Baghdad, College of Agriculture, Abu-Ghraib, Baghdad, IRAQ (Email: prof.hazimaldaraji@yahoo.com); Avian Reproduction and Physiology

Manish Kumar, PhD, Professor, Society of Education, INDIA (Email: manishzoology06@gmail.com); Pharmacology, Ethnomedicine

**Megiste Taye,** PhD, Seoul National University, **SOUTH KOREA** (Email: mengistietaye@yahoo.com); Comparative genomics and bioinformatics

**Mohammed Yousuf Kurtu**, Associate Professor, Animal Sciences Department, Haramaya University, Dire-Dawa, **ETHIOPIA** (Email: mkurtu2002@yahoo.com); Animal Science, Nutrition

**Muhammad Saeed**, PhD, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, 712100, **CHINA** (Email: muhammad.saeed@nwsuaf.edu.cn), Nutrition - Ruminants

Nilüfer Sabuncuoğlu Çoban, PhD, Professor, Department of Animal Science and Production, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Atatürk University, TURKEY (Website; Email: ncoban@atauni.edu.tr); Animal Hygiene and Welfare, Physiology

**Osman Erganiş,** Professor, PhD, Veterinary Microbiology, Selcuk University, Konya, **TURKEY** (Website, Google Scholar; Email: <u>oerganis@selcuk.edu.tr</u>)

Ömer Çoban, PhD, Professor, Department of Animal Science and Production, Atatürk University, **TURKEY** (<u>Website</u>; ocoban@atauni.edu.tr); Nutrition - Ruminants

**Paola Roncada,** PhD, Associate Professor, Veterinary Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Bologna, **ITALY** (Email: paola.roncada@unibo.it); Pharmacokinetics

**Raga Mohamed Elzaki Ali,** PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Rural Economics and Development, University of Gezira, **SUDAN** (Email: ragaelzaki@yahoo.co.uk); Animal-feed interactions, Nutritive value

**Rashid Habiballa Osman,** PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Poultry Production, Faculty of Animal Production, West Kordofan University, **SUDAN** (E-mail: rashid@wku.edu.sd); Nutrition - Non-Ruminants

Sesotya Raka Pambuka, MSc, Sinta Prima Feedmill, Poultry and Aqua Feed Formulation, Sulaiman Rd 27A, West Jakarta, INDONESIA

**Shahin Eghbal-Saeid,** PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Animal Science, IAU, Khorasgan (Isfahan), **IRAN** (Email: shahin.eghbal@khuisf.ac.ir); Animal Genetics and Breeding

**Shigdaf Mekuriaw**, Andassa livestock research center, **ETHIOPIA** (Email: shigdafmekuriaw@yahoo.com); Animal production and Nutrition

**Terry Ansah**, PhD, University for Development Studies-Ghana and Harper Adams University College, **UK** (Email: ansahterry@yahoo.com); Nutrition - Ruminants

**Tohid Vahdatpour**, PhD, Assistant Professor, Department of Physiology, IAU, Shabestar, **IRAN** (<u>Scopus</u>; <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>; Emails: vahdatpour@iaushab.ac.ir; tvahdatpour@gmail.com); Physiology and Functional Biology of Systems

**Ümit Acar**, PhD, Department of Aquaculture, Faculty of Fisheries, Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, **TURKEY** (Email: umitacar@mu.edu.tr); Aquaculture, Fish nutrition

**Vassilis Papatsiros,** PhD, Department of Porcine Medicine, University of Thessaly, Trikalon str 224, GR 43100, **GREECE** (Email: vpapatsiros@yahoo.com); Dietary input, Animal and Feed interactions

**Wafaa Abd El-Ghany Abd El-Ghany,** PhD, Associate Professor, Poultry and Rabbit Diseases Department, Cairo University, Giza, **EGYPT** (Email: wafaa.ghany@yahoo.com); Poultry and Rabbit Diseases

Wesley Lyeverton Correia Ribeiro, MSc, DVM, College of Veterinary, Medicine, State University of Ceará, Av. Paranjana, 1700, Fortaleza, BRAZIL (Email: wesleylyeverton@yahoo.com.br); Animal Health and Welfare, Veterinary Parasitology

Yadollah Bahrami, PhD of Biotechnology, Khorasgan Branch, IAU, Khorasgan, IRAN (Email: bahrami97@gmail.com); Nutrition - Non-Ruminants

Yavuz Gurbuz, Professor, University of Kahramanmaras Sutcu Imam, Department of Animal Nutrition, Campus of Avsar, Kahramanmaras, TURKEY (Email: yavuzgurbuz33@gmail.com); Animal Nutrition, Feed Technology and Evaluation

**Zohreh Yousefi,** PhD, Department of Plant Biology, Atatürk University, Erzurum, **TURKEY** (Email: zohreh.yousefi12@ogr.atauni.edu.tr); Plant Biology

Zewdu Edea, Chungbuk National University, SOUTH KOREA (Email: zededeaget@gmail.com); Livestock Population Geneticist

#### Language Editors

Mehrdad Ehsani-Zad, MA in TEFL, Takestan-IA University, IRAN (Email: mehrdad\_single2004@yahoo.com)

**Samuel Stephen Oldershaw,** Master of TESOL, The Humberston School & The Grimsby Institute, North East Lincolnshire, **UK** (Email: s.s.oldershaw@hotmail.com)

#### Advisory Board

**Ali Nobakht**, PhD, Assistant Professor, Animal Science Department, IAU, Maragheh, **IRAN** (Email: anobakht20@yahoo.com); Nutrition - Non-Ruminants

**Fikret Çelebi,** PhD, Professor of Physiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Atatürk University, Erzurum, **TURKEY** (Email: fncelebi@atauni.edu.tr); Physiology and Functional Biology of Systems

**Mohamed Shakal**, Professor, Poultry Diseases Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Cairo University, **EGYPT**; Director of the Endemic and Emerging Poultry Diseases Research Center, Cairo University, Shek Zaed Branch, **EGYPT**; Chairman of The Egyptian Poultry Forum Scientific Society. REPRESENTATIVE FOR EGYPT & MENA REGION. Email: shakal2000@gmail.com

**Naser Maheri Sis,** PhD, Assistant Professor, Dept. Anim. Sci., IAU, Shabestar, **IRAN** (<u>Website</u>; Emails: maherisis@iaushab.ac.ir; nama1349@gmail.com); Nutrition - Ruminants, Nutritive Value, Utilization of Feeds

### Join OJAFR Team

As an international journal we are always striving to add diversity to our editorial board and operations staff. Applicants who have previous experience relevant to the position may be considered for more senior positions (Section Editor, SE) within OJAFR. All other members must begin as Deputy Section Editors (DSE) before progressing on to more senior roles. Editor and editorial board members do not receive any remuneration. These positions are voluntary.

If you are currently an undergraduate, MSc or PhD student at university and interested in working for OJAFR, please fill out the application form below. Once your filled application form is submitted, the board will review your credentials and notify you within a week of an opportunity to membership in editorial board.

If you are Ph.D., assistant or associate editors, distinguished professor, scholars or publisher of a reputed university, please rank the mentioned positions in order of your preference. Please send us a copy of your CV or <u>ORCID ID</u> or briefly discuss any leadership positions and other experiences you have had that are relevant to applied Animal and Feed Researches or publications. This includes courses you have taken, editing, publishing, web design, layout design, and event planning.

If you would like to represent the OJAFR at your university, join our volunteer staff today! OJAFR representatives assist students at their university to submit their work to the OJAFR. You can also, registered as a member of OJAFR for subsequent contacts by email and or invitation for a honorary reviewing articles.

#### **Research Paper**

Toxicity of auto-detoxified *Jatropha curcas* Linnaeus, 1753 kernel cake mixtures with bovine blood (ADMJKC/bb) using brine shrimp *Artemia salina* Linnaeus, 1758.

Ewane D, Oben BO, Ndamukong KJN, Etchu KA, Ehabe EE, Chah JM, Chah KF and Mbu Oben P.

Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 11(1): 01-07, 2021; pii: S222877012100001-11 DOI: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.1</u>



Archive

#### Abstract

In present study, brine shrimp (*Artemia salina* L.) was used to determine the toxicity of auto-detoxified Jatropha kernel cake (JKC) mixed with bovine blood (ADMJKC/bb). The powdered-JKC was mixed with bovine blood (bb) at three ratios (1:1=X, 2:1=Y and 3:1=Z of JKC: bb) and the resultant mixtures processed using four protocols: Heated, Spread Dry = 1, Unheated Spread Dry = 2, Heated Spread Remoisten to 66% dry matter (DM) = 3 and Unheated Spread Remoistened to 66% DM = 4). The resultant 12 treatment combinations (X1, X2, X3, X4, Y1, Y2, Y3, Y4, Z1, Z2, Z3 and Z4) were placed in a Solar *J. curcas* auto-detoxification apparatus from where samples were retrieved periodically and evaluated for detoxification using the brine shrimp lethality test. There were no significant differences within the same ratio of mixes among the four protocols. However, there was a tendency for mean LC<sub>50</sub> values to increase between the ratios. Specifically, Protocol 2 recorded a significant difference between X2 and Z2 treatments (Z2 with LC<sub>50</sub>=4674 and Z4 with LC<sub>50</sub> = 3692) differed significantly from the least two (X1 with LC<sub>50</sub>=1383 and X2 with LC<sub>50</sub>=1459). Addition of bovine blood to JKC increased the dynamics of JKC auto-detoxification, probably due to the presence of some innate auto-detoxifying microbial inoculum and bovine blood which boost the rapid growth, development and succession of these microbes. Thus combining JKC with bovine blood is complementary for JKC auto-detoxification, with the most detoxified ingredients (Z2, Z4 and Y3) appearing most suitable for further development and testing as feed ingredient for farm animals.

Keywords: Auto-detoxification, Bovine blood, Feedstuff, Jatropha, Shrimp.

[Full text-PDF] [HTML] [ePub] [XML] [Export citation to RIS & EndNote] [How to Cite]

#### **Research Paper**

Effect of dietary supplementation of probiotic, phytobiotics or their combination on performance, blood indices and jejunal morphology of laying hens during post peak production. Hidayat R, Yunianto VD, Sukamto B and Sugiharto S.

Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 11(1): 08-12, 2021; pii: S222877012100002-11 DOI: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.2</u>



#### Hidayat R, Yunianto VD, Sukamto B and Sugiharto S (2021). Effect of dietary supplementation of probiotic, phytobiotics or their combination on performance, blood indices and journal morphology of laying hens during post peak production. Online J. Anim. Feed Res. 1(1) 00-120. https://dx.doi.org/10.5122/164610212

#### Abstract

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of dietary supplementation of probiotic (*Lactobacillus acidophilus*), phytobiotics (bay leaves, onion peel and garlic peel) or their combination on blood parameters, morphology of digestive tract and performance of laying hens. The experiment used 144 laying hens aged 72 weeks old, and divided into 6 treatments including Ctl (basal feed as control); Pr (basal feed + 1.2 mL/day of probiotic *L. acidophilus*); Ph2 (basal diet + 2% phytobiotic of diet); PrPh2 (basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 2% phytobiotic); PrPh4 (basal diet +1.2 mL/day probiotic + 4% phytobiotic) and PrPh6 (basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 6% phytobiotic). Feed intake and egg mass were weekly recorded. One chick from each replicate was blood sampled and then slaughtered for data collection. Results showed that treatments had no effect on hemoglobin, erythrocyte and leukocyte of hens. The control hens had higher levels of cholesterol and LDL than that of PrPh2, PrPh4 and PrPh6 hens. HDL level tended to be higher in PrPh2 and PrPh4 as compared to control, the villi height of jejunum was higher in the treated hens, with PrPh4 had the highest villi height. Ileal protein digestibility tended to be higher in the treated than that in control hens. Also, there was a clear tendency that feed conversion ratio was lower in the treated hens than that of control. In conclusion, the combined use of probiotics and phytobiotics improved physiological condition, ileal histomorphology, ileal protein digestibility and feed conversion of laying hens during post peak production. **Keywords:** Garlic, Herb, Laying Hen, Phytobiotic, Probiotic, Probiotic.

[Full text-<u>PDF</u>] [<u>HTML</u>] [<u>ePub</u>] [<u>XML</u>] [Export citation to <u>RIS & EndNote</u>] [How to <u>Cite</u>]

# Review

Efforts, successes and challenges of green feed production in Ethiopia. Assefa Woldemariam G.

Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 11(1): 13-17, 2021; pii: S222877012100003-11 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.3

#### Abstract

Improving the feed supply and digestibility of roughage through green



Assefa Woldemariam G (2021). Efforts, successes and challenges of green feed production in Ethiopia. Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 11(1): 13-17. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.3

feed supplementation was the aim of forage production in Ethiopia. In spite of the time-consumed efforts made, the success is still low. This review summarizes efforts, challenges, good practices and indicates where the focus of future efforts should be. The efforts made in improve forage production (IFP) includes selection of forage species and development strategies, preparation of extension manual, training of stakeholders, formulation of forage seed production system, and introduction of hydroponic fodder. As a result farmers increased milk production and reduce feed cost by 20% and 40 %, respectively, and fatten ruminants in 2 to 3 cycles per year as a result of improved forage use. However, there is no management model for communal grazing land. IFP constrained by long dry period, scarcity of land, irrigation and the subsistence system of livestock production and the free grazing practice. Consequently, the communal grazing lands are devastatingly overstocked and degraded, livestock become dependent on crop residues, IFP practice remains low and livestock feed deficit is common. Therefore, it is recommended that future efforts should focus on designing communal grazing land tenure model and development package. Green forge production (GFP) should concentrate on livestock excluded areas and tree legumes to produce green feed in the dry period. GFP best practices should be expanded to the areas similar to that the practices found effective. Reduce feed deficit by improving crop production to increase the crop residue and the grain yield. The subsistence system of production should be transformed into marketoriented by introducing a technology package that reduces young stock mortality, increases fertility, calf/ lamb crop and livestock offtake rate. Technical simplicity and cost-effectiveness, of the hydroponic fodder system, should be assessed before implemented in a wider setting.

Keywords: Animal Nutrition, Forage, Grazing, Green feed, Livestock.

[Full text-<u>PDF</u>] [<u>HTML</u>] [<u>ePub</u>] [<u>XML</u>] [Export citation to <u>RIS & EndNote</u>] [How to <u>Cite</u>]

## **Research Paper** Effect of lactation length and litter size on milk composition of Boer goat within one month of parturition. Regmi S, Mahato P and Sapkota KhR.

Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 11(1): 18-22, 2021; pii: S222877012100004-11 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.4

#### Abstract

Regmi S, Mahato P, Saplota KhR (2021). Effect of lactation length and litter size on milk composition of Boe goat within one month of parturition. Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 11(1): 18-22. DOI https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/dafr.2021.4

The aim of this study was to determine the effect of lactation length and litter size on the milk composition of Boer goats. Milk samples from 23 lactating Boer goats reared in NLBO (National Livestock Breeding Office), Pokhara, Nepal, were collected and analyzed in a laboratory present within a farm. Goats were reared under the same environment and provided with similar care and management. Lactation length showed a non-significant effect on fat content in the milk whereas all other constituents were influenced significantly. Similarly, litter size showed a significant effect on the freezing point of milk only. Litter size and all milk constituents were negatively correlated. However, Litter size and freezing point showed moderately strong correlation. Twinning did not significant influence fat, protein, lactose and solids nonfat content of goat milk. All constituents were weakly correlated to litter size however freezing point showed moderately strong correlation. Thus, more focus must be given to the nutritional management of triplets and twins to improve their growth rate compared to a single kid.

Keywords: Boer goat, Lactation length, Milk composition, Litter size, Twinning.

[Full text-PDF] [HTML] [ePub] [XML] [Export citation to RIS & EndNote] [How to Cite]

### **Research Paper**

Characteristics of ostrich meat in manufacturing sausage in comparison with beef. Elhashmi YH, Falih SY and Abdalmageed MEI.

Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 11(1): 23-27, 2021; pii: S222877012100005-11 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.5





Elhashmi YH, Falih SY and Abdalmageed MEI (2021). Characteristics of ostrich meat in manufacturing sausage in comparison with beef. Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 11(1): 23-27. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/obar7201.5

Ostrich meat has become one of the most popular meat around the world. The objectives of this study are to evaluate the quality characteristics of processed meat, compared to products made from beef. The Ostrich and beef meat was prepared and stored frozen. Sausage was processed in laboratory and analyzed for chemical composition (crude protein, moisture, fat and ash). Physical properties include Water-holding capacity (WHC), cooking loss and microbiological analysis were done. The findings of this study revealed that, chemical composition of sausage from ostrich and beef had highly significant differences in moisture, crude protein, lipids and ash among all types of products. Physical attribute of sausage revealed that, there were highly significant differences among all types of products. The microbiological in sausage observed that, the highly significant differences in *E. coli, staphylococcus aurous*, total coli form, yeast and mold and total viable count but was not significant differences in Pseudomonas among all types of sausage. The study recommended that ostrich meat can be used on manufacturing of meat as an alternative to beef meat in limited amount. **Keywords:** Animal Product, Meat, Ostrich, Processing, Sausage.

[Full text-<u>PDF</u>] [<u>HTML</u>] [<u>ePub</u>] [<u>XML</u>] [Export citation to <u>RIS & EndNote</u>] [How to <u>Cite</u>]

## **Research Paper**

Biomass yield and quality of fodder from selected varieties of lablab (*Lablab Purpureus* L) in Nandi South Sub-County of Kenya. Wangila AJ, Gachuiri ChK, Muthomi JW and Ojiem JO.

*Online J. Anim. Feed Res.*, 11(1): 28-35, 2021; pii: S222877012100006-11 DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.6



#### Abstract

Low quality feeds is the main challenge ailing livestock production among the small-scale farmers in the tropics. Cheaper sources of alternative high quality fodder supplements are needed to improve livestock productivity. The objective of this study was to determine biomass yield and quality of fodder from selected lablab varieties. Eight lablab varieties namely, DL1002, Ngwara Nyeupe, Echo-Cream, Black-Rongai, Eldo-Kt-Cream, Eldo-Kt-Black1, Brown Rongai and Eldo-Kt-Black2 were established in three sites of Nandi south sub county, Kenya. Randomized complete block design was used at farm level with four replications per site. Data on biomass yield, chemical composition and in vitro-dry matter digestibility of the eight lablab forages was collected. Biomass yield differed significantly among the lablab varieties ranging from 5.6-12.6 t DM/ha across the three sites. Highest biomass yield was recorded for Brown Rongai (12.6 t DM/ha) and lowest with DL1002 (5.6 t DM/ha). Crude protein (CP) content varied significantly between varieties with sites ranging from 19.6-23.9 g/100g. Highest CP was recorded with Eldo-Kt-Cream and Black Rongai (23.9 g/100g and 23.7 g/100g) across the three sites. For all the varieties, Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) ranged from 44.4-48.6 g/100g, acid detergent fibre (ADF) 31.6-35.7 g/100g and acid detergent lignin (ADL) 9.0-11.9 g/100g across the three sites. Highest NDF was recorded with DL1002 (48.6 g/100g), ADF with Eldoret-Kitale-Black2 (35.9 g/100g) and acid detergent lignin with DL1002 (11.7 g/100g). In vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) varied significantly between varieties and sites ranging from 67.6-75.7 g/100g between the varieties across the three sites. Eldo-Kt-cream and Black Rongai had the highest IVDMD (75.7 and 74.4 g/100g) across the three sites. Eldoret-Kitale-Cream and Black Rongai varieties had better dry matter yield, crude protein and low fibre fractions compared to the other varieties signifying their potential to be recommended as supplement to low quality fodder by small-scale farmers.

Keywords: Biomass yield, Digestibility, Feed, Fodder, Lablab.

[Full text-<u>PDF</u>] [<u>HTML</u>] [<u>ePub</u>] [<u>XML</u>] [Export citation to <u>RIS & EndNote</u>] [How to <u>Cite</u>]

**Archive** 

(CC) BY-NC

# **Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research**



and Feed Research

ISSN: 2228-7701

Frequency: Bimonthly

Current Issue: 2021, Vol: 11, No: 1 (January 25)

DOI Prefix: 10.51227



Publisher: <u>SCIENCELINE</u>

Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research is an international peerreviewed journal, publishes the full text of original scientific researches, reviews, and case reports in all fields of animal and feed sciences,

bimonthly and freely on the internet ...view full aims and scope

## www.ojafr.ir

» OJAFR indexed/covered by <u>Scopus</u>, <u>NLM Catalog</u>, <u>CABI</u>, <u>CAS</u>, <u>Ulrich's™</u>, <u>GALE</u>, <u>HINARI, NSD</u>, <u>AKSTEM</u>, <u>BASE</u>, <u>ZDB</u>, <u>ICV</u>, <u>EZB</u> <u>...details</u>

- » Open access full-text articles is available beginning with Volume 1, Issue 1.
- » Full texts and XML articles are available in RICeST.

» This journal is in compliance with <u>Budapest Open Access Initiative</u> and <u>International Committee</u> of <u>Medical Journal Editors' Recommendations</u>.

 ICMIE
 INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE of MEDICAL JOURNAL EDITORS

- » High visibility of articles over the internet.
- » Copyright & Publishing Rights Policy ...details
- » Publisher Item Identifier ...details

» This journal encourage the academic institutions in low-income countries to publish high quality scientific results, free of charges... <u>view Review/Decisions/Processing/Policy</u>





Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research Volume 11, Issue 1: 01-07; January 25, 2021



Received: July 11, 2020 Revised: January 10, 2021

Accepted: January 11, 2021

PII: S222877012100001-11

λ

**ESEARCH ARTICLE** 

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.1

# TOXICITY OF AUTO-DETOXIFIED Jatropha curcas Linnaeus, 1753 KERNEL CAKE MIXTURES WITH BOVINE BLOOD (ADMJKC/BB) USING BRINE SHRIMP Artemia salina Linnaeus, 1758

Divine EWANE<sup>1</sup>, Benedicta O. OBEN<sup>2</sup>, Kenneth Jacob Ngoh NDAMUKONG<sup>1</sup>, Kingsley A. ETCHU<sup>3</sup>, Eugene E. EHABE<sup>3</sup>, Jane M. CHAH<sup>4</sup>, Kennedy F. CHAH<sup>5</sup> and Pius MBU OBEN<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, University of Buea, PO Box 63, Buea, Cameroon <sup>2</sup>Department of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources Management, Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, University of Buea, PO Box 63,

<sup>3</sup>Directorate of Scientific Research, Institute of Agricultural Research for Development, P.O. Box 2123, Yaounde, Cameroon

<sup>4</sup>Department of Agricultural Extension, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu State, Nigeria

<sup>5</sup>Department of Veterinary Pathology and Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu State, Nigeria

Email: ewane.divine@ubuea.cm ; OOOC-0002-7807-4056

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: In present study, brine shrimp (Artemia salina L.) was used to determine the toxicity of autodetoxified Jatropha kernel cake (JKC) mixed with bovine blood (ADMJKC/bb). The powdered-JKC was mixed with bovine blood (bb) at three ratios (1:1=X, 2:1=Y and 3:1=Z of JKC: bb) and the resultant mixtures processed using four protocols: Heated, Spread Dry = 1, Unheated Spread Dry = 2, Heated Spread Remoisten to 66% dry matter (DM) = 3 and Unheated Spread Remoistened to 66% DM = 4). The resultant 12 treatment combinations (X1, X2, X3, X4, Y1, Y2, Y3, Y4, Z1, Z2, Z3 and Z4) were placed in a Solar J. curcas auto-detoxification apparatus from where samples were retrieved periodically and evaluated for detoxification using the brine shrimp lethality test. There were no significant differences within the same ratio of mixes among the four protocols. However, there was a tendency for mean  $LC_{50}$  values to increase between the ratios. Specifically, Protocol 2 recorded a significant difference between X2 and Z2 treatments, having 1:1 and 3:1 JKC: bb mixes respectively. Upon ranking the level of auto-detoxification, the most detoxified treatments (Z2 with LC50=4674 and Z4 with LC<sub>50</sub> =3692) differed significantly from the least two (X1 with LC<sub>50</sub>=1383 and X2 with LC<sub>50</sub>=1459). Addition of bovine blood to JKC increased the dynamics of JKC auto-detoxification, probably due to the presence of some innate auto-detoxifying microbial inoculum and bovine blood which boost the rapid growth, development and succession of these microbes. Thus combining JKC with bovine blood is complementary for JKC auto-detoxification, with the most detoxified ingredients (Z2, Z4 and Y3) appearing most suitable for further development and testing as feed ingredient for farm animals.

Keywords: Auto-detoxification, Bovine blood, Feedstuff, Jatropha, Shrimp.

Abbreviations: ADJKC; auto-detoxified Jatropha kernel cake; ADMJKC/bb: auto-detoxified mixtures Jatropha kernel cake and bovine blood; ANOVA: analysis of variance; BSLT: Brine Shrimp Lethality Test; bb: bovine blood; CMJKC/bbE: crude methanol auto-detoxified mixtures of Jatropha kernel cake with bovine blood extracts; DJADA: Diffuse daylight Jatropha curcas auto-detoxification apparatus; DMSO: dimethyl sulfoxide; DMRT: Duncan's Multiple Range Test; FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; IBM: International Business Machines; JKC: Jatropha kernel cake; LC50: Lethal concentration killing 50% of test organisms; NRC: National Research Council; SJADA: Solar Jatropha curcas auto-detoxification apparatus; SPSS: Statistical package for social sciences; UDC: un-moistened diffuse daylight spread; USS: un-moistened solar spread; X1: Jatropha kernel cake and bovine blood, mixed at a ratio of 1:1. Heated, spread dried without remoistening; X2: Jatropha kernel cake and bovine blood, mixed at a ratio of 1:1. Unheated, spread dried without remoistening; X3: Jatropha kernel cake and bovine blood, mixed at a ratio of 1:1. Heated, spread dried, remoisten to 66% dry matter; X4: Jatropha kernel cake and bovine blood, mixed at a ratio of 1:1. Unheated, spread dried, Remoistened to 66% dry matter; Y1: Jatropha kernel cake and bovine blood, mixed at a ratio of 2:1. Heated, spread dried without remoistening; Y2: Jatropha kernel cake and bovine blood, mixed at a ratio of 2:1. Unheated, spread dried without remoistening; Y3: Jatropha kernel cake and bovine blood, mixed at a ratio of 2:1. Heated, spread dried, remoisten to 66% dry matter; Y4: Jatropha kernel cake and bovine blood, mixed at a ratio of 2:1. Unheated, spread dried, remoistened to 66% dry matter; Z1: Jatropha kernel cake and bovine blood, mixed at a ratio of 3:1. Heated, spread dried without remoistening; Z2: Jatropha kernel cake and bovine blood, mixed at a ratio of 3:1. Unheated, spread dried without remoistening; Z3: Jatropha kernel cake and bovine blood, mixed at a ratio of 3:1. Heated, spread dried, remoisten to 66% dry matter; Z4: Jatropha kernel cake and bovine blood, mixed at a ratio of 3:1. Unheated, spread dried, Remoistened to 66% dry matter.

#### INTRODUCTION

Jatropha curcas has shown some promise as a crop from which biofuels can be produced without compromising human food needs (Nithiyanantham et al., 2012; Maghuly and Laimer, 2013). However, despite global excitement on its potentials, at current levels of technology, value-addition from its by-products and co-products is necessary in order for viability of the sector to be ensured (Makkar et al., 2012). One of the by-products being evaluated in this regard is Jatropha kernel cake (JKC), the remnants obtained after extraction of oil from Jatropha kernels that have a potential for use as animal feed (Nithiyanantham et al., 2012; Che Hamzah et al., 2020). Except for lysine, its nutrient profile surpasses the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO)'s reference protein (Makkar et al., 1998).

Buea, Cameroon

However, it is toxic to humans and animals because of its phorbol esters and other anti- nutrients (trypsin inhibitors, lectins and phytate) and therefore requires detoxification prior to use (Sharath et al., 2014; Rodríguez-González et al., 2018).

Several methods targeting the larger industries and Jatropha farm holdings have been employed and categorised with varying degrees of success to detoxify JKC (Ewane et al., 2017). However, the need for simple cottage techniques for small and large- scale operations persists. Auto-detoxification of JKC has therefore been developed as a pro-poor and prorural detoxification method for JKC (Ewane et al., 2017).

Present study aimed to determine the toxicity of auto-detoxified mixtures JKC and bovine blood (ADMJKC/bb) on brine shrimp (Artemia salina L.). The strategy was to manipulate endogenous and environmental factors that can enhance self-detoxification in mixtures of JKC and bovine blood and identify the most promising treatment combinations. Bovine blood, often used to produce blood meal, highly supports microbial growth, and also represents one of the richest sources of lysine (NRC, 1994). Hence, the (ADMJKC/bb) mix shall enhance the nutrient profile and detoxification rate of JKC. Furthermore, the powdery ground JKC shall easily trap the liquid bovine blood and reduce the need for further processing of blood meal by small-scale farmers (Nithiyanantham and Francis, 2012).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Study Location**

The study used the facilities of the Teaching and Research Farm, University of Buea, Cameroon (located at 4.1667° N, 9.2333° E, 578 m asl). J. curcas seeds were harvested from farms, live fences and plantations in four of Cameroon's five agro-ecological zones (Figure 1): Maroua in the Sudano-Sahel zone, Ngaoundere in the High Guinean Savana, Bamenda in the Western Highlands and Mamfe in the Humid Forest zone with a mono-modal rainfall pattern. Bovine blood was collected from the main abattoir in the town of Buea.

#### Preparation and pre-treatment of J. curcas kernel cake and bovine blood mix

J. curcas seeds were cracked open (using two hard boards) and the extracted kernels de-oiled using a hydraulic press. The J. curcas kernel cake (JKC) obtained was then finely ground using a plate mill and the powder homogenized by hand mixing before further mixing with bovine blood in three different proportions (1/1, 2/1 and 3/1).

Twelve combinations were developed for production and evaluation based on four processing protocols of JKC mixtures with bovine blood (bb) at three mix ratios (Table 1). The mixes were

chosen by serially increasing the quantity of JKC in a fixed amount of bovine blood. This gave combination protocols from three ratio mixes and four auto-detoxification treatments. These protocols were inspired by previous studies on the effects of moisture (Abou-



FEDERAL

REPUBLIC

OF NIGER

CHAD

(1200 mm)

CENTRAL

agro-ecological zones where J. curcas seeds were collected.

Arab and Abu-Salem, 2010), spreading (Schmidt and Hecker, 1975) and heat (Aregheore et al., 2003; Martinez-Herrera et al., 2006) on degradation of phobol esters and/or associated anti- nutrients of JKC.

Each combination was replicated 4 times and placed in steel plates arranged on two tables within the performant SJADA (Ewane et al., 2017), operated at full detoxification mode with the air access inlet closed to the minimum level of 50 cm<sup>2</sup>. Six of the 12 treatment combinations (Protocol code 3 and code 4: X3, Y3, Z3, X4, Y4 and Z4) were remoistened to 66 % dry matter daily, while the other six (Protocol code 1 and code2: X1, Y1, Z1, X2, Y2 and Z2) were not remoistened. About 10 g of sample were collected weekly from each replicate to evaluate the level of auto-detoxification

Table 1 - Treatment codes of JKC/bb mixing ratios, auto-detoxification and moistening cycles.									
Protocol	Auto-detoxification treatment of JKC: bb combination Protocol	JKC: bb mixing ratio							
Code	Code		Y (2:1)	Z (3:1)					
1	Heat, spread and dried - No remoistening	X1	Y1	Z1					
3	Heat, spread and dried - Remoistened to 66% dry matter	ХЗ	Y3	Z3					
2	No heat, spread and dried - No remoistening	X2	Y2	Z2					
4	No heat, spread and dried - Remoistened to 66% dry matter	X4	Y4	Z4					

Citation: Ewane D, Oben BO, Ndamukong KJN, Etchu KA, Ehabe EE, Chah JM, Chah KF and Mbu Oben P (2021). Toxicity of auto-detoxified Jatropha curcas Linnaeus, 1753 kernel cake mixtures with bovine blood (ADMJKC/bb) using brine shrimp Artemia salina Linnaeus, 1758. Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 11(1): 01-07. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.1

#### Preparation of crude methanol auto-detoxified mixtures of JKC/bovine blood extracts (CMJKC/bbE)

A sample of about 40 g (10 g per replicate) was collected weekly from each treatment and analysed to determine the progress of auto-detoxification. The replicates of each treatment were pooled and further dried within the apparatus for another week, homogenised and powdered. From the powdered mass, 20 g were placed in an extraction bottle containing 200 mL methanol and the methanolic extract obtained by regularly stirring the whole for 72 h using a rotatory stirrer. The extract was then filtered through Whatman No. 541 filter papers and the crude methanol auto-detoxified mixtures of JKC/bovine blood extracts (CMJKC/bbE) obtained by evaporation of the solvent using a rotary evaporator.

#### Brine shrimp bioassay

The brine shrimp lethality test (Meyer et al., 1982) was used, with some modifications, to test the toxicity of CMJKC/bbE. Brine shrimps (*Artemia salina* Leach) were hatched from eggs in rectangular dishes containing sea water. The dishes were sub-divided by a perforated barrier into two unequal chambers and kept under constant aeration. The bigger chamber receiving the eggs was in the dark while the smaller chamber receiving the hatched napuli in anticipation was under constant light. Forty-eight hours was allowed for the eggs to hatch and the phototropic napuli to mature. At each turn, 10 napuli were drawn using a pipette and placed in a marked vial containing 4 ml of natural seawater. Prior to this, a CMJKC/bbE stock solution of 100,000 ppm was prepared by dissolving 200 mg of CMJKC/bbE in 2 ml of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). The stock solution was then diluted serially with natural seawater to give a series of concentrations for testing (10 000, 1000, 100, 10 and 1 ppm). Three replicates of each concentration were prepared. From each predilution, 1 ml containing CMJKC/bbE, seawater and DMSO was added to the pre-marked vials containing 4 ml of natural sea water and 10 napuli to make a total volume of 5 ml. Negative controls were just dilutions of DMSO in seawater without CMJKC/bbE while un-detoxified whole Jatropha kernel cake served as the positive control.

The vials were incubated under light for 24 h, after which manual counting of dead and immobile napuli at bottom of vial commenced, against a lit background with the aid of a 3X magnifying hand lens. The mortality was calculated as the percentage ratio of the number of dead napuli to the total number of napuli tested after corrections to account for mortalities recorded in the control (Abbott, 1925) as shown in equation 1. Subsequently corrections were made for 0% and 100% as proposed by Ghosh (1984) and presented in equation 2 and equation 3, respectively.

Corrected mortality (%) =  $\{(M_{obs} - M_{con})/(100 - M_{con})\}x100$  ...... Eqn. 1 Where,

Mobs and Mcon were the respective observed and control mortalities.

Where,	
100 % Corrected mortality (%) = $100 x (n - 0.25/n)$	 Eqn. 3
0% Corrected mortality (%) = 100 x (0.25 x n)	 Eqn. 2

n is the number of test animals in each group.

#### **Determination of lethal concentration**

The lethal concentration of CMJKC/bbE resulting in 50 % mortality of brine shrimp (LC<sub>50</sub>) was determined from the 24 h counts by a plot of percentage of the shrimps killed against the logarithm of the CMJKC/bbE concentration and the best-fit line was obtained from the curve data by means of regression analysis (MS Excel version 7). The LC<sub>50</sub> was derived from the slope of the best-fit line obtained.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Levene's Test for Equality of Variances was performed on LC<sub>50</sub>. Also LC<sub>50</sub> values were subjected to a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the significance of the differences between means tested using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) (P<0.05). The software used was the IBM SPSS Statistics version 22 (IBM Corp. Released 2013). The most promising ADMJKC/bb treatments were selected after ranking the LC<sub>50</sub> from the largest to the smallest values, with the largest values indicating the least toxicity. ANOVA and DMRT were used to detect significant difference of the LC<sub>50</sub> values of ADMJKC/bb treatments

#### RESULTS

The evolution of LC<sub>50</sub> of crude methanol auto-detoxified mixtures of JKC/bovine blood extracts (CMJKC/bbE) with duration of incubation have been presented in Figure 2, while: comparison of 3 week means of LC<sub>50</sub> of crude methanol auto-detoxified mixtures of JKC/bovine blood extracts (CMJKC/bbE) is in Table 2. By the third week of the trial, all the 12 treatments had LC<sub>50</sub> values above 1000. This indicates they were all substantially detoxified. There were no significant differences (P>0.05) among the four Auto-detoxification treatment of JKC: bb combination protocols when compared within rations for each ratio of mixes.

However, there was a general tendency for mean LC<sub>50</sub> values to increase across ratios as quantity of JKC increased in the mixture. The only exception was Protocol code3 (the Heat, spread and dried - Remoistened to 66% dry matter

protocol). In Protocol code 3, the 2:1 ratio (Y3) is higher than both the 1:1ratio (X3) and 1:3 ratios (Z3). In addition, Protocol code2 (the no heat, spread and dried - no remoistening protocol) recorded a significant difference (P<0.05) between X2 and Z2 treatments which are compared across ratios.

The ranking of mean LC<sub>50</sub> (Table 3) indicates that the most detoxified treatments were Z2=3,047.22, Z4=2, 0130.67 and Y3=1,997.64, while the least detoxified were X2=653.65 X1=736.96 and Y1= 1,092.93. The top two most detoxified treatments (Z2 and Z4) differed significantly (P<0.05) from the least two (X1 and X2).

Of all the treatments evaluated, the extent of lethality was found to be proportional to the concentration of the CMJKCE/bbE. High mortalities were recorded at 1000 ppm and 10,000 ppm, while lower mortalities were recorded at 1 ppm and 10 ppm. The graphical representations of the corrected brine shrimp mortalities in week 3 for the most and least detoxified treatments as well as the un treated whole Jatropha kernel cake control are shown in Figure 3.



Figure 2 - Evolution of LC<sub>50</sub> of crude methanolic auto-detoxified mixtures of JKC/bovine blood extracts (CMJKC/bbE) with duration of incubation

# **Table 2 -** Comparison, LC<sub>50</sub> of crude methanol auto-detoxified mixtures of JKC/bovine blood extracts (CMJKC/bbE) mean of 3 weeks

Protocol	Auto-detoxification treatment of	JKC: bb mixing ratio					
Code	JKC: bb combination Protocol	X (1:1)	Y (2:1)	Z (3:1)			
1	Heat, spread an d dried - No remoistening	X1 737.0 ± 844.7 ª	Y1 1092.93 ± 783.83 ab	Z1 1110.46 ± 652.12 ªb			
2	No heat, spread and dried - No remoistening	X2 653.7 ± 604.5 ª	Y2 1700.64 ± 771.72 <sup>ab</sup>	Z2 3047.22 ± 553.13 ⁵			
3	Heat, spread and dried - Remoistened to 66% dry matter	X3 1248.5 ± 815.2 ªb	Y3 1997.64 ± 643.05 <sup>ab</sup>	Z3 1498.18 ± 406.18 ªb			
4	No heat, spread and dried - Remoistened to 66% dry matter	X4 1796.5 ± 323.7 <sup>ab</sup>	Y4 1794.53 ± 470.58 <sup>ab</sup>	Z4 2130.67 ± 563.01 ⁵			
<sup>a,b,c:</sup> Mean L	a.b.: Mean LC50 values with different superscript differ significantly (P<0.05).						

#### Table 3 - Ranking of LC<sub>50</sub> of crude methanol auto-detoxified mixtures of JKC/bovine blood extracts (CMJKC/bbE)

Treatment	Mean ( $\pm$ sem) LC <sub>50</sub>	Group	RANK	Best fit equation	R <sup>2</sup>
Z2	3047.22 ± 553.13	b	1	y = 22.568x - 32.795	0.8502
Z4	2130.67 ± 563.01	b	2		
Y3	1997.64 ± 643.05	ab	3	y = 23.337x - 32.795	0.8941
X4	1796.54 ± 323.73	ab	4		
Y4	1794.53 ± 470.58	ab	5		
Y2	1700.64 ± 771.72	ab	6		
Z3	1498.18 ± 406.18	ab	7		
X3	1248.46 ± 815.19	ab	8		
Z1	1110.46 ± 652.12	ab	9		
Y1	1092.93 ± 783.83	ab	10		
X1	736.96 ± 844.73	а	11	y = 21.415x - 21.645	0.8254
X2	653.65 ± 604.48	а	12	y = 21.799x - 18.954	0.9534
JS Control	0.05			y = 8.7287x + 61.229	0.6719
Values are means ± stand (P<0.05).	dard deviations of results obt	ained after 3 wee	ks. <sup>a,b,c:</sup> Mean LC	50 values with different superscript di	ffer significantly

Citation: Ewane D, Oben BO, Ndamukong KJN, Etchu KA, Ehabe EE, Chah JM, Chah KF and Mbu Oben P (2021). Toxicity of auto-detoxified Jatropha curcas Linnaeus, 1753 kernel cake mixtures with bovine blood (ADMJKC/bb) using brine shrimp Artemia salina Linnaeus, 1758. Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 11(1): 01-07. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.1



#### DISCUSSION

According to Meyer et al. (1982), several extracts derived from natural products which had  $LC_{50} \leq 1000 \mu g/ml$  using Brine Shrimp Lethality Test (BSLT) were known to contain physiologically active principles while those with  $LC_{50}$  values > 1000 ppm were considered inactive. This indicates that all the 12 ADMJKC/bb ingredients were substantially detoxified after 3 weeks of exposure in the SJADA. The evolution of the  $LC_{50}$  values indicates that detoxification was more rapid with increasing content of JKC in the ADMJKC/bb ingredients. Two ingredients containing a ratio of 3:1 JKC: bb (Z2 and Z4) and two containing JKC: bb ratio of 2:1 (Y3 and Y4) all had  $LC_{50}$  values above 1000 within the first week of auto detoxification compared to just one ingredient containing a ratio of 1:1 JKC: bb (X4). Nonetheless, this level of auto-detoxification for even the least ratio mix of JKC with bovine blood is remarkably rapid, when compared to  $LC_{50}$  values of auto-detoxified JKC (ADJKC) ingredients produced solo without addition of bovine blood as reported in Ewane et al. (2017). In that study which was performed under similar conditions as the current study, it took 4 weeks for just 3 out of 14 ADJKC test ingredients on trial, to attain  $LC_{50}$  values above 1000.

Therefore, the addition of bovine blood to JKC probably changed the dynamics of auto- detoxification. According to Thomas (1988), in the live animal blood is generally a sterile medium, possessing innate bacteriostatic and bactericidal abilities. Such antibacterial effects are clearer with gram negative bacteria such as *E-coli*, and non-virulent strains of *Vibro cholerae, Haemophilus influenae*, salmonellae and shigellae. Out of the animal, however, bovine blood is a rich nutrient medium encouraging the growth of several microbes. Adding bovine blood to JKC may therefore increase the range of microbes in the mixture which would rapidly increase the level of auto-detoxification. Kasuya et al. (2013) increased the level of bio- detoxification of JSC by adding 10% eucalyptus bark. From the data obtained, they deduced that the importance of adding eucalyptus bark served to balance carbon and nitrogen and decrease the fat content, thus resulting in improved fungal growth. They concluded that their results support the hypothesis that phorbol ester degradation occurs because of co-metabolism by the enzymes responsible for lignin de-polymerization.

Even though the differences were not significant (P>0.05), ADMJKC/bb produced by subsequent remoistening irrespective of whether they were preheated or not (Protocol code 3 and code 4: Z3, Y3, X3, Z4, Y4, X4) as a group were among the top most detoxified, while the ADMJKC/bb preheated without subsequent remoistening (Protocol code 1: X1, Y1 and Z1) were the least detoxified. Interestingly, the actual most detoxified, median most detoxified and actual least detoxified ADMJKC/bb ingredients were respectively Z2, Y2 and X2, from protocol code 2. These ingredients were unheated and un-remoistened; the difference between the three ingredients was the ratio of mixing JKC with bovine blood. They were respectively mixed at 3:1, 2:1 and 1:1 for Z2, Y2 and X2. The protocol code 1 (Z1, Y1, and X1) ADMJKC/bb ingredients which were the least detoxified as a group followed a similar detoxification ranking with Z1 as the most detoxified and X1 as the least detoxified for that group. What was common among the Protocol code 1 and code 2: Z1, Y1, X1 Z2, Y2, X2 groups was that they were all undergoing a form of unperturbed solid state auto-detoxification compared to their daily remoistened counterparts of Protocol code 3 and code 4 (Z3, Y3, X3 & Z4, Y4, X4). The only

difference is that while the Protocol Code 1 (Z1, Y1, X1) group was heated, the Protocol code 2 (Z2, Y2, X2) group was unheated. Similarly, while the Protocol code 3 (Z3, Y3, X3) group was preheated, the protocol code 4 (Z4, Y4, X4) group was unheated.

The differences observed among the various ratio mixes of JKC with blood therefore, probably indicate that the higher the ratio of JKC in the mixture, the higher the level of innate auto-detoxifying microbial inoculum. The hypothesis that needs to be verified is that "Microbes supporting auto-detoxification are present on the JKC and either act or wait for their opportunity to act in an ecological succession. Bovine blood provides a trigger (probably nutrients in simple molecules plus additional microbes) which boosts the rapid growth, development and succession of these microbes". Evidence from an earlier study by Ewane et al. (2017) in support of this hypothesis is that powdered JKC treatments with neither addition of water nor lye (un-moistened solar spread-USS- and un-moistened diffuse daylight spread-UDC-) treatments were substantially detoxified, having  $LC_{50}$  of 63.89 and 5.22 respectively after four weeks of exposure in the Solar *J. curcas* Auto-detoxification Apparatus (SJADA) and Diffuse Daylight *J. curcas* Auto-detoxification Apparatus (DJADA) respectively. The observation that the ADMJKC/bb preheated without subsequent remoistening (Protocol code 1: X1, Y1 and Z1) as a group were the least detoxified is a pointer that the initial inoculum was probably destroyed by heat and a succession was slower to take off in the absence of added moisture. The abnormal higher ranking of Y3 over Z3 in Protcol code 3 is possibly an indication that release of nutrients and other factors from bovine blood as a proportion to available microbial inoculum to auto-detoxifying microbes in heat treated and subsequently remoistened ADMJKC/bb could also be a determinant to the rate of auto- detoxification.

Furthermore, the results of Chikpah and Demuyakor (2012), who observed that approximately 60% reduction in crude phorbol ester levels can be achieved within 21 days of spontaneous fermentation of *J. curcas* kernel meal, supports the hypothesis that JKC contains some innate auto-detoxifying microbial inoculum. As defined in Ewane et al. (2017), auto-detoxification is a self-detoxification process induced by endogenous and environmental factors including enzymes, microbes, sunlight, temperature, humidity and wind. It is the natural way to transform the toxic *J. curcas* seeds into an innocuous material. These processes take considerable time under natural conditions but their duration can be shortened by human manipulation. The results of this present study therefore highlight the possible role of microbes in the auto-detoxification process. Working with pure microbial cultures, some authors including Belewu and Akande (2010), Belewu et al. (2010), Bose and Keharia (2013), Kasuya et al. (2013) and Azhar et al. (2014) have confirmed the role of fungi in the detoxification of JKC, while others including El-Zelaky et al. (2011), Phengnuam and Suntornsuk (2013), Widiyastuti et al. (2013) and Chang et al. (2014) have confirmed the role of bacteria in the detoxification of JKC.

All the 12 ADMJKC/bb ingredients were substantially detoxified; however, the top three most detoxified ingredients (Z2, Z4 and Y3) have been selected for further development. Any of the bottom nine (X4, Y4,Y2, Z3,X3,Z1,Y1,X1, and X2) may still be tested with animal models for further differentiation.

#### CONCLUSION

The addition of bovine blood to Jatropha Kernel Cake (JKC) changed the dynamics of auto-detoxification. Microbes supporting auto- detoxification are present on the JKC and either act or wait for their opportunity to act in an ecological succession. Bovine blood provides a trigger (probably nutrients in simple molecules plus additional microbes) which boosts the rapid growth development and succession of these microbes. Also, blood, which is the richest natural source of lysine, could supplement the reported lysine deficiency in JKC and the combined auto-detoxified mixtures Jatropha kernel cake and bovine blood; (ADMJKC/bb), would potentially become a better feed ingredient than either blood meal alone or JKC alone. Consequently, the top three most detoxified ingredients (Z2, Z4 and Y3) have been selected for further development and testing as feed ingredient for farm animals. However any of the bottom nine (X4, Y4,Y2, Z3,X3,Z1,Y1,X1, and X2) may still be tested with animal models for further differentiation.

#### DECLARARATIONS

#### Corresponding author's Email: ewane.divine@ubuea.cm ; Ocric: 0000-0002-7807-4056-

#### Authors' contribution:

D.Ewane conceived the study, designed the study, collected data, contributed in data analysis, and writing the manuscript, coordinated the inputs of all the other authors; B.O.Oben and K.J.N.Ndamukong performed critical reviewing of the manuscript and supervision of the study; K.A.Etchu performed critical reviewing of the manuscript; E.E.Ehabe contributed in design of study, data analysis and writing the manuscript; J.M.Chah contributed in data collection and write up of the manuscript; K.F.Chah contributed in conception of the study, design of study, data analysis and critical reviewing of the study, data analysis and critical reviewing of the study.

#### **Competing interest**

The authors have not declared any conflict of interest

#### Acknowledgements

Thanks to the University of Buea for financial support to purchase the Jatropha seeds.

Citation: Ewane D, Oben BO, Ndamukong KJN, Etchu KA, Ehabe EE, Chah JM, Chah KF and Mbu Oben P (2021). Toxicity of auto-detoxified Jatropha curcas Linnaeus, 1753 kernel cake mixtures with bovine blood (ADMJKC/bb) using brine shrimp Artemia salina Linnaeus, 1758. Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 11(1): 01-07. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.1

#### REFERENCES

- Abou-Arab A and Abu-Salem FM (2010). Nutritional quality of Jatropha curcas seeds and effect of some physical and chemical treatments on their anti-nutritional factors. African Journal of Food Science, 4(3): 93-103. <u>https://doi.org/10.5897/AJFS.9000205</u> I <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>
- Aregheore EM, Becker K and Makkar HPS (2003). Detoxification of a toxic variety of Jatropha curcas using heat and chemical treatments, and preliminary nutritional evaluation with rats. South Pacific Journal of Natural Science, 21(1): 50-56. <u>http://repository.usp.ac.fj/id/eprint/2745</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Azhar N, Norhani A, Wan Z, Syahida A, Ehsan O, Faridah A and Youssuf G (2014). Detoxification of toxic phorbol esters from malaysian Jatropha curcas Linn. kernel by Trichoderma spp., and Endophytic Fungi. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 15(2): 2274-2288. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.3390%2Fijms15022274 I Google Scholar</u>
- Belewu MA and Akande BA (2010). Biological upgrading of the nutritional quality of Jatropha curcas kernel cake: effect on performance characteristics of goat. International Research Journal of Biotechnology, 1(2): 19-22. <u>http://www.interesjournals.org/IRJOB</u> I <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>
- Belewu MA and Sam R (2010). Solid state fermentation of Jatropha curcas kernel cake: proximate composition and anti- nutritional components. Journal of Yeast and Fungi Research, 1 (3): 44-46. <u>https://doi.org/10.5897/JYFR.9000046</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Belewu MA, Belewu KY and Ogunsola FO (2010). Nutritive value of dietary fungi treated Jatropha curcas kernel cake: voluntary intake, growth and digestibility coefficient of goat. Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America, 1 (2): 135 138. https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.212.9286&rep=rep1&type=pdf | Google Scholar
- Bose A and Keharia H (2013). Phorbol ester degradation in Jatropha seedcake using white rot fungi. 3 Biotech, 4(4): 447-450. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-013-0174-9 I Google Scholar
- Chang CF, Weng JH, Lin KY, Liu LY and Yang SS (2014). Phorbol esters degradation and enzyme production by Bacillus using Jatropha seed cake as substrate. International Journal of Environmental Pollution and Remediation, 2(1):30-36. https://ijepr.avestia.com/2014/005.html I Google Scholar
- Che Hamzah NH, Khairuddin N, Siddique BM, and Hassan MA (2020). Potential of *Jatropha curcas* L. as biodiesel feedstock in Malaysia: a concise review. Processes, 8: 786. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8070786</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Chikpah SK and Demuyakor B (2012). Effects of spontaneous solid-state fermentation on the proximate and antinutrients composition of Jatropha curcas kernel meals obtained from four different agro-climatic areas of Ghana: detoxification approach. International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research, 1(11): 112-117 <u>https://www.ijstr.org/paper-references.php?ref=IJSTR-1212-5603</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- El-Zelaky OA, Khalifa El, Mohamed AH, Bahera KM and Hussein AM (2011). Productive and reproductive performance of Rahmani male lambs fed rations containing Jatropha cake. Egyptian Journal of Sheep & Goat Sciences, 6 (2): 15–24. <u>https://ejsgs.journals.ekb.eg/article\_27026\_1517df0f650691d766ca06ef28525321.pdf</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Ewane D, Oben PM, Ndamukong KJN, Oben BO, Etchu KA and Chah KF (2017). Evaluating auto-detoxification of Jatropha curcas Linnaeus, 1753 kernel cake with brine shrimp Artemia salina (Linnaeus, 1758) lethality test. African Journal of Biotechnology, 16 (31): 1660-1669. <u>https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB2017.15889</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Ghosh MN (1984). Fundamentals of Experimental Pharmacology. Scientific Book Agency, Second Edition, Calcutta. P 25. https://www.worldcat.org/title/fundamentals-of-experimental-pharmacology/oclc/759909460 | Google Scholar

IBM Corp. Released (2013). IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. Google Scholar

- Kasuya MCM, Rodrigues da Luz JM, Pereira LP da S, Soares da Silva J, Montavani HC and Rodrigues MT (2013). Bio-detoxification of jatropha seed cake and its use in animal feed. Intech Open, Croatia. Available from: <a href="https://www.intechopen.com/books/biodiesel-feedstocks-production-and-applications/bio-detoxification-of-jatropha-seed-cake-and-its-use-as-animal-feed">https://www.intechopen.com/books/biodiesel-feedstocks-production-and-applications/bio-detoxification-of-jatropha-seed-cake-and-its-use-as-animal-feed</a> I Google Scholar
- Maghuly F and Laimer M (2013). Jatropha curcas, a biofuel crop: functional genomics for understanding metabolic pathways and genetic improvement. Biotechnology Journal, 8(10): 1172–1182. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.201300231</u> | <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Makkar HPS, Aderibigbe AO and Becker K (1998). Comparative evaluation of non-toxic and toxic varieties of Jatropha curcas for chemical composition, digestibility, protein degradability and toxic factors. Food Chemistry, 62 (2): 207-215. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(97)00183-0</u> I Google Scholar
- Martinez-Herera J, Siddhuraju P, Francis G, Davila-Ortiz G and Becker K (2006). Chemical composition, toxic/antimetabolic constituents, and effects of different treatments on their levels, in four provenances of *Jatropha curcas*, from Mexico. Food Chemistry, 96(1): 80-89. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.01.059</u> I Google Scholar
- Meyer BN, Ferrigni NR, Putnam JE, Jacobsen LB and McLaughlin JL (1982). Brine Shrimp: a convenient general bioassay for active plant constituents. Planta Medica, 54(5): 31-34. <u>https://www.thieme-connect.com/products/ejournals/abstract/10.1055/s-2007-971236</u> I Google Scholar
- Nithiyanantham S, Siddhuraju P, and Francis G (2012). Potential of Jatropha curcas as a biofuel, animal feed and health products. Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society, 89: 961–972 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s11746-012-2012-3">https://doi.org/10.1007/s11746-012-2012-3</a> I Google Scholar
- NRC (1994). Nutrient requirements for Poultry. 9th Ed. National Academy Press Washington DC. USA https://doi.org/10.17226/2114
- Phengnuam T and Suntornsuk W (2013). Detoxification and anti-nutrients reduction of Jatropha curcas seed cake by Bacillus fermentation. Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering, 115(2): 168-172. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2012.08.017</u> I <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>
- Rodríguez-González H, López-Aguilar MR, Fonseca-Madrigal J, Martínez-Palacios CA, and García-Ulloa M (2018). Use of a mixture of vegetal (Jatropha curcas) and animal (fish silage) byproducts as protein source in shrimp (Litopenaeus vannamei) diets. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia, 47: e20170165. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/rbz4720170165</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Sharath BS, Mohankumar BV, and Somashekar D (2014). Bio-detoxification of phorbol esters and other anti-nutrients of Jatropha curcas seed cake by fungal cultures using solid-state fermentation. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology, 172(5): 2747–2757. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12010-013-0698-9 I Google Scholar
- Thomas CGA (1988). Medical microbiology. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. University press Cambridge, ISBN 13: 9780702004551. https://www.cambridge.org/ir/academic/subjects/life-sciences/microbiology-and-immunology/general-microbiology-7thedition?format=PB&isbn=9780521439800
- Widiyastuti T, Prayitno CH and Iriyanti N (2013). Digestibility and blood metabolite profiles of chicken fed fermented Jatropha seed meal. Animal Production, 15(2): 98-105. <u>https://media.neliti.com/media/publications/66870-EN-digestibility-and-blood-metabolite-profi.pdf</u> I Google Scholar

Citation: Ewane D, Oben BO, Ndamukong KJN, Etchu KA, Ehabe EE, Chah JM, Chah KF and Mbu Oben P (2021). Toxicity of auto-detoxified Jatropha curcas Linnaeus, 1753 kernel cake mixtures with bovine blood (ADMJKC/bb) using brine shrimp Artemia salina Linnaeus, 1758. Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 11(1): 01-07. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.1

Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research Volume 11, Issue 1: 08-12; January 25, 2021



Revised: January

: December 10, 2020 January 15, 2021

Accepted: January 16, 2021

Received:

PII: S222877012100002-11

**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.2

# EFFECT OF DIETARY SUPPLEMENTATION OF PROBIOTIC, PHYTOBIOTICS OR THEIR COMBINATION ON PERFORMANCE, BLOOD INDICES AND JEJUNAL MORPHOLOGY OF LAYING HENS DURING POST PEAK PRODUCTION

Rahmad HIDAYAT, Vitus Dwi YUNIANTO, Bambang SUKAMTO and Sugiharto SUGIHARTO

Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Animal and Agricultural Sciences, Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia

Email: sgh\_undip@yahoo.co.id ; <a href="mailto:boostailto:opercip: 0000-0003-2445-0543">opercip: 0000-0003-2445-0543</a>

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of dietary supplementation of probiotic (Lactobacillus acidophilus), phytobiotics (bay leaves, onion peel and garlic peel) or their combination on blood parameters, morphology of digestive tract and performance of laying hens. The experiment used 144 laying hens aged 72 weeks old, and divided into 6 treatments including Ctl (basal feed as control); Pr (basal feed + 1.2 mL/day of probiotic L. acidophilus); Ph2 (basal diet + 2% phytobiotic of diet); PrPh2 (basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 2% phytobiotic); PrPh4 (basal diet +1.2 mL/day probiotic + 4% phytobiotic) and PrPh6 (basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 6% phytobiotic). Feed intake and egg mass were weekly recorded. One chick from each replicate was blood sampled and then slaughtered for data collection. Results showed that treatments had no effect on hemoglobin, erythrocyte and leukocyte of hens. The control hens had higher levels of cholesterol and LDL than that of PrPh2, PrPh4 and PrPh6 hens. HDL level tended to be higher in PrPh2 and PrPh4 as compared to control hens. Compared to control, the villi height of jejunum was higher in the treated hens, with PrPh4 had the highest villi height. Ileal protein digestibility tended to be higher in the treated than that in control hens. Also, there was a clear tendency that feed conversion ratio was lower in the treated hens than that of control. In conclusion, the combined use of probiotics and phytobiotics improved physiological condition, ileal histomorphology, ileal protein digestibility and feed conversion of laying hens during post peak production.

Keywords: Garlic, Herb, Laying Hen, Phytobiotic, Probiotic.

#### INTRODUCTION

Egg production declines after laying hens reaching the peak of production. Antibiotic growth promoters (AGP) was often administrated to feed to slowdown the declining rate in egg production after the peak production (Salim et al., 2018). In the digestive system, AGP plays a function in eliminating pathogenic bacterial populations in order to maximize nutrient absorption by hens (Purbarani et al., 2019). In recent years the use of AGP has been banned in many countries, including Indonesia. This is due to several negative effects of AGP, e.g., there is residue that found in livestock products, so that it can endanger human health. These health risks include bacterial resistance, allergies to the products consumed, the high risk of illness from poisoning, etc. (Deko et al., 2018).

Various alternatives to AGP substitute for poultry have been studied, one of which is probiotics (Sugiharto et al., 2017). One of the most widely used probiotic bacteria in the poultry industry *is Lactobacillus achidophilus* (Saputra et al., 2020). Indeed, the use of *L. acidophilus* was reported to improve physiological conditions, health status (Siadati et al., 2017), growth of intestinal villi (Hedayati and Manafi, 2018), nutrient digestibility and performance of poultry (De Cesare et al., 2017). Another alternative ingredient that can be used as a substitute for AGP are herbal ingredient or phytobiotics (Haniarti et al., 2019). Phytobiotic that can be used as feed additive in poultry include bay leaves (Santoso et al., 2017), garlic peel (Benítez et al., 2011) and onion peel (Rahmawati et al., 2019). Previous studies have reported that bay leaf flour added to feed has an effect on the microflora of the digestive tract of broiler chickens (Sjofjan et al., 2019). Meanwhile, the use of garlic and onion peels and their combination in feed could increase the digestibility of feed protein and performance of duck (Saputra et al., 2016) and reduce triglyceride levels in the blood of broiler chicken (Kim et al., 2009). Besides having a high flavonoid content, these herbal ingredients have also been reported to contain oligosaccharides which can act as prebiotics, which are useful for the growth of probiotic bacteria (Babbar et al., 2016).

To increase its effectiveness as an alternative to AGP, probiotics are often combined with other active ingredients such as phytobiotics. Chang et al. (2019) reported that multi strains of probiotics supplemented with herb (*Gardeniae fructus*) can suppress the growth of pathogenic bacteria and increase the growth of the intestinal villi of broiler chickens. The combination of *L. acidophilus* with Dayak onion extract added to feed could also improve nutrient digestibility, health conditions and performance of broilers (Yuanita et al., 2019). Likewise, the combined use of *Lactobacillus* spp. and ginger and turmeric extracts improved production performance and health parameters of broilers (Risdianto et al., 2019).

To best of our knowledge, the use of a combination of probiotic (*L. acidophilus*) and phytobiotic from bay leaves, onion and garlic peels on laying hens during the post peak production has never been reported. Therefore, the study aimed to determine the influence of dietary administration of probiotic *L. acidophilus*, phytobiotics (bay leaves, onion and garlic peels powders) or their combination on blood profile, jejunal morphology and the performance of laying hens.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study used 144 of laying hens (Isa Brown strain) post peak production, aged 72 weeks (weight  $1.98 \pm 0.1$  kg). The hens were raised for 5 weeks (35 days). The feed ingredients used in this study included yellow corn, rice bran, soybean meal, meat bone meal, fish meal, salt, grit, methionine, premix, onion peel (OP), garlic peel (GP) and bay leaf (BL). Feeds were offered to hens *ad libitum* with free access to water throughout the experiment. The phytobiotic combination used was 50% of BL, 25% OP and 25% GP. The probiotic bacteria used was *L. acidophilus* ( $10^8$  cfu/mL). Details of the composition of feed ingredients and treatment are listed in Tables 1 and 2 while the nutritional content of phytobiotics is in Table 3.

This study was arranged according to a completely randomized design with 6 treatments and 4 replications, with 6 hens in each replicate. The treatments included CtI (basal feed as control); Pr (basal feed + 1.2 mL/day of probiotic *L. acidophilus*); Ph2 (basal diet + 2% phytobiotic of diet); PrPh2 (basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 2% phytobiotic); PrPh4 (basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 4% phytobiotic) and PrPh6 (basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 6% phytobiotic).

Weekly feed intake and egg mass were recorded. Feed conversion was calculated by dividing the average feed consumption by the average egg mass for a week. Two mL of blood sample was taken on day 34 of the experiment through the brachial vein and put into a tube containing ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) and stored in a cooling box for further analysis. The determinations of cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) levels were conducted based on the enzymatic-colorimetric method. The enumeration of erythrocytes and leukocytes was carried out using a hemocytometer, while hemoglobin levels were determined using the Sahli-Hellige method (Sufiriyanto et al., 2018). At 35 days of study, one hen was taken from each replicate then slaughtered. Shortly after slaughter, the jejunal segment was obtained for the measurement of pH values, length, weight (empty weight) and villi height. Digesta samples from ileum were also taken for the calculation of crude protein content according to the method as described by Lemme et al. (2004).

Table 1 - Ingredients of basal diet		Table 2 – Nutritional contents of basal diet		Table 3 - Nutritional content ofphytobiotics (combined phytobiotics)	
Ingredients	Composition (%)				Commonitions
Maize	54.9	Nutrient composition	Contents8	Nutrient	Compositions
Rice bran	8.84				(%)
Soybean meal	19.2	Metabolizable energy		Dry matter	87.55
Meat bone meal	6.29	(kcal/kg)	2,654	Crude protein	8 58
Fish meal	1.00	(noal) ng)		orado protoni	0.00
Salt	0.28	Crude protein (%)	17.3	Crude fat	1.63
Limestone	7.88	Crude fat (%)	3.51	Crude fiber	34.08
Methionine	0.05	Calcium (%)	5 55	Calcium	1.20
Premix	1.50		0.00		
TOTAL	100	Phosphorus (%)	0.66	Phosphorus	0.28

#### **Statistical Analysis**

The data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with a significance level of 5%. When the treatment showed a significant effect (p<0.05), the Duncan multiple range test was then performed. The obtained results were expressed as the mean ± standard deviation.

#### **Ethical approval**

The *in vivo* study was supervised by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Animal and Agricultural Sciences, Universitas Diponegoro and conducted in accordance with the basic animal husbandry and health protocols referred to in Legislation of the Republic of Indonesia No. 18, 2009.

#### RESULTS

Data on blood profile of laying hens are presented in Table 4. It was shown that treatments had no effect (p>0.05) on hemoglobin levels, erythrocyte counts and leukocyte numbers of laying hens during post peak production. Table 5 demonstrates the data on concentrations of cholesterol, LDL and HDL in the plasma of laying hens. The control hens had higher levels of cholesterol (p=0.05) and LDL (p<0.05) than that of PrPh2, PrPh4 and PrPh6 hens. HDL level tended to be higher (p=0.08) in PrPh2 and PrPh4 as compared to control hens. Data on the conditions of jejunal segments of laying hens are presented in Table 6. Compared to control, the villi height of jejunum was higher (p<0.05) in the treated hens,

with PrPh4 had the highest villi height. The treatments had no substantial effect on the relative weight and length as well as pH values of jejunum. Table 7 shows the data on ileal protein digestibility and performance of laying hens. Ileal protein digestibility tended (p=0.06) to be higher in the treated than that in control hens. Also, there was a clear tendency (p=0.05) that feed conversion ratio was lower in the treated hens than that of control. Yet, there was no significant effect of the treatments on the feed intake per week and egg mass per week.

Table 4 - Blood profile of laying hens									
Variables	Ctl	Pr	Ph2	PrPh2	PrPh4	PrPh6	p-value		
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	7.20±0.12	7.57±0.28	7.20±0.01	7.60±0.07	7.50±0.12	7.40±0.14	0.27		
Erythrocytes (x10 <sup>6</sup> )	2.23±0.12	2.57±0.77	2.75±0.19	2.61±0.24	2.56±0.06	2.64±0.16	0.32		
Leukocytes (10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )	9.05±1.11	9.13±1.26	8.98±0.98	8.82±0.60	9.20±0.98	9.07±1.14	0.99		
Ctl: basal feed as control, Pr: basal feed + 1.2 mL/day of probiotic L. acidophilus, Ph2: basal diet + 2% phytobiotic of diet, PrPh2: basal diet + 1.2 mL/day									

probiotic + 2% phytobiotic, PrPh4: basal diet +1.2 mL/day probiotic + 4% phytobiotic, PrPh6: basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 6% phytobiotic)

Table 5 - Plasma profile of laying hens											
Variables	Ctl	Pr	Ph2	PrPh2	PrPh4	PrPh6	p-value				
Cholesterol	211±9.1	178±9.1	174±6.9	170±5.2	170±10.5	172±23.3	0.05				
HDL	36.26±1.9	44.4±3.6	41.4±2.8	49.6±3.4	45.5±2.9	44.4±2.4	0.08				
LDL	<b>112±6.4</b> ª	85.7±14.4 <sup>ab</sup>	83.9±1.9 <sup>ab</sup>	75.3±9.5 <sup>b</sup>	74.1±13.1 <sup>b</sup>	61.0±7.7 <sup>b</sup>	0.04				

<sup>a.b</sup>: Means within a row with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05); Ctl: basal feed as control, Pr: basal feed + 1.2 mL/day of probiotic *L. acidophilus*, Ph2: basal diet + 2% phytobiotic of diet, PrPh2: basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 2% phytobiotic, PrPh4: basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 4% phytobiotic, PrPh6: basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 6% phytobiotic), HDL: high-density lipoprotein, LDL: low-density lipoprotein

Table 6 - Jejunal segment of laying hens									
Variables	Ctl	Pr	Ph2	PrPh2	PrPh4	PrPh6	p-value		
Relative weight (% BW)	1.00±0.07	0.93±0.09	0.93±0.06	0.94±0.06	1.00±0.09	1.01±0.19	0.89		
Relative length (cm/kg BW)	31.6±0.89	35.0±3.28	32.3±1.23	30.6±0.55	32.6±0.71	33.2±1.76	0.79		
pН	6.30±0.09	6.15±0.13	6.08±0.05	6.08±0.02	6.05±0.05	6.05±0.03	0.17		
Villi height (µm)	812±88.2°	971±14.1 <sup>b</sup>	941±11.1 <sup>b</sup>	972±13.2⁵	1097±20.3ª	1055±10.6ªb	<0.01		

Ph2: basal diet + 2% phytobiotic of diet, PrPh2: basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 2% phytobiotic, PrPh4: basal diet +1.2 mL/day probiotic + 4% phytobiotic PrPh6: basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 6% phytobiotic), BW: body weight

#### Table 7 - Ileal protein digestibility and performance of laying hense

Variables	Ctl	Pr	Ph2	PrPh2	PrPh4	PrPh6	p-value
lleal protein digestibility	60.5±0.72	70.6±2.43	72.5±8.17	75.5±3.04	75.6±0.01	76.3±0.33	0.06
Feed intake/week	711±10.9	680±9.49	675±11.12	694±34.1	693±31.9	679±7.68	0.83
Egg mass/week	319±16.1	339±4.09	328±7.59	346±22.7	353±22.8	354±12.1	0.59
Feed conversion ratio/week	2.24±0.12	2.00±0.05	2.06±0.03	1.99±0.04	1.97±0.4	1.93±0.09	0.05
ab: Means within a row with different superscripts differ significantly (p<0.05), Ctl: basal feed as control, Pr: basal feed + 1.2 mL/day of probiotic L. acidophilus,							

Ph2: basal diet + 2% phytobiotic of diet, PrPh2: basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 2% phytobiotic, PrPh4: basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 4% phytobiotic, PrPh6: basal diet + 1.2 mL/day probiotic + 6% phytobiotic)

#### DISCUSSION

Data on blood profiles of laying hens in this study were consistent with Abudabos et al. (2016) who reported that the use of probiotics, phytobiotics or a combination of both did not have any negative impact on the physiological conditions of laying hens. With regard to probiotic effect, Siadati et al. (2017) used probiotic Lactobacillus (L. crispatus, L. salivarius, L. crispatus and L. oris) and noticed no significant effect on haemoglobin and erythrocyte levels of Japanese quails. Also, Mateova et al. (2008) did not find any effect of L. fermentum on the number of leukocytes of broiler chicken. In term of phytobiotics, the addition of phytobiotics (onions, ginger, turmeric and cutcherry) did not significantly affect the levels of hemoglobin, erythrocytes and leukocytes of Tegal ducks and Muscovy ducks in the study of Ismoyowati et al. (2019). In contrast to our present results, Deraz (2018) reported that probiotics (Lactococcus lactis ssp. Lactis and Lactobacillus plantarum) increased the levels of haemoglobin, erythrocytes and leukocytes in broiler chickens. Likewise, the combination of phytobiotics increased the levels of hemoglobin, erythrocytes and leukocytes in laying hens (Tang et al., 2017). The variations in probiotic bacteria species or strains, levels of probiotics, types and levels of phytobiotics, animals used in the experiment and experimental conditions may be attributed to the divergent results above.

10 Citation: Hidayat R, Yunianto VD, Sukamto B and Sugiharto S (2021). Effect of dietary supplementation of probiotic, phytobiotics or their combination on performance, indices and jejunal morphology of laying hens during post peak production. Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 11(1): 08-12. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.2

Data in the present study showed that cholesterol and LDL concentrations were lower in the plasma of laying hens treated with the combination of probiotic *L. acidophilus* and phytobiotic based on bay leaves, onion and garlic peels. Indeed, the effect of single administration of probiotic or phytobiotic alone did not affect the levels of cholesterol and LDL in the plasma of laying hens. In this respect, the synergistic or complementary effect between probiotic bacteria and phytobiotic seemed to reduce the levels of plasma cholesterol and LDL. This inference was supported by Rahman et al. (2019) showing the synergistic work of probiotic *Bacillus* and commercial phytobiotic (Galibiotic®) in lowering the concentration of cholesterol and LDL in the plasma of broilers. It has been known that probiotic *L. acidophilus* could produce bile salt hydrolase, which can deconjugate bile salts to lower cholesterol (Jahanian and Ashnagar, 2015). Likewise, flavonoids content in phytobiotics could inhibit *de novo* cholesterol formation. In the latter case, flavonoids can inhibit the formation of acetyl co-A, which is the main precursor for cholesterol formation of both as compared to control. This result was in agreement with Krauze et al. (2020) reporting the effective impact of probiotic *Bacillus subtilis* and phytobiotic (cinnamon oil) in enhancing the HDL level in the serum of broiler chicken. It was most likely that the complementary effect between probiotic and phytobiotic occurred in this study as the plasma HDL level was higher in PrPh2 when compared with that in Pr, Ph2 and Ctl hens.

The laying hens used in this study were quite old (72 weeks of age), and that the growth and development of the intestine of these hens had been stopped. For this reason, the relative length and weight of jejunum were not different across the treatment groups. In general, pH values can be used to indicate the condition of digestive tract, which is related to the numbers of pathogenic bacteria and good bacteria. In this study, the jejunal pH values of hens were within the normal values, as Purbarani et al. (2019) noticed that the pH values of jejunum ranges from 5.80 to 6.90. In this study, the jejunal villi height increased with the dietary administration of probiotics, phytobiotics and their combination. This seemed due to the antibacterial activity of probiotics and phytobiotics, which may reduce the pathogenic bacteria load in the intestinal villi (Hussein et al., 2020). The latter condition may consequently improve the growth and development of the intestinal villi (Hedayati and Manafi, 2017).

In this study, the increased ileal protein digestibility seemed to be attributed to the increased jejunal villi height of hens. The higher the intestinal villi implied in the wider surface area for the nutrient absorption (Fesseha, 2019). This may therefore increase the capacity of the intestine in absorbing the nutrients from feed (Purbarani et al., 2019). Overall, the increase in nutrient digestibility resulted in the improvement of nutrient utilization and hence improved the FCR of layer hens. Yet, the latter improvement did not accompanied by the increase in egg mass produced by the hens. This was consistent with previous study showing that probiotic *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus licheniformis* (Mahdavi et al., 2005) and phytobiotics based on black cumin, thyme, cinnamon, ginger and pomegranate (Soliman and Kamel, 2020) did not significantly affect egg mass as well as feed consumption of laying hens.

#### CONCLUSION

The combined use of probiotics and phytobiotics improved physiological condition, ileal histomorphology, ileal protein digestibility and FCR of laying hens during post peak production. The combination of 1.2 mL/day of probiotic *L. Acidophilus* and 4% of phytobiotics resulted in the best outcomes for laying hens during post peak production.

#### DECLARATIONS

#### **Corresponding Author**

E-mail: sgh\_undip@yahoo.co.id; ORCID: 0000-0003-2445-0543

#### **Authors' Contribution**

All authors contributed in research and writing, equally.

#### **Conflict of interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### REFERENCES

- Abudabos AM, Murshed MA, Qaid MM and Abdelrahman AG (2016). Effect of probiotics on serum biochemical and blood constituents in chicken challenged with Salmonella enterica Subsp typhimurium. Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 15(3): 461-467. https://doi.org/10.4314/tjpr.v15i3.5 | Google Scholar
- Babbar N, Dejonghe W, Gatti M, Sforza S and Kathy E, (2016). Pectic oligosaccharides from agricultural by-products: production, characterization and health benefits. Critical Reviews in Biotechnology, 36(4):594-606. <u>https://doi.org/10.3109/07388551.2014.996732</u> I <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>
- Benítez V, Mollá E, Martín-Cabrejas MA, López-Andréu JF, Downes K, Terry LA and Esteban RM (2011). Characterization of industrial onion wastes (Allium cepa L.): dietary fibre and bioactive compounds. Plant Foods for Human Nutrition, 66(1): 48-57. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-011-0212-x</u> | <u>Google Scholar</u>
- De Cesare A, Sirri F, Manfreda G, Moniaci P, Giardini A, Zampiga M, Meluzzi A (2017). Effect of dietary supplementation with Lactobacillus acidophilus D2/CSL (CECT 4529) on caecum microbioma and productive performance in broiler chickens. PloS ONE. 12(5):e0176309. https://dx.doi.org/10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0176309 | Google Scholar
- Chang CH, Teng PY, Lee TT and Yu B (2019). Effects of multi-strain probiotics combined with Gardeniae fructus on intestinal microbiota, metabolites, and morphology in broilers. Journal of Poultry Science, 56: 32-43. <u>https://doi.org/10.2141/jpsa.0170179</u> I Google Scholar

11

Citation: Hidayat R, Yunianto VD, Sukamto B and Sugiharto S (2021). Effect of dietary supplementation of probiotic, phytobiotics or their combination on performance, blood indices and jejunal morphology of laying hens during post peak production. Online J. Anim. Feed Res., 11(1): 08-12. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.2

- Deko MK, Djuandi IH and Natsir MH (2018). Effect of using bulb flour and garlic skin (*Allium sativum* Linn) as a feed additive on the appearance of layer chicken production. Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Peternakan, 28: 192-202. <u>https://jiip.ub.ac.id/index.php/jiip/article/view/466</u>
- Deraz SF (2018). Synergetic effects of multispecies probiotic supplementation on certain blood parameters and serum biochemical profile of broiler chickens. Journal of Animal Health and Production, 6(1): 27-34. <u>https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.jahp/2018/6.1.27.34</u> I Google Scholar
- Fesseha H (2019). Probiotics and its potential role in poultry production: A review. Veterinary Medicine Open Journal, 4: 69-76. http://dx.doi.org/10.17140/VMOJ-4-138 I Google Scholar
- Haniarti H, Munir M, Akib MA, Ambar A, Rusman ADP and Abdullah A (2019). Herbal for increasing immunity and weight of poultry. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. 247. <u>https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1755-1315/247/1/012056/pdf</u> <u>Google Scholar</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Hedayati M and Manafi M (2018). Evaluation of an herbal compound, a commercial probiotic, and an antibiotic growth promoter on the performance, intestinal bacterial population, antibody titers, and morphology of the jejunum and ileum of broilers. Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science, 20(2): 305-316. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9061-2017-0639">https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9061-2017-0639</a> I Google Scholar
- Hussein E, Ahmed S.H, Abudabos AM, Aljumaah MR, Alkhlulaifi MM, Nassan MA, Suliman GM, Naiel M, & Swelum AA (2020). Effect of antibiotic, phytobiotic and probiotic supplementation on growth, blood indices and intestine health in broiler chicks challenged with clostridium perfringens. Animals, 10(3): 507. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10030507 | Google Scholar
- Ismoyowati D, Indrasanti, Mugiyono S and Pangestu M (2019). Phytogenic compounds do not interfere physiological parameters and growth performances on two Indonesian local breeds of ducks. Veterinary World, 12(11): 1689-1697. <u>Google Scholar</u> I <u>https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2019.1689-1697</u>
- Jahanian R and Ashnagar M (2015). Effect of dietary supplementation of mannan-oligosaccharides on performance, blood metabolites, ileal nutrient digestibility, and gut microflora in *Echerichia* coli-challenged laying Hens. Poultry Science, 94(2): 2165–2172. https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pev180 | Google Scholar
- Kim YJ, Jin SK and Yang HS (2009). Effect of dietary garlic bulb and husk on the physicochemical properties of chicken meat. Poultry Science, 88(2): 398–405. https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2008-00179 I Google Scholar
- Krauze M, Abramowicz and Ognik K (2020). The Effect of addition of probiotic bacteria (*Bacillus subtilis or Enterococcus faecium*) or phytobiotic containing cinnamon oil to drinking water on the health and performance of broiler chickens. Annals of Animal Science, 20(1): 191–205. https://doi.org/10.2478/aoas-2019-0059 | Google Scholar
- Lemme A, Ravindran V and Bryden WL (2004). Ileal digestibility of amino acids in feed ingredients for broilers. World's Poultry Science Journal. 60(4): 423-438. <u>https://doi.org/10.1079/WPS200426</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Mahdavi AH, Rahmani HR and Pourreza J (2005). Effect of probiotic supplements on egg quality and laying hen's performance. International Journal of Poultry Science. 4(7): 488-492. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2005.488.492</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Mateova S, Saly J, Tuckova M, Koscova J, Nemcova R, Gaalova M and Baranova D (2008). Effect of probiotics, prebiotics and herb oil on performance and metabolic parameters of broiler chickens. Medycyna Weterynaryjna. 64: 294-297. Link I Google Scholar
- Purbarani SA, Wahyuni HI and Suthama N (2019). Dahlia inulin and Lactobacillus sp. in step down protein diet on villi development and growth of kub chickens. Tropical Animal Science Journal. 42(1): 19-24. <u>https://doi.org/10.5398/tasj.2019.42.1.19</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Rahman MM, Ripon MMR, Arefin MS, Ferdous MF, Rashid MH, Sani AA, Sultana MR, Hossain MT, Ahammad MU and Rafiq K (2019). Serum biochemical changes and growth response study following probiotic and phytobiotic supplementation in broiler chickens. Asian-Australasian Journal of Bioscience and Biotechnology, 4(1): 1-6. <u>https://www.ebupress.com/journal/aajbb/wpcontent/uploads/sites/3/2019/08/1.pdf</u>
- Rahmawati D, Andika D and Fortunata SA (2019). Garlic peel extract phytochemical evaluation and extraction optimization. Journal of Functional Food and Nutraceutical, 1: 41-46. <u>https://doi.org/10.33555/jffn.v1i1.20</u>
- Risdianto D, Suthama N, Suprijatna E and Sunarso S (2016). Inclusion Effect of ginger and turmeric mixture combined with lactobacillus spp. isolated from rumen fluid of cattle on health status and growth of broiler. Journal of the Indonesian Tropical Animal Agriculture, 44(4): 423-433. https://doi.org/10.14710/jitaa.44.4.423-433 I Google Scholar
- Salim HM, Huque KS, Kamaruddin KM, and Beg M (2018). Global restriction of using antibiotic growth promoters and alternative strategies in poultry production. Science Progress, 101(1): 52–75. <u>https://doi.org/10.3184/003685018X15173975498947</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Santoso U, Fenita Y and Kususiyah K (2017). The Effect of medicinal herb inclusion on hematologic status and blood lipid profiles in broiler chickens. International Journal of Poultry Science. 16. 415-423. http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2017.415.423 I Google Scholar
- Saputra YA, Mangisah I and Sukamto B (2016). The effect of adding onion peel flour to digestibility of crude protein feed, body weight gain and percentage of Mojosari duck carcass. Jurnal Ilmu-Ilmu Peternakan. 26(1): 29-36. <a href="https://jiip.ub.ac.id/index.php/jiip/article/view/232">https://jiip.ub.ac.id/index.php/jiip/article/view/232</a> I Google Scholar
- Saputra YA, Suthama N and Sukamto B (2020). Feeding diets composed of low level microparticle protein derived from fish and soybean meals and using organic calcium added with *lactobacillus acidophilus* or citric acid on intestinal condition and performance of broilers. Livestock Research for Rural Development. 32(4). <u>http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd32/4/yosep32068.html</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Siadati SA, Ebrahimnezhad Y, Jouzani GS and Shayegh J (2017). Evaluation of Probiotic Potential of Some Native Lactobacillus Strains on the Growth Performance and Serum Biochemical Parameters of Japanese Quails (*Coturnix Coturnix Japonica*) during Rearing Period. Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science. 19(3): 399-408. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9061-2016-0393</u> | <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Sjofjan O, Adli DN, Hanani PK and Sulistyaningrum D (2019). The utilization of bay leaf (Syzygiumpolyanthum Walp) flour in feed on carcass quality, microflora instestine of broiler. International Journal of Engineering Technologies and Management Research, 6(11):1-9. https://doi.org/10.29121/ijetmr.v6.i11.2019.458 | Google Scholar
- Soliman NK and Kamel SH (2020). Effect of herbs on productive performance of laying hens, some blood constituents and antioxidant activity in egg yolk. Egyptian Poultry Science Journal. 40(2): 493-505. <u>https://doi.org/10.21608/epsj.2020.96095</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Sufiriyanto N, Iriyanti I and Susanti E (2018). Haematology profiles and performance of broiler chickens fed on commercial feed. Animal Production. 20: 183-190. <u>http://www.animalproduction.net/index.php/JAP/article/view/732/pdf</u>
- Sugiharto S, Yudiarti T, Isroli I, Widiastuti E, and Kusumanti E (2017). Dietary supplementation of probiotics in poultry exposed to heat stress A Review. Annals of Animal Science. 17(3): 591–604. <u>https://doi.org/10.1515/aoas-2016-0062</u> I Google Scholar
- Tang S, Sieo CC, Ramasamy K, Saad WZ, Wong HK, and Ho YW (2017). Performance, biochemical and haematological responses, and relative organ weights of laying hens fed diets supplemented with prebiotic, probiotic and synbiotic. BMC Veterinary Research, 13(1):1-2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-017-1160-y | Google Scholar
- Yuanita I, Sunarti D, Wahyuni HI and Suthama N (2019). Feeding Dayak onion (*Eleutherine palmifolia*) extract and *lactobacillus acidophilus* mixture on blood biochemical, meat quality characteristics and growth performance in broiler chickens. Livestock Research for Rural Development. 31(9):144-9. <u>http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd31/9/yuanit31144.html</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Zeka K, Ruparelia K, Arroo RRJ, Budriesi R and Micucci M (2017). Flavonoids and their Metabolites: Prevention in Cardiovascular Diseases and Diabetes. Diseases, 5(3): 19. <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.3390%2Fdiseases5030019</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>

**Citation:** Hidayat R, Yunianto VD, Sukamto B and Sugiharto S (2021). Effect of dietary supplementation of probiotic, phytobiotics or their combination on performance, blood indices and jejunal morphology of laying hens during post peak production. *Online J. Anim. Feed Res.*, 11(1): 08-12. DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.2



Pll: S222877012100003-1: Received: January 05, 2020 Revised: January 16, 2021

Accepted: January 17, 202:

REVIEW

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.3

# EFFORTS, SUCCESSES AND CHALLENGES OF GREEN FEED PRODUCTION IN ETHIOPIA

#### Getachew ASSEFA WOLDEMARIAM<sup>™⊠</sup>

College of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Sciences, Department of Animal Production and Extension, University of Gondar P.O.Box 196, Ethiopia

#### Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Improving the feed supply and digestibility of roughage through green feed supplementation was the aim of forage production in Ethiopia. In spite of the time-consumed efforts made, the success is still low. This review summarizes efforts, challenges, good practices and indicates where the focus of future efforts should be. The efforts made in improve forage production (IFP) includes selection of forage species and development strategies, preparation of extension manual, training of stakeholders, formulation of forage seed production system, and introduction of hydroponic fodder. As a result farmers increased milk production and reduce feed cost by 20% and 40 %, respectively, and fatten ruminants in 2 to 3 cycles per year as a result of improved forage use. However, there is no management model for communal grazing land. IFP constrained by long dry period, scarcity of land, irrigation and the subsistence system of livestock production and the free grazing practice. Consequently, the communal grazing lands are devastatingly overstocked and degraded, livestock become dependent on crop residues, IFP practice remains low and livestock feed deficit is common. Therefore, it is recommended that future efforts should focus on designing communal grazing land tenure model and development package. Green forge production (GFP) should concentrate on livestock excluded areas and tree legumes to produce green feed in the dry period. GFP best practices should be expanded to the areas similar to that the practices found effective. Reduce feed deficit by improving crop production to increase the crop residue and the grain yield. The subsistence system of production should be transformed into market-oriented by introducing a technology package that reduces young stock mortality, increases fertility, calf/ lamb crop and livestock offtake rate. Technical simplicity and cost-effectiveness, of the hydroponic fodder system, should be assessed before implemented in a wider setting.

Keywords: Animal Nutrition, Forage, Grazing, Green feed, Livestock.

#### INTRODUCTION

Improved forage production started in Ethiopia in 1950s (Fikre, 2018). In spite of its long history and existence of some exemplary practices, the contribution of improved forage to the total feed resource is still low (0.32 %; CSA, 2018). The communal grazing lands are ownerless and devastatingly overstocked and degraded due to the absence of a clear model that indicates the right and obligation of users. Livestock become increasingly dependent on crop residues (Stark et al., 2018; Atsbha et al., 2020). Feed supply deficit is common in the mixed crop-livestock production system of Ethiopia.

The objective of improved forage production in Ethiopia was to increase feed supply and improve the intake and digestibility of the poor quality hay and the crop residues through green feed supplementation. The net digestibility of dry forages can be increased when fed together with 25% of fresh green material (Horrocks and Vallentine, 1994). The total digestibility of dry matter increased when 10 to 30% of the concentrated portion of the ration replaced by green feed in the form of hydroponic fodder (AL-Saadi et al., 2015).

Young, dark green forage tends to contain more minerals than old, dry, yellowing forage (Lukuyu et al., 2007). They also contain vitamins or raw material for vitamin synthesis in the rumen. Green fodders are good sources of  $\alpha$ -tocopherol (the most biologically active form of vitamin E), young grass being a better source than mature herbage. The leaves contain 20–30 times as much vitamin E as the stems (McDonald et al., 2007). Losses during haymaking can be as high as 90 percent, but losses during ensilage or artificial drying are low (McDonald et al., 2007). Silage and hay contain 20 to 80 percent less  $\alpha$ -tocopherol than does fresh forage (NRC, 2001).

Vitamin E involves in the maintenance of cellular membranes, immunity, and reproductive function. White muscle disease is a classic sign of a clinical deficiency of vitamin E (NRC, 2001). Vitamin E deficiency also found to have a relationship with mastitis, and immune function (NRC, 2001). Green herbage is also an exceptionally rich source of  $\beta$ -carotene, a precursor of vitamin A, and the dry matter of the young green crop may contain as much as 550 mg/kg (McDonald et al., 2007). Vitamin A is necessary for low light vision, normal growth and development (including fetal growth), spermatogenesis, and for maintenance of skeletal tissue and epithelial tissue (NRC, 2001). Abortions, increased prevalence of retained fetal membranes, and increased calf morbidity and mortality are indicators of vitamin A deficiency in gestating cows (NRC, 2001).

Green feeds also provide vitamin K to animals (McDonald et al., 2007). Cattle require vitamin K for the synthesis of at least a dozen proteins; among these are four blood clotting factors; prothrombin (factor II), and factors VII, IX, and X (NRC, 2001). These vitamin K dependent protein factors are components of a complex system that functions to prevent hemorrhage by activation of thrombin and ultimately clot formation (NRC, 2001). Green feed reduces Methane emission to the environment (Prusty et al. 2014; Mohini et al., 2007). The enteric methane emission was reduced by 5-12% on feeding green fodder based ration to river buffaloes (Prusty et al. 2014). Mohini et al. (2007), reported lower CH4 emission (218.15 vs. 233.50 and 252.82 g/d) in lactating cows fed only fresh green fodder and concentrate mixture as compared to dry roughage fed cows. The inhibition of hydrogen-producing bacteria that are accounted for the metabolization of pyruvate to acetyl CoA might also be responsible for the reduction of methane emission on feeding green fodder (Hossain et al., 2017). Nathani et al., (2015) also reported that increasing green fodder proportion in the diet might have also reduced the active genes for the production of methane by reducing the methanogenic archaea population in the rumen. Dairy animals producing up to 5-7 liters of milk per day can be maintained exclusively by feeding green fodders (Hossain et al., 2017).

To increase green feed supplementation practices, immense efforts have been made in selecting and introduction of different forage species in different agro-ecological zones of Ethiopia (Alemayehu, 2006). However, apart from some exemplary achievements observed, the practical use of improved forage crops for animals is still low in many areas (Mekoya, 2008). The objective of this review is to summarize the efforts, challenges, and available good practices in green feed or forage production and indicate where the future efforts should focus.

#### DISCUSSION

#### Efforts of green forage production in Ethiopia

Forage development for livestock has a long history in Ethiopia going back to the 1950s at Jimma and moving on through activities at Haramaya University (Fikre, 2018). The forage and pasture seed production began in 1970 in Ethiopia by Arsi Rural Development Unit (ARDU/ CADU) (Alemu, 2011; Mengistu and Assefa, 2012). Oats, vetch, Rhodes grass, Phalaris, Panicum, Buffelgrass, Elephant grass, Green leaf desmodium and Fodder beet were produced in Kulumsa, Dera, Bekoji, Assela and other livestock farms (Fikre, 2018).

The contractual system of forage seed production was initiated by the Fourth Livestock Development Project (FLDP) in 1987/88 on the objective of producing better quality seed at lower prices and greater quantities in centrally controlled seed production systems using individual farmers and farmers cooperatives (Fikre, 2018). The program placed emphasis on the production of forage legume seed, with a capacity of 100-120 tons of forage seed per year during its period of operation (Grass and Turner, 1997, Alemayehu et al., 1994). The contractual forage seed development system functioned well during the project lifespan, but after the project phased out, seed production-related activities failed to operate as successfully as they did during FLDP (Fikre, 2018). The forage seed production intervention was continued by Smallholder Dairy Development Program (SDDP) from 1987-1991 in Heifer distribution together with forage/seed (Yimer and Kidane, 2015).

Various improved forage production strategies were developed and implemented. The strategies evolved from experiences of other countries and an understanding of the importance of matching forage systems to different agroecological zones of Ethiopia (Alemayehu et al., 2017). Almost all the strategies emphasize the cut and carry system of feeding, on the objective of providing succulent green forage thereby maintaining the health of soil conservation structures on which the forage planted.

The strategies have presented in the forage extension manual of FLDP. In addition to the strategies, the manual contains the basic guidelines for forage development and management at the farm level. The manual is written for Development Agents in the ministry of agriculture but is useful for all extension workers (FLDP, 1989). The commercial green feed has been produced by YB Plant Micropropagation PLC owned by Behaylu Abraha in the low-cost hydroponic fodder production system in 2014 in Ethiopia. The number of dairy farm owners relying on Behaylu for hydroponically produced barley fodder has grown steadily, reaching 400 milking cows (LIVES, 2014). Hydroponics fodder is a succulent fodder without soil but in water or nutrient-rich solution in a greenhouse (hi-tech or low-cost devices) for a short duration, 7 to 10 days (Naik et al., 2015).

Since a couple of decades ago, hydroponic fodder production technology has been advocated as a solution for conventional green fodder production challenges and as a climate change adaptation strategy (Muthuramalingam et al., 2015) in many countries. On the contrary, other groups of authors argue that the profitable use of sprouting grain as a feed source for commercial cattle production appears unlikely (Sneath and McIntosh, 2003) or totally have no advantage (Fazaeli et al., 2012). The major reason for tending to discourage hydroponic fodder production by these groups includes reduction in dry matter (DM) weight and greater cost as a result of sprouting. Nevertheless, some other authors noted that it is the ultimate animal performance relative to the alternative costs that determine the profitability and usefulness of hydroponic fodder (Tranel, 2013), which indicates that the usefulness of hydroponic fodder depends on local condition. Although such dialogue exists among professionals, hydroponic fodder production technology was introduced to some parts of Ethiopia without any preliminary study.

#### Successes of forage production in Ethiopia

In the area, where market for forage or animal products available improved forage production practice is suitable. A study made by Mesfin et al. (2013a,b) in such area namely Girar Jarso, Tiyo, chiro district farmer allocate 400 m<sup>2</sup> to one hectare per household for forage production. Farmers reported that the improved forage used in feeding dairy and fattening animals increased their income, reduced their feed cost due to the decrease in concentrate cost and ensured the year-round feed availability. Good animal management practice reduced the disease problem during animal breeding. According to the interviewed farmer, milk production may increase by about 20 % while feed cost reduced by about 40 % (Mesfin et al., 2013a). In the irrigated sites, farmers have been able to harvest elephant grass forage year-round, usually at monthly or bi-monthly intervals. Due to the year-round fodder availability, farmers are able to fatten cattle and/or small ruminants 2 to 3 cycles per year and milk yield of local cows increased from 1 to 3 liters/cow/day (Mesfin et al., 2013b). Moreover, elephant grass planted along the edges of irrigation canals have also improved the feed availability particularly during the dry period and help in stabilizing the irrigation canal thereby reducing water loss through evaporation (Mesfin et al., 2013b). Additional income obtained from the sale of planting materials of elephant grass was another benefit, even though such benefit is rarely obtained but its future potential market looks promising (Mesfin et al., 2013b).

#### Challenges of forage production in Ethiopia

Even if exemplary improved forage production practices found in some pocket areas of Ethiopia, the contribution of improved forgeries to the total feed resources is still very small. According to CSA (2018) improved forage production covers only 0.32% of the total feed resources of livestock in rural peasant farmers of Ethiopia. The failures of green feed production in many places are due to scarcity of land and water for irrigation. In the highland of Ethiopia, where mixed crop-livestock production system exists, farmers give priority in allocating land more often to crops than forage production. There is no market-oriented livestock production in most of the mixed crop-livestock production systems. Cattle are primarily kept to supply draft power needed for crop production (FAO, 2018). Small ruminants are maintained as a means of savings and meat for household consumption (Gizaw et al., 2010). Inadequate feed supply is one of the major constraints hampering market-oriented livestock development in Ethiopia (Firew and Getnet, 2010).

In Ethiopia, most farmers practice free grazing except in areas, such as Harerghe, where grazing lands are limited in size and where the farming system favors the growth of perennial cash crops (Gebregziabher and Gebrehiwot, 2011). Damage of improved forages planted by some farmers with the animals of the others due to the open grazing system practice is one of the reasons for the low adoption of forage development in many areas of Ethiopia. Efforts made to introduce zero (controlled) grazing in 2006. The strategy was to adopt what Hararghe farmers have been practicing for years. However, this has been faced by resistance by farmers which has resulted in a low adoption rate. Farmers relate zero-grazing with grazing land confiscation (Gebregziabher and Gebrehiwot, 2011).

The increasing expansion of land cultivation for crop production (Amsalu et al., 2007; Bogale et al., 2008) and construction of public institutions at the expense of grazing land has resulted in shrinkage of the area. Grazing lands are devastatingly overstocked and that the herbage biomass productivity is declining both in quantity and quality (Hassen et al., 2010; Tschopp et al., 2010; Alemayehu, 2013). In general, crop residues are increasingly becoming the main sources of livestock feed resources in the mixed crop-livestock production systems (Bogale et al., 2008; Duncan et al., 2016).

Overall, about 51, 19 and 38% annual feed deficit in DM, ME and DCP, respectively were reported in the central high land of Ethiopia (Mekete et al., 2018). Feed supply deficits in mixed crop-livestock production system have also reported by Kassa et al. (2003) and Wondatir et al. (2011), in Gummara-Rib watershed of the Lake Tana in Amhara region, and Harerge highland, respectively. In most parts of Ethiopia, the livestock management practice is a low-input, low-output traditional extensive system. During the FLDP life, the price of forage seed was not governed by the free market. The project purchase with higher price from seed producer farmers and supply to the forage producers free of charge or with a highly subsidized price. When the project phase-out efforts of promoting forage seed production and dissemination did not sustain as the agricultural offices did not have sufficient grant money to purchase and supply seed. Forage seed production also ceased because farmers chose to use their land to produce other types of seed and crops that had a more significant impact on their livelihoods (Tekalign, 2014). This faded the glimmered hop of green feed production in Ethiopia.

#### **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

It is concluded that the absence of a clear model for use right and development obligation makes the communal grazing land ownerless and devastatingly overstocked and degraded. Even there are some exemplary practices, the long dry period, absence of irrigation and land scarcity coupled with low input low output subsistence system of livestock production put the improved forage production difficult in rural Ethiopia. Therefore it is recommended that future efforts should focus on selecting, testing and implementing a communal tenure model that is suitable to communal grazing lands in Ethiopia and improve the productivity of grazing lands by over-sowing, differed grazing and controlling stocking rate as per the caring capacity of the grazing lands. Improved green feed/forage production should concentrate on livestock excluded areas. Emphasis should be given to tree legumes to produce green feed in the dry period to be used by a cut and carry system.

Green feed production best practices should be expanded to the areas similar to that the practices found effective. Organize farmers' visit and open discussion among farmers. Reduce feed deficit by increasing livestock off-take during a time of scarcity and multidimensional crop improvement to increase the quantity and feeding value of crop residue without negatively affecting the grain yield. Finally, gradually transform the low-input low output livestock production to the market-oriented system by formulating and introducing a multidisciplinary livestock technology package that reduces young stock mortality, increases fertility that increases the calf/ lamb or kin crop to be sold and finished in urban and peri-urban areas where better agro-industrial byproducts available. The compatibility of hydroponic fodder to the local conditions including its technical simplicity, cost-effectiveness, and performance of locally available seeds in the hydroponic system should be assessed before expanding the technology throughout the country.

#### DECLARATIONS

Corresponding author's E-mail: gawgetachew2@gmail.com

#### Authors' contribution

The author contributed alone to this work starting from reviewing publishes articles to preparation of the manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the University of Gondar for providing us comfortable working environment including uninterrupted electricity and internet service for our office which were the main imputes for this work.

#### **Conflict of interests**

The author declare that there is no conflict of interests on this work

#### REFERENCES

- Alemayehu M (1994). Forage seed production systems in Ethiopia. InILCA/ICARDA Research Planning Workshop on Seed Production by Smallholder Farmers, Addis Ababa (Ethiopia), 13-15 Jun 1994. ILCA. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Alemayehu M (2006). Country Pasture/Forage Resource Profiles, Ethiopia. FAO, Rome. https://www.scribd.com/document/346403139/FAO-Forage-Profile-Ethiopia
- Alemayehu M, Kebede G, Feyissa F and Assefa G (2017). Overview of improved forage and forage seed production in Ethiopia: Lessons from Fourth Livestock Development Project. International Journal of Agriculture and Biosciences, 6(4): 217-226. https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/20183073957
- Alemayehu M. (2013). Livestock-water interactions: the case of Gumara watershed in the Upper Blue Nile Basin, Ethiopia. Ph.D. Thesis, Der Humboldt Universität Zu Berlin, Berlin, p.1–141. <u>http://publication.eiar.gov.et:8080/xmlui/handle/123456789/397?show=full</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Alemu D (2011). Farmer-Based Seed Multiplication in the Ethiopian Seed System: Approaches, Priorities, and Performance. Future Agricultures Consortium, Version: Working Paper no 36. <u>https://opendocs.ids.ac.uk/opendocs/handle/20.500.12413/2252</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- AL-Saadi MJ.and Al-Zubiadi IAH (2015). Effects of substitution barley by 10%, 30% of sprouted barley on rumen characters, digestibility and feed efficiency in diet of awassi male lambs. International Journal of Science and Research, 5: 2319-7064. <u>Google Scholar</u> I <u>https://www.ijsr.net/get\_abstract.php?paper\_id=NOV163174</u>
- Amsalu A, Stroosnijder L, Graaff JD (2007). Long-term dynamics in land resource use and the driving forces in the Beressa watershed, highlands of Ethiopia. Journal of Environmental Management, 83(4):448–59. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2006.04.010</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Atsbha T, Wayu S, Gebretsadkan N, Giday T, Gebremariam T (2020). Exclosure land management for restoration of herbaceous species in degraded communal grazing lands in Southern Tigray. Ecosystem Health and Sustainability. 6(1): 1829993. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/20964129.2020.1829993</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Bogale S, Melaku S, Yami A (2008). Potential use of crop residues as livestock feed resources under smallholder farmers conditions in Bale highlands of Ethiopia. Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems, 8: 107–114. Link | Google Scholar
- CSA (Central Statistical Authority) (2018). Livestock and Livestock Characteristics (Private Peasant Holdings). Agricultural Sample Survey 2017/18, 2010 E.C. <u>http://www.csa.gov.et/atlas/category/61-livestock-agricultural-sample-survey</u>
- Duncan AJ, Bachewe F, Mekonnen K, Valbuena D, Rachier G, Lule D, Bahtaf M. and Erensteing O (2016). Crop residue allocation to livestock feed, soil improvement and other uses along a productivity gradient in Eastern Africa. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 228: 101–110. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2016.05.011</u> | <u>Google Scholar</u>
- FAO (2018). Africa sustainable livestock (2050). Livestock production systems spotlight Ethiopia cattle sectors, FAO, Rome. http://www.fao.org/3/I8271EN/i8271en.pdf I Google Scholar
- Fazaeli H, Golmohammadi HA, Tabatabayee SN and Asghari-Tabrizi M (2012). Productivity and nutritive value of barley green fodder yield in hydroponic system. World Applied Sciences Journal, 16 (4): 531-539. <u>https://www.idosi.org/wasj/wasj16(4)12/9.pdf</u> I <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>
- Fikre H (2018). Efforts being made and success achieved in producing improved seed of forage cops in Ethiopia. Advances in Crop Science and Technology, 6: 343. Link | Google Scholar
- Firew TA and Getnet A. (2010). Feed Resources Assessment in Amhara Regional State, Ethiopia Sanitary & Phyto-sanitary Standards and Livestock & Meat Marketing Program (SPS-LMM), Adiss Ababa. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- FLDP (Fourth Livestock Development Project) (1989). Forage extension manual. Ministry of Agriculture, Animal and Fisheries Resources Development Main Department; Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Gizaw S. (2010). Sheep and goat production and marketing systems in Ethiopia: Characteristics and strategies for improvement. ILRI (aka ILCA and ILRAD); 2010. I <u>Google Scholar</u>

- Grass L, and Turner M (1997) Pasture and forage seed production. In Africa and West Asia proceedings of the pasture and forage seed production workshop, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. International Centre for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), pp: 203. Link I Google Scholar
- Hassen A, Ebro A, Kurtu M, and Treydte AC (2010). Livestock feed resources utilization and management as influenced by altitude in the Central Highlands of Ethiopia. Livestock Research for Rural Development, 22, Article 22(229). http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd22/12/hass22229.htm I Google Scholar
- Horrocks RD, and Vallentine JF (1999). Forages and animal nutrition: the basics. Editor(s): RD. Horrocks, and JF. Vallentine: Harvested Forages, Academic Press, USA, Pp. 59-74. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012356255-5/50026-2</u> I Google Scholar
- Hossain SA, Sherasia PL, Phondba BT, Pathan FK and Garg MR (2017). Effect of feeding green fodder based diet in lactating buffaloes: Milk production, economics, and methane emission. Indian Journal of Dairy Science, 70(6):767-773. http://epubs.icar.org.in/ejournal/index.php/IJDS/article/view/70997/31991 I Google Scholar
- Kassa H, Gibbon D, Tamir B (2003). Use of livestock feed balance as a potential indicator of sustainability of tropical smallholder mixed farms—prevailing knowledge gaps: a case study from the Harar highlands of eastern Ethiopia. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture, 22: 37–41. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1300/J064v22n04\_04">https://doi.org/10.1300/J064v22n04\_04</a> I Google Scholar
- LIVES (livestock and Irrigation Value Chain) (2014). Hydroponic fodder production for smallholder livestock farmers. <a href="https://lives-ethiopia.org/2014/04/29/hydroponic-fodder/">https://lives-ethiopia.org/2014/04/29/hydroponic-fodder/</a>
- Lukuyu M, Romney D, Ouma R, and Sones K (2007). Feeding dairy cattle. A manual for smallholder dairy farmers and extension workers in East Africa. ILRI Manuals and Guides. no. 2. 51p. Nairobi (Kenya): ILRI. <u>https://cgspace.cgiar.org/handle/10568/478</u> I <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>
- McDonald P, Edwards RA, Greenhalgh JFD, Morgan CA, Sinclair A, and Wilkinson R (2007). Animal Nutrition. 7<sup>th</sup> ed. ISBN-13:9781408204238. Trans-Atlantic Press, UK. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Mekete BT, Ashenaf MW and Berhan TM (2018). Evaluation of livestock feed balance under mixed crop-livestock production system in the central highlands of Ethiopia. Agriculture and Food Security, 7:19. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-018-0170-8</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Mekoya A (2008). Multipurpose fodder trees in Ethiopia: Farmers' perception, constraints to adoption and effects of long-term supplementation on sheep performance, Doctorial Dissertation, Wageningen University, Wageningen. https://edepot.wur.nl/121948 | Google Scholar
- Mengistu A, Assefa G (2012) The Evolution of Forage Seed Production in Ethiopia. In: Assefa G (eds.) Forage Seed Research and Development in Ethiopia. Proceedings of a workshop organized by EIAR-ASARECA Cooperation, Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Mesfin D, Ashebir T, Getnet A, Acmiro K, and Ameha S (2013a). Fodder Production, Utilization and Marketing Practices in Mixed Crop-Livestock Production Systems of the Highlands of Ethiopia. **pp. 1-18**. In Getnet Assefa, Biruk Hailu Emmanuelle Guerne Bleich and Alemayehu Mengistu (Ed). Proceeding of Best Practices in Feed Resources Production, Management and Utilization Sub-regional Experience Sharing Workshop 3<sup>rd</sup>- 6<sup>th</sup> December 2013, EIAR, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Google Scholar
- Mesfin D, Ashebir T, Getnet A, Acmiro K, and Ameha S (2013b). Fodder Production, Utilization and Marketing Practices in Mixed Crop-Livestock Production Systems in the Mid Altitude Areas of Ethiopia. **pp. 67-84**. In Getnet Assefa, Biruk Hailu Emmanuelle Guerne Bleich and Alemayehu Mengistu (Ed). Proceeding of Best Practices in Feed Resources Production, Management and Utilization Subregional Experience Sharing Workshop 3<sup>rd</sup>- 6<sup>th</sup> December 2013, EIAR, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Google Scholar
- Mohini M, Mani V, Singh GP (2007) Effect of different ratios of green and dry roughage on milk production and methane emission in cattle. Indian Journal of Animal Science, 77(1): 79-82. <u>https://nrcy.icar.gov.in/research.htm</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Muthuramalingam T, Pothiappan P, Gnanaraj PT, Sundaram SM, and Pugazhenthi TR (2015). Studies on growth performance of the goats fed hydroponic maize fodder. Indian Veterinary Journal, 92(4): 94-96. I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Naik PK, Swain BK, and Singh NP (2015). Production and utilisation of hydroponics fodder. Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition, 32 (1): 1-9. https://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:ijan&volume=32&issue=1&article=001 | Google Scholar
- Nathani NM, Patel AK, Mootapally CS, Reddy B, Shah SV, Lunagaria PM, Kothari RK, and Joshi CG (2015). Effect of roughage on rumen microbiota composition in the efficient feed converter and sturdy Indian Jaffrabadi buffalo (Bubalus bubalis). BMC Genomics, 16:1116. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-2340-4</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- NRC (National Research Council of the United States) (2001). Nutrient requirements of dairy cattle. 7<sup>th</sup> Revised Edition, Subcommittee on Dairy Cattle Nutrition, Committee on Animal Nutrition, Board on Agriculture and Natural Resources, National Research Council, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Prusty S, Mohini M, Kundu SS, Kumar A, Datt C (2014). Methane emissions from river buffaloes fed on green fodders in relation to the nutrient intake and digestibility. Tropical Animal Health and Production, 46(1): 65-70. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-013-0447-7</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Sneath R and McIntosh F (2003). Review of hydroponic fodder production for beef cattle. Report prepared Meat & Livestock Australia Limited. Queensl and Government, Department of Primary Industries, Australia. ISBN 1740365038. https://www.mla.com.au/contentassets/fe41462b573d4125a823e01a56572dad/nbp.332\_final\_report.pdf | Google Scholar
- Stark F, González-García E, Navegantes L, Miranda T, Poccard-Chapuis R, Archimède H, and Moulin C (2017). Crop-livestock integration determines the agroecological performance of mixed farming systems in Latino-Caribbean farms. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 38: 1-11. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-017-0479-x</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Tekalign E (2014) Forage seed systems in Ethiopia: A scoping study. ILRI Project Report. International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Nairobi, Kenya. <u>https://cgspace.cgiar.org/bitstream/handle/10568/65142/PR\_forage\_seed%20\_ethiopia.pdf?sequence=1</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Tranel LF (2013). Hydroponic Fodder Systems for Dairy Cattle. Animal Industry Report: AS 659, ASL R2791. http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/ans\_air/vol659/iss1/42 | Google Scholar
- Tschopp R, Asefa A, Schelling E, Zinsstag J (2010). Farmers' perceptions of livestock, agriculture, and natural resources in the rural Ethiopian highlands. Mountain Research and Development, 4: 381–390. <u>https://doi.org/10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-09-00072.1</u> I Google Scholar
- Wondatir Z, Mekasha Y, Wouters B. (2011). Assessment of productive and reproductive performance of dairy cattle nexus with feed availability in selected peri-urban areas of Ethiopia. Journal of Cell and Animal Biology, 5(15): 308–315. <u>https://doi.org/10.5897/JCAB.9000022</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Yimer E, and Kidane T (2015). National consultation workshop on forage and forage-seed industry development for improved livestock production and productivity. Workshop Proceedings, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. <u>Google Scholar</u>

Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research Volume 11, Issue 1: 18-22; January 25, 2021



DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.4

# EFFECT OF LACTATION LENGTH AND LITTER SIZE ON MILK COMPOSITION OF BOER GOAT WITHIN ONE MONTH OF PARTURITION

Sagar REGMI<sup>1</sup><sup>M</sup>, Premial MAHATO<sup>1</sup> and Khagendra Raj SAPKOTA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Agriculture and Forestry University, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal <sup>2</sup>National Livestock Breeding Office, Pokhara, Nepal

Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT**: The aim of this study was to determine the effect of lactation length and litter size on the milk composition of Boer goats. Milk samples from 23 lactating Boer goats reared in NLBO (National Livestock Breeding Office), Pokhara, Nepal, were collected and analyzed in a laboratory present within a farm. Goats were reared under the same environment and provided with similar care and management. Lactation length showed a non-significant effect on fat content in the milk whereas all other constituents were influenced significantly. Similarly, litter size showed a significant effect on the freezing point of milk only. Litter size and all milk constituents were negatively correlated. However, Litter size and freezing point showed moderately strong correlation. Twinning did not significant influence fat, protein, lactose and solids nonfat content of goat milk. All constituents were weakly correlated to litter size however freezing point showed moderately strong correlation. Thus, more focus must be given to the nutritional management of triplets and twins to improve their growth rate compared to a single kid.

π Received: PII: S222877012100004-11 Revised: January Accepted: January 21, 2021 ESEARCH : December y 20, ARTICLE 2021 28, 2020

Keywords: Boer goat, Lactation length, Milk composition, Litter size, Twinning.

#### INTRODUCTION

Boer goats (*Capra aegagrus hircus*) are one of the most desirable goat breeds with good meat conformation, short hair and a variety of color patterns and are believed to be the result of a genetic pooling of African indigenous goats, Indians goats, Angora goats, and European dairy goats (Lu, 2001). Boer goats were believed to be brought in Nepal around 1999 A.D. Boer goats started being reared for detailed research in Goat Research Center, Bandipur in 2008 A.D (Nepali, 2016). Its demand has been increasing worldwide for its excellent body conformation, fast-growing rate, and good carcass quality (Lu, 2001). Boer breed has higher immunity to diseases and quicker maturity than our local breeds. The growth rate of Boer kids was found to be 150- 300 grams per day (Nepali, 2016). The average growth rates were also recorded as 291, 272, 245, and 250 g/day in male goats and 272, 240, 204, and 186 g/day in female goats from birth to 100, 150, 210, and 270 days of age respectively (Lu, 2001). Multiple kids can easily be reared as it produces an adequate amount of milk during lactation.

Two percent of the world's total annual milk supply is maintained by goats. Goat milk possesses higher digestibility of protein and fat, alkalinity, buffering capacity, and certain therapeutic values over a cow or human milk (Park, 2009). Goat milk has significantly higher protein and ash, but lower lactose, than human milk (Park, 2009). It can therefore be considered as the main replacement of cow's milk for lactose intolerant individual (Kalyankar et. al., 2016). Goat milk is richer in caproic, caprylic, and capric acids than cow milk with 6, 8, and 10 carbon atoms, respectively (Chilliard, 1997). It is composed of medium-chain fatty acids (8-12 carbon atoms) that are efficient antimicrobials (Desbois and Smith, 2010). Goat milk is rich in calcium and phosphorus and is consumed in many regions, such as the Middle East, southern Asia, and some tropical countries.

Milk composition is affected by multiple factors like age, breed (Sung et al., 1999), nutrition, parity, stage of lactation (Ciappesoni et. al., 2004), environment, fodder, the season of kidding (Crepaldi et. al., 1999), etc. The main aim of this paper was to determine the effect of lactation length and litter size on milk constituent's i.e. fat, lactose, Solids Non Fat (S.NF), protein, and freezing point. Various researches have been carried out to determine the composition and factors affecting the composition of goat milk. However, there is limited information specific to Boer goats in the Nepalese environment during the early stage of lactation.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study area

Milk samples were collected from Boer goats reared under the intensive system in NLBO, Pokhara primarily for research purpose and up-grading of local breed. The research site is located within longitude 83° 58' 20.604" E and latitude 28° 15' 48.996" N at an altitude of about 793m above sea level (Figure 1). Twenty three Boer goats were lactating at the time of data collection. These therefore constituted the sample population. All goats were kept under the same environmental conditions and were provided with similar care and nutrition. Goats were fed with 600 gm of concentrate per day. Ipil-Ipil (*Leucaena leucocephala*), *Melia azadirachta, Ficus semicordata*, etc. and Oat (*Avena sativa*), Sudan, Berseem, etc. were the major sources of fodder and forage respectively provided to the goats.

#### Sample collection procedure

• Goats were handled by two individuals in which one restrains the goat while the other one performs milking operation by hand.

• The teats were washed with clean water and rubbed with a clean towel to remove excess water.

 A total of 10 ml of milk sample was collected from both teats in a sterile container to analyze the milk composition.

• The teats were cleaned again after milking was completed.



The collected milk sample was then taken to the laboratory located within the farm. The samples were homogenized before analysis using Akashganga Milk Analyzer, AMA-Mini-40. The data obtained were recorded and analyzed to determine the effect of lactation length on milk constituents.

#### **Data analysis**

Data regarding the age and lactation length was obtained from the recoding system present within the farm. The obtained data were recorded in an Excel sheet and were analyzed using IBM SPSS version 20 (Amos, 2011). The lactation length was divided into three categories as 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 4<sup>th</sup> week of lactation. The relation between lactation length and milk constituents was analyzed using ANOVA test whereas an Independent sample T-test was used to determine the relationship between litter size and milk composition.

#### RESULTS

#### Effect of lactation length on milk composition

The effects of lactation length on milk composition are shown in Table 1. The study revealed that there was no significant effect (p=0.232) of lactation length on the fat content of milk during the first month of lactation. The lowest fat percent was recorded on the 4<sup>th</sup> week of lactation. Lactation length showed a significant effect (p=0.041) on the Solids nonfat (S.N.F) content. Solids nonfat slightly decrease in 3<sup>rd</sup> week but was elevated again on 4<sup>th</sup> week. The freezing point showed a significant difference (p=0.041) due to variation in lactation length. The trend in freezing point indicates a constant decrease with increase in lactation length. A significant effect (p=0.042) of lactation length on the protein content of milk was also recorded during our study. Protein content was reduced during the 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 4<sup>th</sup> week of lactation length. Lowest lactose content was recorded at 3<sup>rd</sup> week of lactation based on our study

#### Effect of litter size on milk composition

Results of our study revealed that fat, S.N.F, protein, and lactose content of milk showed no significant difference within 1 month of parturition due to variation in litter size (Table 2). All components are weakly correlated (negatively) to litter size except freezing point. Goat milk from does with two kids showed slightly lower fat, protein, lactose, and S.N.F content but insignificant compared to a goat with single kids (Table 2). The freezing point of milk showed significant variation (p=0.043) with the number of kids. The freezing point was negatively correlated (r= -0.424) to litter size similar to other milk constituents.

Table 1 - Table showing the effect of lactation length on milk composition of Boer goats										
Oommoolklan	Lactation period (in days)	7-14	15-21	22-30	p-value					
Composition										
Fat (%)		5.963±1.983	6.150±1.801	4.440±1.582	0.232					
S.N.F (%)		10.438±0.466	9.930±0.414	10.040±0.182	0.041					
Freezing point (Fp) (°C)		0.692±0.048	0.654±0.024	0.648±0.014	0.041					
Protein (%)		3.788±0.181	3.610±0.152	3.600±0.071	0.042					
Lactose (%)		5.700±0.278	5.420±0.229	5.460±0.114	0.049					
Mean ± SD ; Significant at	p < 0.05									

#### Table 2 - Table showing the effect of litter size on milk composition of Boer goats

No of kids Composition	Single	Twins	Correlation coefficient (r)	p-value
Fat (%)	6.44±2.357	5.39±1.587	-0.263	0.225
S.N.F (%)	10.357±0.556	10.031±0.366	-0.343	0.109
Freezing point (Fp) (°C)	0.689±0.056	0.656±0.020	-0.424*	0.043
Protein (%)	3.757±0.336	3.631±0.130	-0.350	0.101
Lactose (%)	5.657±0.336	5.469±0.199	-0.346	0.106
Mean + SD. Significant at $p < 0.05$ . *denotes correlation is significant at $p < 0.05$ .	gnificant at 0.05 level.			

#### DISCUSSION

The study performed by Idamokoro et al. (2017) was in line with our findings showing that the milk composition including fat showed no significant difference (p > 0.05) during the early, mid, and late stages of lactation. The mean fat percent of Boer milk was calculated to be 4.7% (Mestawet et al., 2012) which was lower than the result obtained within our study. The lower level of fat in goat milk is due to an increase in the molar percentage of propionic acid and the decline in the molar percentage of acetic acids found in the rumen (Morand-Fehr and Sauvant, 1980). But some researches in goats showed that the fat content in the milk gets slowly decreased in 30 days experimental period. The reason behind the decrease of milk fat can be explained by the increase in the percentage of monounsaturated fatty acids with the progress of lactation from 28.2% in colostrum to 33.0% at 30 days post-partum at the expense of polyunsaturated fatty acid which is the main component of milk fat (Marounek et al., 2012). The average fat globule size of goat milk (3.5 µm) is significantly smaller than that of cow milk (4.5 µm) and goat milk possesses a higher percentage of small fat globules than that of cow milk (Knights and Garcia, 1997).

The average SNF content in the milk of Boer goats was observed to be  $9.20\pm0.40\%$  which is lower compared to our findings (Idamokoro et al., 2017). Mean S.N.F content of Boer goat's milk raised under the intensive and extensive system was obtained to be  $10.4 \pm 6.5\%$  and  $10.7 \pm 5.1\%$  respectively (Greyling et al., 2004). The research performed by Simos et al. (1996) explained that S.N.F content in the milk was determined by genetic factors rather than the energy intake of an animal.

The correlation coefficient between the freezing point and lactose content in the Boer milk was obtained to be 0.930 (strongly correlated) which is in line with the finding of Janštová et al. (2019) stating that freezing point of goat milk is influenced mainly by lactose and chlorides content in the milk. The proportion of milk constituents in a true solution determines the freezing point of milk and whose proportion of those constituents are affected by multiple factors like breed, the occurrence of subclinical mastitis, nutritional deficiencies, stage of lactation, water intake, thermal stress, and seasonal variation, presence of CO2 in milk, etc. (Slaghuis, 2001). The freezing point of the milk helps to determine the adulteration of water in the milk as it is the least variable component of milk in normal conditions (Zee, 1982).

Milk protein content tends to increase along with the advancement of the lactation period but this outcome didn't hold the truth in case of milk within a month after parturition based on the result obtained during our study (Singh, 1990). Proteins are composed of different amino-acids with 0.7–1.0%N and are influenced by breed, stage of lactation, feeding, climate, parity, season, and udder health status. Milk fat and protein content were determined mainly by the dietary energy balance (Sauvant et al., 1987).

A maximum of  $5.27 \pm 1.05\%$  lactose was recorded in the intensive feeding of Boer goats in week 5 which is in line with our results (Banda et al., 1992). The highest mean daily milk lactose content ( $5.0 \pm 0.7\%$ ) was recorded by Boer does managed intensively (Greyling et al., 2004). Goat milk is often considered as a viable dairy option to those infants showing allergic reactions to both cow milk and soya-based formula because of its lower lactose content for lactose intolerant individuals. Our result was in agreement with Singh and Sengar (1979), who observed that lactose content showed a tendency to decline as the lactation period progresses.

The study of Zamuner et al. (2020) explained that the goats with single kids produce milk with higher fat% and protein% than goats delivering multiple kids. The subsequent decrease in milk fat, protein, lactose, and S.N.F content of Boer goat with single, twins, and triplets was recorded respectively (Lacasse et al., 2014). Goats nursing two kids produce

20

more milk (Non-significant) than a single kid (Alkass and Merkhan, 2011). Milk yield and milk composition are negatively correlated (Simos et al., 1991). Suckling reflex post-partum and the physiological mechanism during pregnancy prepares udder to produce more milk for does carrying multiple fetuses (Macciotta et al., 2008). Some contrasting theories are also present explaining that there is no effect of litter size on milk composition (Carnicella et al., 2008). Several studies had suggested that seasonal variations such as temperature, relative humidity, rainfall, and solar radiation/photoperiod showed a significant effect on milk physicochemical composition (Clark and García, 2017). Mammary development and subsequent milk production and its composition are influenced by pre-partum photoperiod in dairy cows, ewes, and does.

#### CONCLUSION

Lactation length showed a significant effect on lactose, solids nonfat, protein, and freezing point of milk except for fat content in Boer goats within 1 month of parturition. Besides, litter size had a significant influence on the freezing point of milk. Twinning did not significant influence fat, protein, lactose and solids nonfat content of goat milk. All constituents were weakly correlated to litter size however freezing point showed moderately strong correlation. Thus, more focus must be given to the nutritional management of triplets and twins to improve their growth rate compared to a single kid.

#### DECLARATIONS

#### **Corresponding Author**

E-mail: saregme@gmail.com; ORCID: 0000-0003-1856-8780

#### **Competing interest**

We have no conflicts of interest to disclose concerning the research, authorship, and publication of this article.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to convey our sincere gratitude to all our mentors in the National Livestock Breeding Office (NLBO) for their continuous guidance and support during our study. We are thankful to the farm management team for providing us with the lab facilities without which our study was impossible.

#### Authors' contribution

S.Regmi performed conceptualization, methodology, analysis and writing-original draft; P.Mahato performed conceptualization, methodology, analysis; Kh.R. Sapkota performed writing- review editing.

#### REFERENCES

Alkass JE and Merkhan K (2011). Milk production traits of indigenous Black and Meriz goats raised under farm production system. Research Opinions in Animal & Veterinary Sciences, 1(11):708-713. http://www.roavs.com/pdf-files/Issue\_11\_2011/708-713.pdf

Amos IBMS (2011). IBM SPSS Statistics 20. helps improve productivity and decision making through analytics.

- Banda JW, Steinbach J and Zerfas HP (1992). Milk yield, milk composition and kid growth in Boer and East African goats in Malawi. https://hdl.handle.net/10568/70834
- Carnicella D, Dario M, Ayres MCC, Laudadio V, and Dario C (2008). The effect of diet, parity, year and number of kids on milk yield and milk composition in Maltese goat. Small Ruminant Research, 77(1): 71–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2008.02.006
- Chilliard Y (1997). Biochemical characteristics of goat milk lipids. A comparison with cow's and human milk. Colloques de l'INRA, France. https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=FR1998001566.
- Ciappesoni G, Pribyl J, Milerski M, and Mares V (2004). Factors affecting goat milk yield and its composition. Czech Journal of Animal Science, 11:465-473. https://www.agriculturejournals.cz/publicFiles/53274.pdf
- Clark S, and García MBM (2017). A 100-year review: Advances in goat milk research. Journal of Dairy Science, 100(12): 10026–10044. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13287
- Crepaldi P, Corti M, and Cicogna M (1999). Factors affecting milk production and prolificacy of Alpine goats in Lombardy (Italy). Small Ruminant Research, 32(1): 83–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4488(98)00156-4
- Desbois AP, and SmithnVJ. (2010). Antibacterial free fatty acids: activities, mechanisms of action and biotechnological potential. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, 85(6): 1629–1642. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-009-2355-3
- Greyling JPC, Mmbengwa, VM, Schwalbach LMJ, and Muller T (2004). Comparative milk production potential of Indigenous and Boer goats under two feeding systems in South Africa. Small Ruminant Research, 55(1-3): 97-105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2003.11.014
- Idamokoro EM, Muchenje V, and Masika PJ (2017). Yield and Milk composition at different stages of lactation from a small herd of nguni, boer, and non-descript goats raised in an extensive production system. Sustainability, 9(6):1000. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9061000
- Janštová B, Dračková M, Navrátilová P, Hadra L, and Vorlová L (2019). Freezing point of raw and heat-treated goat milk, Czech Journal of Animal Science, 52: 394-398. https://doi.org/10.17221/2324-CJAS
- Kalyankar SD, Khedkar CD, and Patil AM (2016). Goat milk. Encyclopedia of Food and Health (1st ed.). Elsevier Ltd, Amstrdam. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384947-2.00358-5
- Knights M, and Garcia GW (1997). The status and characteristics of the goat (Capra hircus) and its potential role as a significant milk producer in the tropics: a review. Small Ruminant Research, 26(3):203–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4488(96)00977-7

- Lacasse P, Vinet CM, and Petitclerc D (2014). Effect of prepartum photoperiod and melatonin feeding on milk production and prolactin concentration in dairy heifers and cows. Journal of Dairy Science, 97(6): 3589–3598. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2013-7615
- Lu, CD (2001). Boer Goat Production : Progress and Perspective. Proceedings of International Conference on Boer Goats. October 20-24, 2001, pp.1–11. https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.550.3269&rep=rep1&type=pdf
- Macciotta NPP, Dimauro C, Steri R, and Cappio-Borlino A (2008). Mathematical modelling of goat lactation curves, in: Dairy Goats Feeding and Nutrition, CAB International, UK. pp. 31–46. https://www.cabi.org/cabebooks/ebook/20083075570
- Marounek M, Pavlata L, Mišurová L, Volek Z, and Dvořák R (2012). Changes in the composition of goat colostrum and milk fatty acids during the first month of lactation, 2 Czech Journal of Animal Science, 57: 28-33. https://doi.org/10.17221/5481-CJAS
- Mestawet TA, Girma A, Ådnøy T, Devold TG, Narvhus JA, and Vegarud GE (2012). Milk production, composition and variation at different lactation stages of four goat breeds in Ethiopia. Small Ruminant Research, 105(1-3): 176-181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2011.11.014
- Morand-Fehr P, and Sauvant D (1980). Composition and Yield of Goat Milk as Affected by Nutritional Manipulation. Journal of Dairy Science, 63(10): 1671–1680. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(80)83129-8
- Nepali, JB (2016). Goat Farming Technical Manual. District Livestock Service Office, Gorkha. https://www.jica.go.jp/nepal/english/office/others/c8h0vm0000bjww96-att/tm\_7.pdf
- Park YW (2009). Impact of goat milk and milk products on human nutrition. CAB Reviews, Cab international, UK. DOI : http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/PAVSNNR20072081
- Sauvant D, Hervieu J, Giger S, Ternois F, Mandran N, and Morand-Fehr P (1987). Influence of dietary organic matter digestibility on goat nutrition and production at the onset of lactation. Annales dezootechnie, INRA/EDP Sciences, 36 (3): 335-336. https://hal.archivesouvertes.fr/hal-00888594/document
- Simos EN, Nikolaou EM, and Zoiopoulos PE (1996). Yield, composition and certain physicochemical characteristics of milk of the epirus mountain sheep breed. Small Ruminant Research, 20(1): 67–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4488(95)00780-6
- Simos E, Voutsinas LP, and Pappas CP (1991). Composition of milk of native Greek goats in the region of Metsovo. Small Ruminant Research, 4(1): 47-60. https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-4488(91)90052-R
- Singh SN (1990). Studies on the combining ability of desirable characters of important goat breeds, July 1, 1974 to Dec. 23, 1985. https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US201300035630
- Singh, SN, and Sengar OP S (1979). Studies on the combining ability of desirable characters of important goat breeds for meat and milk separately and in combination; July 1, 1974 to October 31, 1979. https://agris.fao.org/agris search/search.do?recordID=US201300343502
- Slaghuis BA (2001). The freezing point of authentic and original farm bulk tank milk in The Netherlands. International Dairy Journal, 11(3):121-126. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-6946(01)00043-7
- Sung YY, Wu TI, and Wang PH (1999). Evaluation of milk quality of Alpine, Nubian, Saanen and Toggenburg breeds in Taiwan. Small Ruminant Research, 33(1): 17–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-4488(98)00201-6
- Zamuner F, Cameron AWN, and Leury BJ (2020). Effects of month of kidding , parity number , and litter size on milk yield of commercial dairy goats in Australia. Journal of Dairy Science, 103(1): 954–964. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-17051
- Zee B (1982). The freezing point of authentic farm tank milk in the Netherlands. Netherlands Milk and Dairy Journal, 36: 291-303. http://pascal-francis.inist.fr/vibad/index.php?action=getRecordDetail&idt=PASCAL83X0230078



DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.5

# CHARACTERISTICS OF OSTRICH MEAT IN MANUFACTURING SAUSAGE IN COMPARISON WITH BEEF

Yasir Hilal ELHASHMI<sup>NM</sup>, Sulafa Yousif FALIH and Mohammed Elkhatim Ibrahim ABDALMAGEED

Department of Meat Production and Technology, Faculty of Animal Production, University of Gezira, Al-Managil, P.O.Box 20, Sudan

Email: yahilal@gmail.com ; OORCID: 0000-0002-7298-6169

Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT**: Ostrich meat has become one of the most popular meat around the world. The objectives of this study are to evaluate the quality characteristics of processed meat, compared to products made from beef. The Ostrich and beef meat was prepared and stored frozen. Sausage was processed in laboratory and analyzed for chemical composition (crude protein, moisture, fat and ash). Physical properties include Water-holding capacity (WHC), cooking loss and microbiological analysis were done. The findings of this study revealed that, chemical composition of sausage from ostrich and beef had highly significant differences in moisture, crude protein, lipids and ash among all types of products. Physical attribute of sausage revealed that, there were highly significant differences in *E. coli, staphylococcus aurous*, total coli form, yeast and mold and total viable count but was not significant differences in Pseudomonas among all types of sausage. The study recommended that ostrich meat can be used on manufacturing of meat as an alternative to beef meat in limited amount.

Revised: January 18, 2021 Accepted: January 22, 2021	Received: December 15, 20:	PII: S222877012100005-1.	RESEARCH ARTIC
---	----------------------------	--------------------------	----------------

Keywords: Animal Product, Meat, Ostrich, Processing, Sausage.

#### INTRODUCTION

Today, the world faces the problem of shortage in food supply, which creates the malnutrition problem and its consequences in the developing countries, processed meat from non- conventional meat sources like wild animals spatially Ostrich can used as part of solving the problem (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2011). Sausage is prepared food usually made from ground meat, animal fat, salt, spices and typically backed in a casing (Steven et al., 2019). Commonly, there are six types of sausages: fresh sausage, uncooked smoked sausage, cooked smoke sausage, and cooked sausage, dry and semi-dry sausage (Hasan Hussein et al., 2019; Steven et al., 2019).

According to Hoffman et al. (2005) meat from ostriches fed a standard diet contains 21.65, 1.95 and 1.2% protein, fat and ash, respectively. Ostrich meat is recommended for overweight people and for those who suffer from coronary heart disease (Cooper 1999; Antunes et al., 2018; Zdanowska-Sąsiadek et al., 2018). Thus, during cooking, the fat content increase and causes decrease in moisture (Sales et al., 1999). Compared with other species, e.g. chicken and beef, ostrich meat shows a beneficial fatty acids profile (Sales and Horbanczuk, 1998).

Atef et al. (2015) were found that, the chemical composition of beef sausage was: moisture (65.31%) crud fat 20%, ash 9.5% and crud protein 61.28% when investigated effect of Arak Stems Extracts on chemical characteristics bacteria activity evaluation of beef sausage products. Alamin (2015) studied sausage some quality attribute, she found that water holding capacity of beef sausage was 1.06% and the cooking loss was (22.02%). Ibrahim, (2008) reported that Beef Sausage water holding capacity was 59.55% and cooking loss was 17%. Atef et al. (2015) found that the microbial count of beef sausage was: E. coli: N.D, Salmonella: N.D, *staphylococcus aureus*: N.D, Coli form group D and total viable count was (6.15%). The objectives of this study are to evaluate the quality characteristics of processed meat, compared to products made from beef and meat with other meat animals.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The ostrich and beef meat was obtained and then stored and frozen. The additional materials needed in the formulation were spices, salt, potatoes, onion, garlic, Chick Peas, milk Powder, Bread crumb and Rice.

Two types of sausage (Ostrich and beef) were produced. The minced meat (ostrich, beef) was mixed with other ingredients (Beef fat, chick peas, rice, water, salt, skim Milk powder and seasoning mixture). The mixture was stuffed into prepared sheep intestine casing and formed into fingers of about 5–7 cm in length. Samples of the two types of sausage were analyzed to evaluate the chemical composition, Physical composition, microbial load and sensory evaluation.

Proximate chemical composition for determination of moisture, crude protein, fat (ether extract) and ash of the sample was done according to A.O.A.C. (2002). The cooking loss was determined according to Honkel (1998) method. WHC determination was done according to the method of Babiker and Lawrie (1983). Microbial load on (sausage) was assessed, it includes the identification of total viable counts, coliforms, yeast and mould, pseudomonas, E. coli, Salmonella ssp. Staphylococcus aureus.

#### **Statistical analysis**

All statistical analysis was done by using the computer with SPSS program Ver. (17, 2008) and Duncan multiple range tests was used to detect difference between means (Snedecor and Cochran, 1980).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 showed the chemical composition of sausage from ostrich and beef. The moisture of sausages processed from ostrich and beef were 66.99% and 68.95% respectively. There were highly significant differences in moisture (P≤ 0.01) among all type of sausages. The higher moisture was found in beef sausage, while the lower moisture was recorded in ostrich sausage. In this study the moisture content was greater than that of Atef et al. (2015), who reported that moisture of beef sausage was (65.31%) and less than Mohammed (2009) who observed that moisture of beef sausage was (72.43%) and disagree with Ibrahim (2008) who recorded that moisture of beef sausage was (57.56%).

The protein of sausages processed from ostrich and beef were 19.25% and 14.00% respectively. There were highly significant differences (P≤0.01) in protein among the products. The higher protein content was observed in ostrich sausage, while the lower protein was found in beef sausage. The findings were lesser than Atef et al, (2015) who were pointed out that the crude protein (CP) of beef sausage was (61.28%) and agreement with Ibrahim (2008) who found that CP of beef sausage (14.09%), but disagree with Mohammed (2009) who stated out that CP of beef sausage was (17.55%).

The ether extract of fat of sausage processed from ostrich and beef were 1.83%, 0.83% respectively. There were highly significant differences ( $P \le 0.01$ ) in total energy expenditure (E.E) of fat among all type of the product. The higher E.E of fat content was observed in ostrich sausage. The findings were very lower than Atef et al. (2015) who found that Fat of beef sausage was 20%, also lesser than Mohammed (2009) who pointed out that Fat of beef sausage was (7.79%) And disagree with Ibrahim (2008) who reported that Fat of beef sausage was (16.49%).

Ash content of sausage processed from ostrich and beef were 2.44% and 2.04% respectively. There were highly significant differences (P≤0.01) in ash between ostrich sausage and beef sausage. The higher ash was found in ostrich sausage. This finding was lower than that of Atef et al. (2015) who found that Ash of beef sausage was (9.5%), but agreement with Mohammed (2009) who stated out that Ash beef sausage was (2.02%) and greater that Ibrahim (2008) who observed that Ash beef sausage was (1.07%).

Variables		Sau	Sausage			
variables	Over All	Ostrich	Beef	- Sig.		
Moisture %	68.47±0.29	66.99±0.41 <sup>b</sup>	68.95±0.41ª	**		
Crude Protein %	16.63±0.004	19.25±0.006ª	14.00±0.006 <sup>b</sup>	**		
Ether. Extract %	1.33±0.004	1.83±0.006ª	0.83±0.006 <sup>b</sup>	**		
Ash %	2.24±0.01	2.44±0.02ª	2.04±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	**		

Table 2 showed some physical properties of sausage from ostrich and beef. The water holding capacity of sausage processed from ostrich and beef were 2.24, 3.35 respectively. There were highly significant differences (P≤0.01) in water holding capacity content among sausage. The higher water holding capacity content was observed in beef sausage. The findings were greater than Alamin (2015) who found that the water holding capacity of beef sausage was (1.06%) when was studied sausage some quality attribute, but lower than Ibrahim (2008) who stated out that the water holding capacity of beef sausage was (59.55%).

Cooking loss of sausage processed from ostrich and beef were 32.41, 39.95 respectively. There were highly significant differences (P≤0.05) in cooking loss between ostrich and beef sausage. The higher cooking loss was found to be in beef sausage. The findings were very higher than Alamin (2015) whom reported that cooking loss of beef sausage was (22.02%) when investigated some quality attribute, also greater than Ibrahim (2008) who found that cooking loss of beef sausage was (17%).

Table 3 showed the microbiological properties for sausage of ostrich and beef E. coli of sausage processed from ostrich and beef were zero (MPN/g), 5 (MPN/g) respectively. There were highly significant difference (P≤0.01) observed among ostrich and beef sausage. The higher *E. coli* content was found to be in beef sausage. The finding was in line with Hamad (2010) who found that *E. coli* count of beef sausage was (20%) when studied prevalence of *Escherichia*. *Coli*, salmonella and *Staphylococcus aureus* and processed meat in Khartoum State, but disagree with Atef et al. (2015), who was not detected *E. coli* bacteria.

Variables	Over All	Sausage			
Vallabics		Ostrich	Beef	JIG.	
Water holding capacity	2.80±0.004	2.24±0.006 <sup>b</sup>	3.35±0.006ª	**	
Cooking Loss	36.18±0.004	32.41±0.006 <sup>b</sup>	39.95±0.006ª	**	

\* The differences between mean of quantitative parameters are marked by various letters in the same raw are significant (P≤ 0.05). \*\* Mean there is a highly significant differences (P≤ 0.01).

# **Table 3** -Average values (Means $\pm$ SE) of microbiological properties for sausage of Ostrich and Beef

Variables	Over All	Saus	Sid	
	Over All	Ostrich	Beef	Jig.
E.coli (MPN/g)	2.50+0.33	0	5.00±0.47ª	**
Pseudomonas (cfu/g)	0	0	0	NS
Staphylococcus (cfu/g)	2.08×10 <sup>3</sup> ±0.13	3.33×10 <sup>2</sup> ±0.19 <sup>b</sup>	3.83×10 <sup>3</sup> ±0.19ª	**
Total coli form (MPN/g)	17.67±0.65	7.33±0.92 <sup>b</sup>	28.00±0.92ª	**
Yeast and Mould (cfu/g)	1.00×10 <sup>2</sup> ±0.34	0	2.00×10 <sup>2</sup> ±0.49 <sup>a</sup>	**
Total viable count (cfu/g)	3.48×10 <sup>6</sup> ±0.88	6.43×10 <sup>4</sup> ±1.24 <sup>b</sup>	6.90×10 <sup>6</sup> ±1.24 <sup>a</sup>	**
* The differences between mean of quantitative paramet	ere are marked by variou	s letters in the same raw	are significant (P< 0.05)	** Mean

\* The differences between mean of quantitative parameters are marked by various letters in the same raw are significant (P≤ 0.05). \*\* Mean there is a highly significant differences (P≤ 0.01). NS: non-significant

Table 4 - Salmonella presence in sausage from ostrich and beef						
		Saus	age			
Detection of salmonella	0:	strich	Beef			
	N	%	N	%		
Positive	0	0	1	33.3		
Negative	3	100	2	66.7		
Total	3	100	3	100		

The pseudo of sausage processed from ostrich and beef were zero (CFU/g), zero (CFU/g) respectively. There were no significant differences in Pseudomonas count observed among ostrich and beef sausage. The Staphylococcus of sausage processed from ostrich and beef were  $3.33 \times 10^2$  (CFU/g),  $3.83 \times 10^3$  (CFU/g) respectively. There were highly significant difference (P≤0.01) in Staphylococcus among ostrich and beef sausage. While beef sausage recorded the highest content of Staphylococcus while the lowest content of Staphylococcus was found in ostrich sausage. The findings were in line with Hamad (2010) who stated out that staphylococcus of beef sausage was (52%) when investigated prevalence of *Escherichia. Coli*, salmonella and *Staphylococcus aureus* and processed meat in Khartoum State, while Atef et al. (2015) were not detected staphylococcus in beef sausage when studied the effect of Arak Stems Extracts on chemical characteristics bacteria activity and sensory evaluation of beef sausage products. The total coli form was found to be in beef sausage. The results in the present study were agreement with Atef et al. (2015), who found that total coli form content among sausages. The highest content of total coli characteristics bacteria activity and sensory evaluation of arak stems extracts on chemical coli form was found to be in beef sausage. The results in the present study were agreement with Atef et al. (2015), who found that total coli form count in beef sausage was detected when studied the effect of arak stems extracts on chemical characteristics bacteria activity and sensory evaluation and stape stracts on chemical characteristics bacteria activity and sensory evaluation of arak stems extracts on chemical characteristics bacteria activity and sensory evaluation of arak stems extracts on chemical characteristics bacteria activity and sensory evaluation of beef sausage stracts on chemical characteristics bacteria activity and sensory evaluation of beef sausage bacteria activity and sensory evaluation of

The yeast and moulds of sausage processed from ostrich and beef were zero (CFU/g),  $2 \times 10^2$  (CFU/g) respectively. There were highly significant differences (P≤0.01) in yeast and moulds between (ostrich and beef) sausage. The highest yeast and moulds content was found in beef sausage, while was not found in ostrich sausage. The total viable count of bacteria for sausage processed from ostrich and beef were  $6.43 \times 10^5$  (CFU/g),  $6.90 \times 10^7$  (cFU/g) respectively. There were highly significant differences (P≤0.01) in total viable count among all type of sausage. The higher content of total viable count was found in beef sausage, while the lower content of total viable count was recorded in ostrich sausage. The findings of study were greater than Atef et al. (2015) who pointed out that the total coli from count in beef sausage was 6.25 cfu/g when studied the effect of arak stems extracts on chemical characteristics bacteria activity and sensory evaluation of beef sausage products. Table 4 showed that the detection of salmonella sausage processed from ostrich was O(N)-O% positive and 3(N)-100% negative. While beef sausage was 1(N)-33.3% positive and 2(N)-66.7% negative. There was no significant differences found among detection of salmonella for all types of sausage. The findings were disagree with Atef et al. (2015), who found that detection of salmonella in beef sausage was not detected but was agreement with Hamad (2010), who observed salmonella of beef sausage was (20%).

#### CONCLUSION

The findings of this study revealed that, chemical composition for ostrich sausage was the highest percentage in crude protein, Ash and total energy expenditure of fat while beef sausage was the highest percentage in moisture. For microbiological load, beef sausage showed the highest count in all microorganisms which included in the study except total coli form and Pseudomonas were not detected in sausages. The salmonella was not detected in ostrich sausage, while it was detected in beef sausage.

#### Recommendation

- · It is strongly recommended to use ostrich meat for sausage processing.
- Promotion programs are needed to make ostrich meat products more acceptable to consumers.
- Control of E.coli and other food-borne pathogens such as Salmonella and Staphylococcus aureus can be achieved.

Precautions should include adequate cooking and avoidance of cross contamination of cooked meat by contaminated equipment, water or infected food handlers.

#### DECLARATIONS

#### **Corresponding Author**

E-mail: yahilal@gmail.com; ORCID: 0000-0002-7298-6169

#### **Authors' Contribution**

All authors contributed in research conduction, analyzing and writing, equally.

#### **Conflict of interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (2002). Official method of analysis. Association of Official Analytical chemist. Horwitz, W. (ed), Washington, DC, USA. https://www.aoac.org/official-methods-of-analysis-21st-edition-2019/
- Alamin SAE (2015). Sausages some quality attribute. American International Journal of Research in Humanities, Arts and Social Sciences, 12: 39-43. <a href="http://iasir.net/AlJRHASSpapers/AlJRHASS15-723.pdf">http://iasir.net/AlJRHASSpapers/AlJRHASS15-723.pdf</a>
- Antunes IC, Ribeiro MF, Pimentel FB, Alves SP, Oliveira M, Bessa R, and Quaresma M (2018). Lipid profile and quality indices of ostrich meat and giblets. Poultry Science, 97(3): 1073–1081. <u>https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pex379</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Atef AA, Nahed MA, Amr A, and May MA (2015). The effect of arak stems extracts on chemical characteristics, bacterial activity and sensory evaluation of beef sausage products. International Journal of Advances in Agricultural and Environmental Engineering (IJAAEE), 2: 39-43. <a href="https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=rY9dYwIAAAAJ&hl=enGoogleScholar">https://scholar.google.com/citations?user=rY9dYwIAAAAJ&hl=enGoogleScholar</a>
- Babiker SA, and Lawrie RA (1983). Post mortem electrical stimulation and high temperature aging of hot deboned beef. Meat science, 8(1):1-20. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0309-1740(83)90028-1</u> | <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Cooper RG (1999). Ostrich meat, an important product of the ostrich industry: a southern African perspective. World's Poultry Science Journal, 55: 389-402. <u>https://doi.org/10.1079/WPS19990027</u> | <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Food and Agriculture Organization FAO (2011). The state of food security in the world. Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome. http://www.fao.org/3/i2330e/i2330e00.htm I Google Scholar
- Hamad NMH (2000). Prevalence of Escherichia Coli, salmonella and staphylococcus aureus in processed meat in Khartoum State. University of Alnileen .Khartoum. Sudan. <u>http://khartoumspace.uofk.edu/handle/123456789/9296</u>
- Hasan Hussein F, Razavi S, Emam Djomeh Z (2019). Evaluation of physicochemical, sensorial and microbiological attributes of fermented camel sausages. Iranian Journal of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering (IJCCE), 38(2):171-181. http://www.ijcce.ac.ir/article\_30665\_d6ca3ba13cb406fe761526883c62bc28.pdf | Google Scholar
- Hoffman LC, Joubert M, Brand TS, and Manley M (2005) .The effect of dietary fish oil rich in n-3 fatty acids on the organoleptic, fatty acid and physicochemical characteristics of ostrich meat. Meat Science, 70: 45-53. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2004.11.019</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Honkel KO (1998). Reference method for the assessment of physical characteristics of meat. Meat Sciences, 49: 447-457. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0309-1740(98)00034-5 | Google Scholar
- Ibrahim S (2008). Utilization of wheat germ flour in the processing of sausage. M.Sc. thesis in meat technology. Khartoum University, Sudan. Link I Google Scholar

- Mohammed RA, (2009). Quality evaluation of beef sausage incorporated with bee honey. M.Sc. thesis in meat Technology. Khartoum University, Sudan. Link I Google Scholar
- Sales J and Horbanczuk J (1998). Ratite meat. World's Poultry Science Journal 54: 59-67. <u>https://doi.org/10.1079/WPS19980005</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Sales J, Horbanczuk JO, Dingle J, Coleman R and Sensik S (1999). Carcass characteristics of emus (Dromaius ovaehollandiae). British Poultry Science 40, 145-147. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00071669987999</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. (1980). Statistical method 7th Ed. The Iowa state university press, Ames, Iowa, USA. 1980:39-63. Google Scholar
- Steven ML, David GT, and Dennis NM (2019). Chapter 14 sausage processing and production Editors: Steven M. Lonergan, David G. Topel, Dennis N. Marple, The science of animal growth and meat technology (second edition), Academic Press, Elsevier Inc. Pp. 229-253. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815277-5.00014-7">https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815277-5.00014-7</a> Link
- Zdanowska SŻ, Marchewka J, Horbańczuk JO, Wierzbicka A, Lipińska P, Jóźwik A, Atanasov AG, Huminiecki Ł, Sieroń A and Sieroń K, (2018). Nutrients composition in fit snacks made from ostrich, beef and chicken dried meat. Molecules, 23: 1267. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23061267 | Google Scholar

Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research Volume 11, Issue 1: 28-35; January 25, 2021



**RESEARCH ARTICLE** Pll: S222877012100006-11 Received: November 07, 2020 Revised: January 23, 2021

Accepted: January 24, 2021

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.51227/ojafr.2021.6

# BIOMASS YIELD AND QUALITY OF FODDER FROM SELECTED VARIETIES OF LABLAB (*Lablab Purpureus* L) IN NANDI SOUTH SUB-COUNTY OF KENYA

Anthony Juma WANGILA<sup>1</sup><sup>N</sup>, Charles Karuku GACHUIRI<sup>1</sup>, James Wanjohi MUTHOMI<sup>1</sup> and John Okeyo OJIEM<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Production, University of Nairobi, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, Nairobi, Kenya <sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Science and Crop Protection, University of Nairobi, College of Agriculture and veterinary sciences, Nairobi, Kenya <sup>2</sup>Kenya Agricultural and Livestock Research Organization, (KALRO) Kibos, Horticulture Research Center, Kenya

Email; anthonywangila94@gmail.com; ORCID: 0000-0001-9114-9625

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Low quality feeds is the main challenge ailing livestock production among the small-scale farmers in the tropics. Cheaper sources of alternative high quality fodder supplements are needed to improve livestock productivity. The objective of this study was to determine biomass yield and quality of fodder from selected lablab varieties. Eight lablab varieties namely, DL1002, Ngwara Nyeupe, Echo-Cream, Black-Rongai, Eldo-Kt-Cream, Eldo-Kt-Black1, Brown Rongai and Eldo-Kt-Black2 were established in three sites of Nandi south sub county, Kenya. Randomized complete block design was used at farm level with four replications per site. Data on biomass yield, chemical composition and in vitro-dry matter digestibility of the eight lablab forages was collected. Biomass yield differed significantly among the lablab varieties ranging from 5.6-12.6 t DM/ha across the three sites. Highest biomass yield was recorded for Brown Rongai (12.6 t DM/ha) and lowest with DL1002 (5.6 t DM/ha). Crude protein (CP) content varied significantly between varieties with sites ranging from 19.6-23.9 g/100g. Highest CP was recorded with Eldo-Kt-Cream and Black Rongai (23.9 g/100g and 23.7 g/100g) across the three sites. For all the varieties, Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) ranged from 44.4-48.6 g/100g, acid detergent fibre (ADF) 31.6-35.7 g/100g and acid detergent lignin (ADL) 9.0-11.9 g/100g across the three sites. Highest NDF was recorded with DL1002 (48.6 g/100g), ADF with Eldoret-Kitale-Black2 (35.9 g/100g) and acid detergent lignin with DL1002 (11.7 g/100g). In vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) varied significantly between varieties and sites ranging from 67.6-75.7 g/100g between the varieties across the three sites. Eldo-Kt-cream and Black Rongai had the highest IVDMD (75.7 and 74.4 g/100g) across the three sites. Eldoret-Kitale-Cream and Black Rongai varieties had better dry matter yield, crude protein and low fibre fractions compared to the other varieties signifying their potential to be recommended as supplement to low quality fodder by small-scale farmers.

Keywords: Biomass yield, Digestibility, Feed, Fodder, Lablab.

#### INTRODUCTION

In Kenya, livestock contributes over 12% to the Growth Domestic Product (GDP) and accounts for 47% of Agricultural GDP (Kabubo-Mariara, 2008). The adequate provision of livestock feed is key to food security especially in the developing countries as animals are capable of converting low quality feedstuffs into high quality foods such as meat, milk and eggs (Amare et al., 2020). Sixty to seventy percent of livestock production costs has been attributed to feeds (Amare et al., 2020). Increase in livestock production by small-scale farmers will majorly rely on proper utilization of locally available feed resources to meet nutrient requirements (Bell et al., 2018). In Kenya, almost one-third of the small-scale farmers experience insufficient livestock feeds as the main challenge especially in dry seasons (Lukuyu et al., 2011). Most farmers feed their livestock on low quality feeds such as natural grass, maize stover, wheat straw, bean haulms and banana pseudo stems that are deficient in protein content (Abera and Berhanu, 2017; Redae and Tekle, 2020; Yiberkew et al., 2020). Production and use of fodder legumes is one of the cheaper ways of increasing both the quantity and quality of livestock feeds (Sharma et al., 2018).

Dolichos bean (*Lablab purpureus* L. Sweet), a vegetable crop of Asia and Africa origin (Bhardwaj and Hamama., 2019), was reported by Keerthi et al. (2015) as a good protein supplement for low quality animal feeds. This legume belongs to the family Fabaceae, sub family Faboideae, tribe phaseoleae and sub-tribe Phaseolineae (Gupta et al., 2017). The legume grows fast and can easily provide fodder within three months after planting (ILRI, 2013). It can produce approximately 4.5-20.1 tones DM/ha depending on soil fertility and rainfall distribution (Abera and Berhanu, 2017). The whole plant contain protein content of 13-24.5% varying from variety to variety (Heuzé et al., 2014; Bhardwaj and Hamama, 2019) comparable with Lucerne (15%), Clover legume (20%) and Fresh faba bean (14-20%) CP (Roy et al., 2016). It is a widely cultivated, highly drought resistant legume vegetable crop that can be grown in tropics and subtropics where soil fertility is low to be used as human food, forage and as a cover crop for soil conservation (Kumar, 2017; Bhardwaj and Hamama., 2019).

Kumar et al. (2018) observed that the use of less costly and easily available indigenous feed resources such as legume forages as opposed to commercial feeds had great ability to enhance livestock productivity. This study therefore aimed at evaluating the biomass yield and quality of varieties of *Lablab purpureus* as a supplement to low quality fodder.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Description of the study site

The study was conducted in Nandi south Sub-County in the Rift Valley region of Kenya. The altitude ranges from 1,400 m along the border with Nyando district to 2,400 m ASL in the highlands. The common soil texture type is loam and clay. Temperatures range from 15 to 26 °C and rainfall between 1200-2000 mm p.a. It has two rainy seasons; the long rains between March and June and the short rains between October and early December and the dry season occurs from late December to March (Onyango et al., 2016). Three sites with different climatic conditions and soil fertility were selected within Nandi South: Koibem site with temperature of 18 °C, high fertile soils with nitrogen content of 0.38% and carbon of 3.91% with annual rainfall distribution of 2,000 mm p.a., Kiptaruswo site with temperature of 20 °C medium soil fertility with nitrogen content of 0.26%, carbon content of 1.87% with annual rainfall distribution of 1,700 mm p.a. Kapkarer site with temperature of 22 °C, low soil fertility with nitrogen content of 0.16% and carbon 1.44 % with annual rainfall distribution of 1,600 mm p.a., respectively (Omondi et al., 2011; Landon, 2014).

#### Experimental treatment and design

Treatments consisted of eight varieties of lablab (*L. purpureus*) namely: DL1002, Ngwara Nyeupe, Echo-Cream, Black Rongai, Eldo-Kt-Cream, Eldo-Kt-Black1, Brown Rongai and Eldo-Kt-Black2. Seeds were acquired from Kenya Agricultural Livestock and Research Organization (KALRO) Kitale and were tested for viability at KALRO Kibos prior to planting. Each Lablab variety was planted in 5×4 m plots in each of the four farms per site. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with each farm representing a block comprising of eight plots. Diammonium phosphate (DAP) fertilizer was applied at the rate of 30 Kg DAP/ha mixed with the soil before seeds were sown. Lablab seeds were sown at the onset of rains at a rate of 30kg seeds/ha with a spacing of 45 cm between rows and 30 cm between plants and two seeds per hill. Weeding was done twice at an interval of 21 days of emergence. Data taken included biomass yield, crude protein content, neutral detergent fibre (NDF), acid detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent lignin (ADL) and in vitro dry matter digestibility (IVDMD).

#### **Determination of biomass yield**

At 50% flowering, a fresh sample was harvested for each variety by randomly cutting the plants 5 cm above the ground from each plot to make approximately 1 kg for each variety per plot. The harvested materials were accurately weighed, sealed in polythene bags for oven drying at 60 °C. The rest of the plants within each plot were harvested by cutting at 5 cm above the ground. They were placed into gunny bags and weighed using a 100 kg dial scale graduated to the nearest 1 kg to obtain the fresh biomass yield per plot. After drying the fresh samples in an oven of 60 °C to a constant weight, the new weight was recorded and the samples were ground using a Wiley mill standard model No. 3 with sieve of 0.5 mm. The dry matter content was then determined by drying in an oven at 105 °C for 5hrs. Subsequently, the biomass yield (dry matter) per ha was estimated through extrapolation from the plot size by multiplying the wet weight obtained per plot by the percentage dry matter to get dry matter yield per unit area .

#### **Determination of nutrient composition**

Dried milled samples were analyzed for crude protein content following the procedure of AOAC (1995). Neutral detergent fibre (NDF), acid detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent lignin (ADL) were determined using the method of Van Soest et al. (1991). The two-stage in vitro dry matter digestibility was determined following the procedure of Tilley and Terry (1963).

#### Data analysis

The data on biomass yield per unit area, crude protein, fibre fractions and *in vitro*-dry matter digestibility for different *L purpureus* varieties were subjected to Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for variation between varieties (both within and between sites). The significant means were separated using Tukey's statistical test at a significant level of 5%.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Biomass yield of different Lablab purpureus varieties

Dry matter yield of the lablab varieties differed significantly (P<0.05) both within and between sites except in Kapkarer site (Table 1). Within Kiptaruswo, highest dry matter yield was recorded with variety Brown Rongai and lowest with Echo-Cream. In Koibem high dry matter yield was observed with variety Brown Rongai while Eldoret-Kitale-Cream recorded lowest dry matter yield. Between sites, Echo-Cream variety recorded the highest dry matter yield in Koibem compared with Kapkarer and Kiptaruswo. Eldo-Kt-Cream recorded the highest dry matter yield in Kapkarer compared to Kiptaruswo and Koibem. The rest of the varieties had no significant differences in dry matter yields between the sites.

Low dry matter yield was observed for early flowering varieties (40-45 days after emergence) as these varieties did not exhibit bushy growth characteristics, had fewer branches thus minimal foliage. The dry matter yields of lablab varieties within this study were within 4.5-9.6 t DM/ha reported earlier by Amole et al. (2013); Bowen et al. (2018) and Tulu et al. (2018). However the yields were higher than 4 t DM/ha reported by Hassan et al. (2014). The differences in dry matter yield in this study could be attributed to genetic variations among the lablab varieties (Amole et al., 2013; Tulu et al., 2018) or difference in rainfall distribution and soil fertility within the three sites (Omondi, et al., 2011; Kebede et al., 2016).

The between site variations could be attributed to environmental variability, variations in soil moisture content, the initial plant populations per plot and soil types as these factors were reported by Hassan et al. (2014) and Kebede et al. (2016) to cause dry matter yield fluctuations in lablab. Different soil characteristics between the three sites was reported by Omondi et al. (2011) as sandy loam soils in Kapkarer and clay soils in Kiptaruswo and Koibem, this might have also caused variation in dry matter yields of lablab between sites. In an earlier study, Landon (2014) reported that Koibem site had high, Kiptaruswo medium and Kapkarer low fertile soils. As such, the high biomass yields per unit area in Koibem could be attributed to high fertile soils that supported robust growth of lablab. This could also mean that each of the lablab varieties has different environmental adaptations in which they can derive well compared to others (Tulu et al., 2018). However, the dry matter yields obtained in this study were within the range 5-14 t DM/ha that was reported by Hassan et al. (2014) as the satisfactory dry matter yield for good forage legume.

Table 1 - Dry matter yields of Lablab Purpureus varieties in the study area								
Lablab varieties	Sites	Kapkarer	Kiptaruswo	Koibem	Mean	SE		
Ton DM /ha								
Black-Rongai		8.2	6.8 <sup>abx</sup>	8.9 <sup>bcx</sup>	8.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.5		
Brown Rongai		11.9	<b>10.5</b> <sup>ax</sup>	<b>15.4</b> <sup>ax</sup>	<b>12.6</b> ª	2.9		
DL1002		6.1	6.0 <sup>abx</sup>	<b>4.8</b> cx	5.6 <sup>b</sup>	0.7		
Echo-Cream		5.0	3.9 <sup>by</sup>	<b>12.1</b> <sup>abx</sup>	7.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.3		
Eldo-Kt-Black1		7.6	5.6 <sup>bx</sup>	6.4 <sup>bcx</sup>	6.5 <sup>b</sup>	0.9		
Eldo-Kt Black2		7.2	7.7 <sup>abx</sup>	5.6 <sup>cx</sup>	6.8 <sup>b</sup>	1.2		
Eldo-Kt-Cream		9.5	5.2 <sup>by</sup>	<b>4.4</b> <sup>cy</sup>	6.3 <sup>b</sup>	1.2		
Ngwara Nyeupe		6.0	5.5 <sup>bx</sup>	8.7 <sup>bcx</sup>	6.8 <sup>b</sup>	1.7		
Mean		7.7ª	<b>6.4</b> ª	<b>8.3</b> ª	7.5			
SE		1.87	1.0	1.3	0.97			
LSD		5.5	2.95	3.82	2.73			
P Value		0.263 <sup>ns</sup>	0.007**	<.001**	<.001**			
Eldo: Eldoret: Kt: Kitale: DL:	Dry land variety abcV	alues with different s	inerscripts within co	lumn are significa	ntly different xyzV	alues with		

different superscripts within row are significantly different (\*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.001; ns: Non-significant

#### Crude protein of different varieties of Lablab purpureus

The crude protein content of different lablab varieties within and between sites is shown in Table 2. Crude protein of lablab varieties varied significantly (P<0.05) both within and between the sites. The mean crude protein was significantly higher with lablabs that were grown in Koibem compared to those that were grown in Kapkarer and Kiptaruswo. Kiptaruswo, highest CP was recorded with DL1002 while lowest with Brown Rongai. In Koibem site, high CP content was recorded with variety Eldo-Kt-Cream and Black Rongai while lowest with Echo-Cream. There was significant interaction of CP content between lablab varieties and sites. The CP content of similar varieties was higher in Koibem compared with same in Kapkarer and Kiptaruswo. Across the three sites, Eldo-Kt-Cream and Black-Rongai had the highest mean crude protein content while Brown Rongai and Echo-Cream variety had the lowest.

In general, crude protein content of the eight lablab varieties in this study ranged from 18.0 to 26.5 g/100g. This was in agreement with results from other studies (18-23 g/100g) when the whole lablab plant was harvested at 50% flowering (Heuzé et al., 2014; Tulu et al., 2018; Bhardwaj and Hamama, 2019). Lower CP content of the whole lablab plant has also been reported in various studies ranging from 15-17 g/100g (Mbuthia et al., 2003; Njarui et al., 2003 and Mapiye et al., 2007). Crude protein is one of the indices that is usually used to assess the feed quality (Liu et al., 2019). The variability of CP content in lablab varieties in this study enables us to choose the suitable variety that can be used as a supplement for low quality forges (Geleti et al., 2013). The variations in crude protein content among the varieties of lablab and across the sites in this study and with those by others was attributed to difference in genotypes and soil fertility (Geleti et al., 2013; Kebede et al., 2016; Tulu et al., 2018; Washaya et al., 2018). Additionally, the low crude protein content in Brown Rongai variety could be explained by observation by Washaya et al. (2018) that biomass yield of legume forages were negatively correlated with their quality. According to Kazemi et al. (2012), legume forages with CP above 19% were considered of high quality while those with <8% CP were regarded as of low quality hence cannot be used as a supplement for low quality fodder. Tulu et al. (2018) reported that most herbaceous legumes >15% CP could support growth and lactation of dairy animals. This indicates that the CP values of all lablab varieties in this study met the threshold of being used as a supplement to low quality feeds for lactating animals (Geleti et al., 2013).

Table 2 - Crude protein content (g/100g) of Lablab Purpureus varieties								
Sites Lablab varieties	Kapkarer	Kiptaruswo	Koibem	Mean	SE			
Crude Protein content								
Black-Rongai	21.2	24.1 <sup>abxy</sup>	25.6 <sup>abx</sup>	<b>23.7</b> ª	1.0			
Brown Rongai	19.3	<b>18.0</b> cx	21.4 <sup>bcx</sup>	19.6 <sup>b</sup>	1.1			
DL1002	18.7	26.3 <sup>ax</sup>	23.1 <sup>abcx</sup>	22.7 <sup>ab</sup>	0.9			
Echo-Cream	19.6	20.5 <sup>bcx</sup>	20.0 <sup>cx</sup>	20.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.3			
Eldo-Kt-Black1	18.6	22.6 <sup>abx</sup>	24.7 <sup>abcx</sup>	22.0 <sup>ab</sup>	0.9			
Eldo-Kt-Black2	22.0	20.9 <sup>bcy</sup>	25.8 <sup>abx</sup>	22.9 <sup>ab</sup>	1.1			
Eldo-Kt-Cream	21.7	23.6 <sup>abxy</sup>	26.5 <sup>ax</sup>	23.9ª	1.2			
Ngwara Nyeupe	20.6	20.2 <sup>bcx</sup>	22.5 <sup>abcx</sup>	<b>21.1</b> <sup>ab</sup>	1.0			
Mean	<b>20.2</b> ª	22.0 <sup>b</sup>	23.7°	22.0				
SE	1.02	0.92	1.04	0.79				
LSD	3.01	2.7	3.06	2.21				
P Value	0.159 <sup>ns</sup>	<.001**	0.002**	<.001**				
Eldo: Eldoret; Kt: Kitale; DL: Dry land variety; abcValues with different superscripts within column are significantly different; xyzValues with								

different superscripts within row are significantly different (\*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.001; ns: Non-significant.

#### Fibre fraction of eight selected Lablab purpureus varieties

The fibre fractions of the eight Lablab purpureus varieties are shown in Table 3. There were significant differences (P<0.05) between fibre fractions of the lablab varieties both within and between the sites. Higher neutral detergent fibre (NDF) was recorded for lablab varieties harvested in Kapkarer site (mean=51.4 g/100g) and lowest in those established in Koibem (mean=42.9 g/100g). In Kapkarer and Kiptaruswo, all the varieties had similar NDF content unlike in Koibem site. In Koibem, DL1002 variety had the highest NDF while lowest recorded with varieties; Echo Cream and Black-Rongai respectively. Between the sites, NDF content of all the lablab varieties varied significantly except DL1002 which had similar NDF content within the three sites. Across the three sites, DL1002 had the highest NDF while Black-Rongai variety had the lowest. Eldo-Kt-Black2 recorded the highest acid detergent fibre (ADF) in Kapkarer site while Eldo-Kt-Black1 had the lowest. In Kiptaruswo, highest ADF was recorded with Eldo-Kt-Cream and lowest with Black Rongai. Within Koibem site, high ADF was recorded with Black Rongai variety and lowest with Eldo-Kt-Cream. The ADF content of the varieties varied significantly between sites except DL1002 and Ngwara Nyeupe. Across the three sites, highest ADF was recorded with Eldo-Kt-Black2 with Eldoret-Kitale-Cream having the lowest. Within Kapkarer site, Eldo-Kt-Cream had the highest acid detergent lignin (ADL) and Eldo-Kt-Black1 the lowest. In Kiptaruswo, no significant variation was observed in ADL content among the varieties of lablab. Within Koibern, Eldo-Kt-Black1 had the highest ADL and Echo Cream the lowest. Between the sites, Eldo-Kt-Black1 recorded the highest ADL in Koibem and Kiptaruswo than in Kapkarer. Eldo-Kt-Cream had the highest ADL in Kapkarer than in Koibem. In general, high ADL was observed for Eldo-Kt-Cream with Echo Cream having the lowest across the three sites.

The NDF content of the eight lablab varieties in this study ranged from 44.4 g/100g and 48.6 g/100g across the three sites. Similarly, NDF content of the whole lablab plant 45-48 g/100g has been reported by others (Heuzé et al., 2014; Bhardwaj and Hamama, 2019). However, lower NDF content of 40.09 and 39.0 g/100g for the whole lablab plant was reported by Ahmad et al. (2000) and Mbuthia et al. (2003). The whole fiber fraction of fodder is confined in the NDF or cell walls and it gives the best approximation of the entire fiber content of a feed. The neutral detergent fibre is negatively associated with feed intake with its increase in forage reduces feed consumption (Garcia et al., 2003). The study by Geleti et al. (2013) and Yiberkew et al. (2020) indicated that, forage plants with NDF content less than 45% were regarded as of high quality while those between 45-65% were medium quality and above 65% as low quality forage. Profile of NDF content from the eight lablab varieties in this study were of high quality in Koibem sites while Kapkarer and Kiptaruswo were of medium quality. Therefore, all the lablab varieties in this study had acceptable NDF content for ruminant animals.

The variation in NDF content between varieties of lablab in this study could also be due to genetic variations among the lablab varieties that were used (Amole et al., 2013). The between site variations in NDF content could be due to variation in soil fertility between the sites. Studies by Turk (2010); Kebede et al. (2016) and Yiberkew et al. (2020) indicated that, fertile soils increases the forage dry matter yield and crude protein content which results in reduction of NDF and ADF content by accumulation of more foliage than structural features. This observation is consistent with the results of NDF content of lablab varieties in in this study/ Koibem site, with higher fertile soils, had lablab with lower NDF content compared to Kapkarer site.

Table 3 - Fibre fractions (%) of various Lablab purpureus varieties grown in the study area																
	Sites	% Neutral detergent fibre (NDF)				% Acid de	etergent fil	ore (ADF)		% Acid detergent lignin						
Varieties of lablab		Кар	Kip	Kol	Mean	SE	Кар	Kip	Kol	Mean	SE	Кар	Kip	Koi	Mean	SE
Black Rongai		49.5	43.2	40.4 <sup>cy</sup>	44.4 <sup>b</sup>	0.97	33.0 <sup>bcy</sup>	28.7 <sup>cy</sup>	45.4 <sup>ax</sup>	35.7 <sup>ab</sup>	1.8	9.8 <sup>abx</sup>	9.1	9.8 <sup>abx</sup>	9.6 <sup>ab</sup>	1.2
Brown Rongai		53.2	49.4	<b>41.1</b> <sup>cy</sup>	47.9 <sup>ab</sup>	0.94	34.4 <sup>bx</sup>	36.2 <sup>abx</sup>	28.3 <sup>bcy</sup>	33.0 <sup>ab</sup>	1.2	9.3 <sup>abx</sup>	9.7	<b>11.2</b> <sup>abx</sup>	<b>10.1</b> <sup>ab</sup>	0.8
DL1002		52.2	46.7	47.0 <sup>ax</sup>	<b>48.6</b> ª	2.13	33.8 <sup>bcx</sup>	30.1 <sup>cx</sup>	34.4 <sup>bx</sup>	32.8 <sup>ab</sup>	1.9	<b>12.7</b> <sup>ax</sup>	11.6	10.8 <sup>abx</sup>	<b>11.7</b> ª	1.2
Echo Cream		49.5	45.1	40.4 <sup>cy</sup>	45.0 <sup>ab</sup>	1.23	32.4 <sup>bcy</sup>	29.4 <sup>dy</sup>	<b>41.8</b> ax	34.5 <sup>ab</sup>	1.1	9.6 <sup>abx</sup>	9.5	8.0 <sup>bx</sup>	9.0 <sup>b</sup>	0.7
Eldo-Kt-Black1		50.0	43.8	45.9 <sup>abxy</sup>	46.6 <sup>ab</sup>	1.66	28.5 <sup>cy</sup>	34.6 <sup>abx</sup>	33.4 <sup>bx</sup>	32.2 <sup>ab</sup>	1.3	7.3 <sup>by</sup>	10.0	<b>12.1</b> <sup>ax</sup>	9.8 <sup>ab</sup>	0.8
Eldo-Kt-Black2		55.5	46.1	43.3 <sup>bcy</sup>	48.3 <sup>ab</sup>	1.99	<b>42.1</b> <sup>ax</sup>	35.1 <sup>aby</sup>	30.4 <sup>bcz</sup>	35.9ª	0.8	11.3 <sup>abx</sup>	12.2	<b>11.1</b> <sup>abx</sup>	<b>11.5</b> ª	0.4
Eldo-Kt-Cream		53.0	46.1	41.4 <sup>cz</sup>	46.8 <sup>ab</sup>	0.55	33.4 <sup>bcx</sup>	37.0 <sup>ax</sup>	24.4 <sup>cy</sup>	31.6 <sup>b</sup>	0.9	13.3 <sup>ax</sup>	12.2	<b>10.1</b> <sup>aby</sup>	<b>11.9</b> ª	0.9
Ngwara Nyeupe		48.5	44.6	43.6 <sup>abcy</sup>	45.5 <sup>ab</sup>	0.93	33.5 <sup>bcx</sup>	31.2 <sup>bx</sup>	33.7 <sup>bx</sup>	32.8 <sup>ab</sup>	1.0	<b>11.1</b> <sup>abx</sup>	10.6	<b>10.1</b> <sup>abx</sup>	10.6 <sup>ab</sup>	0.6
Mean		<b>51.4</b> ª	45.6 <sup>b</sup>	<b>42.9</b> ℃	46.6		33.9ª	32.8ª	<b>34</b> ª	33.6		<b>10.6</b> ª	<b>10.6</b> ª	<b>10.4</b> ª	10.5	
SE		1.62	1.85	0.77	1.48		1.17	1.92	1.49	1.51		0.88	1.05	0.74	0.88	
LSD		4.76	5.44	2.26	4.19		3.44	5.64	4.4	4.26		2.58	3.08	2.19	2.47	
P Value		0.072 <sup>ns</sup>	0.393 <sup>ns</sup>	<.001**	<.001**		<.001* *	0.026*	<.001* *	<.001* *		0.002**	0.251 <sup>ns</sup>	0.033*	0.001* *	
Kap: Kapkarer; Kip: Kiptarusw	o; Koi: K	oibem; Eldo:	Eldoret; Kt:	Kitale; DL: Dr	y land Variety	; abcValues v	with different	superscripts	s within colu	imn are sigr	ificantly di	ifferent; xyzValu	ies with di	fferent supe	rscripts with	nin row

are significantly different (\*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.001); ns: Non-significant

The range in ADF content for all the varieties of lablab in this study was 31.6 g/100g to 35.7 g/100g. These values were in agreement with 33-35 g/100g ADF reported earlier in the whole lablab forage by several authors (Heuzé et al., in 2014; Washaya et al., 2018; Bhardwaj and Hamama, 2019). Lower ADF content of 25-28 g/100g in lablab fodder was also reported by Ahmad et al. (2000) and Mbuthia et al. (2003). Acid detergent fibre is an indication of the degree of cellulose and lignin in forage. It is negatively associated with general digestibility; high ADF feed is less digestible (Garcia et al., 2003). The difference in ADF content among the varieties of lablab could be due to genetic variation among the varieties. According to Kazemi et al. (2012), legume forage with less than 31% ADF content was regarded as of high quality, while that greater than 55% NDF was regarded as poor quality. Conversely, Geleti et al. (2013) and Yiberkew et al. (2020) noted that, forage plant with less than 40% ADF was regarded as of high quality while those with greater than 40% as of poor quality. The ADF values of all the lablab varieties in this study therefore were of high quality.

The lignin content of the lablab varieties in this study ranged from 9.0 to 11.9 g/100g. These were within the range of 6.3 to 13.7 g/100g reported in the whole lablab plant by several authors (Ahmad et al., 2000; Heuzé et al., 2014; Bhardwaj and Hamama, 2019). Lignin is a polymer fraction of the plant cell walls that offers rigidity and mechanical support to plants and is not digestible by animal enzymes. It increases with plant maturity and was reported to be greater when the same plant species are established under warm weather conditions (Garcia et al., 2003). The figures of ADL content for the eight lablab varieties were close and some beyond the maximum level of 10% that is required to limit forage use by ruminant animals in this study (Geleti et al., 2013; Yiberkew et al., 2020). The difference in lignin content among the varieties of lablab in this study might be due to genetic differences between the varieties and different climatic conditions within the three sites (Amole et al., 2013; Kebede et al., 2016).

#### In vitro dry matter digestibility of different lablab varieties

In vitro-dry matter digestibility (IVDMD) of the different L. purpureus varieties is shown in Table 4. The IVDMD differed significantly (P<0.05) among the varieties both within and between the sites apart from in Kapkarer. Of the three sites, highest mean dry matter digestibility was recorded for varieties that were established at Koibem site compared to those established in Kapkarer and Kiptaruswo. At Kiptaruswo site high dry matter digestibility was recorded for Eldo-Kt-Cream and Black-Rongai variety with the lowest for Eldo-Kt-Black2. In Koibem, high dry matter digestibility was recorded with Eldo-Kt-Cream and Black Rongai variety, while lowest was DL1002. Between the sites, all the varieties, except Eldo-Kt-Black1, varied significantly in IVDMD. Across the three sites, highest dry matter digestibility was recorded for Eldo-Kt-Cream and Black Rongai while lowest for DL1002, Brown Rongai and Ngwara Nyeupe.

Table 4 - In vitro-dry matter digestibility (%) of Lablab varieties grown in different sites								
Sites Lablab varieties	Kapkarer	Kiptaruswo	Kolbem	Mean	SE			
In vitro-dry matter digestibility								
Black Rongai	68.6	75.3 <sup>ay</sup>	79.4 <sup>abx</sup>	74.4 <sup>ab</sup>	0.64			
Brown Rongai	69.4	60.5 <sup>bcz</sup>	73.7 <sup>bx</sup>	67.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.97			
DL1002	69.2	72.1 <sup>ax</sup>	<b>61.4</b> <sup>cy</sup>	67.6 <sup>b</sup>	1.67			
Echo Cream	68.6	71.7 <sup>ay</sup>	76.5 <sup>abx</sup>	72.3 <sup>ab</sup>	1.09			
Eldo-Kt-Black1	68.3	71.2 <sup>ax</sup>	74.8 <sup>abx</sup>	71.4 <sup>ab</sup>	2.13			
Eldo-Kt-Black2	72.5	59.6 <sup>cy</sup>	75.8 <sup>abx</sup>	69.3 <sup>ab</sup>	2.15			
Eldo-Kt-Cream	70.3	76.3 <sup>axy</sup>	80.4 <sup>ax</sup>	75.7ª	1.54			
Ngwara Nyeupe	69.6	70.6 <sup>abx</sup>	65.4 <sup>cy</sup>	68.5 <sup>b</sup>	1.36			
Mean	69.6 <sup>b</sup>	69.7 <sup>b</sup>	73.4ª	70.9				
SE	1.58	2.22	1.2	1.62				
LSD	4.66	6.52	3.53	4.54				
P Value	0.663 <sup>ns</sup>	<.001**	<.001**	0.002**				
Eldo- Eldoret, Kt- Kitale, DL- Dry land Variety, abo	Values with different s	superscripts within co	lumn are significa	antly different xyz	Values with			

different superscripts within row are significantly different (\*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.001), ns:Non-significant.

The in vitro-dry matter digestibility of various lablab that were tested in this study ranged from 67.6 to 75.7 g/100g across the three sites. Mapiye et al. (2007) reported the in vitro-dry matter digestibility of the whole lablab plant to range from 55 to 76 g/100g in agreement with those obtained in this study. However Ahmad et al. (2000) and Tulu et al. (2018) reported a lower dry matter digestibility of lablab foliage as 39.7-50.9 g/100g. The variation in IVDMD in this study with other authors could be due to difference in varieties of lablab that were used and their fibre content (Meale et al., 2012; Tulu et al., 2018). The fibre fractions in legume forages has been the major challenge in their adequate utilization in animal nutrition (Washaya et al., 2018). The high fibre fractions in a forage leads to low dry matter intake and digestibility as opposed to lower fibre fractions (Washaya et al., 2018). Varieties with high dry matter digestibility in this study such as Eldo-Kt-Cream and Black-Rongai were associated with high crude protein that increased microbial activities for organic matter breakdown low NDF, ADF and ADL content. Varieties with low dry matter digestibility such as DL1002, Ngwara Nyeupe and Brown Rongai were associated with high NDF, ADF and ADL and late maturity. The difference in dry matter digestibility could also be attributed to genetic differences among the lablab varieties and the climatic conditions of the location where plants within cool environment are associated with high dry matter digestibility as opposed to warm environment (Baloyi et al., 2013).

#### CONCLUSION

From the study, it can be concluded that biomass yield and nutrient content varied with lablab variety and production site. According present findings, variety Brown-Rongai was suitable for all sites and can be recommended as a supplement to low quality animal fodder.

#### DECLARATIONS

Corresponding author's E-mail: anthonywangila94@gmail.com

#### Author's contribution

Due to site variation with biomass yields of lablab varieties, Kapkarer farmers can grow Brown-Rongai, Eldoret-Kitale-Cream and Black-Rongai, all authors has similar attempts in all process of conduction and writing of present study.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the McKnight Foundation under the project of Multipurpose Legumes for funding the study.

#### **Conflict of interests**

The author declare that there is no conflict of interests on this work

#### REFERENCES

- Abera M and Berhanu T (2017). Farmers' preference for improved grasses and legume forage species in six mixed farming system districts of southern region of Ethiopia. Agricultural Science and Practice. 4(2): 23-27.DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.15407/agrisp4.02.023</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Ahmad Z, Ghafoor A and Ali A (2000). Evaluation of three exotic legume species for fodder potential. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 3: 2079-2081. DOI: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2000.2079.2081</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Amare G, Kebede B and Korji D (2020). Pre-extension demonstration of selected lablab varieties at midlands of Guji Zone, Southern Oromia, Ethiopia. International Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry. 7(7): 08-12. <u>http://www.ijraf.org/papers/v7-i7/2.pdf</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Amole TA, Oduguwa BO, Shittu O, Famakinde A, Okwelum N, Ojo VOA and Adebiyi AO (2013). Herbage yield and quality of Lablab purpureus during the late dry season in western Nigeria. Slovak Journal of Animal Science. 46(1): 22-30. https://sjas.ojs.sk/sjas/article/view/241 I Google Scholar
- Association of official analytical chemists (AOAC) (1995). Revision March 1998. Official methods of analysis. Arlington Virginia. https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/19720492404 or Google Scholar
- Baloyi BM, Ayodele VI and Addo-Bediako A (2013). Effects of leaf harvest on crude protein and mineral contents of selected early maturing lines of lablab (Lablab purpureus). African Journal of Agricultural Research, 8(5): 449-453. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR12.1209 I Google Scholar
- Bell LW, Moore A D and Thomas D T (2018). Integrating diverse forage sources reduces feed gaps on mixed crop-livestock farms. Animal. 12(9): 1967-1980. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/s1751731117003196</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Bhardwaj HL and Hamama A A (2019). A preliminary evaluation of lablab biomass productivity in Virginia. Journal of Agricultural Science.

   11: 42-47. DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v11n13p42">https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v11n13p42</a>

   I
   Google Scholar
- Bowen MK, Chudleigh F, Buck S and Hopkins K (2018). Productivity and profitability of forage options for beef production in the subtropics of northern Australia. Animal Production Science. 58(2):332-342. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/AN16180</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Garcia A, Thiex N, Kalscheur K and Tjardes K (2003). Interpreting hay and haylage analysis. Interpreting Hay and Haylage Analysis .Extension Extra Archives. 103. https://openprairie.sdstate.edu/extension\_extra/103 I Google Scholar
- Geleti D, HailemariamM, Mengistu A and Tolera A (2013). Nutritive value of selected browse and herbaceous forage legumes adapted to medium altitude subhumid areas of western Oromia, Ethiopia. Global Veterinaria. 11(6): 809-816. https://www.idosi.org/gv/gv11(6)13/19.pdf | Google Scholar
- Gupta M, Rao KP and Rajwade VB (2017). Correlation study of floral traits , yield and nutritional parameters in dolichos bean ( Lablab purpureus L .) genotypes under Allahabad agro climatic zone. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 6(6):1585–1591. https://www.phytojournal.com/archives/2017/vol6issue6/PartV/6-4-319-397.pdf I Google Scholar
- Hassan MR, Amodu JT, Muhammad IR, Jokthan GE, Abdu SB, Abdullahi B, Adamu HY, Musa A, Sani I and Akpensuen TT (2014). Forage yield and quality of Lablab (Lablab purpureus L. Sweet) intercropped with maize (Zea mays L.) with flooded irrigation system in the semi-arid zone of Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural Science. 6(11): 196-211. DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v6n11p196">https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v6n11p196</a> I Google Scholar
- Heuzé V, Tran G, Noziere P, Renaudeau D, Lessire M and Lebas F (2014). Oats. Feedipedia, a program by National Institute of Agronomic Research (INRA), Center for International Cooperation in Agricultural Research for Development (CIRAD), French Association of Zootechnics (AFZ) and Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), Rome. <u>https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02796509</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI) (2013). Lablab (Lablab purpureus cultivar Rongai) for livestock feed on small-scale farms. International livestock research institute forage factsheet, Nairobi, Kenya. <u>http://www.ijraf.org/papers/v7-i7/2.pdf</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>

- Kabubo-Mariara J (2008). The economic impact of global warming on livestock husbandry in Kenya: a Ricardian analysis. In 16<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference of the European Association of Environmental and Resource Economists, Gothenburg, Sweden. Pp. 25-28. https://www.afdb.org/fileadmin/uploads/afdb/Documents/Knowledge/30753359-EN-133-Kabubo-Mariara.Pdf I Google Scholar
- Kazemi M, Tahmasbi AM, Naserian AA, Valizadeh R, and Moheghi MM (2012). Potential nutritive value of some forage species used as ruminants feed in Iran. African Journal of Biotechnology. 11(57): 12110-12117. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB12.286</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Kebede G, Assefa G, Feyissa F, and Mengistu A (2016). Forage legumes in crop-livestock mixed farming systems: A review. International Journal of Livestock Research. 6: 1-18. <u>https://www.bibliomed.org/?mno=217489</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Keerthi CM, Ramesh S, Byregowda M, Chandrakant N, Vaijayanthi PV, Shivakumar MS and Mohan Rao A (2015). Epistasis-driven bias in the estimates of additive and dominance genetic variance in Dolichos Bean (Lablab Purpureus L.) Var. Lignosus. Journal of Crop Improvement, 29(5):542-564. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/15427528.2015.1057311</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Kumar A (2017). Quantitative analysis of some germplasms of lablab bean in Uttar Pradesh. International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology. 2(1): 40-45. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab/2.1.7</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Kumar R, Kumar D, Datt C, Makarana G and Yadav M R (2018). Forage yield and nutritional characteristics of cultivated fodders as affected by agronomic interventions: A Review. Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition, 35(4): 373-385. DOI:<u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/2231-6744.2018.00057.9</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Landon JR (2014). Booker tropical soil manual: a handbook for soil survey and agricultural land evaluation in the tropics and subtropics. Routledge encyclopedia of translation studies. Routledge. Link | Google Scholar
- Liu C, Li D, Chen W, Yan L, Wu H, Meng Q, and Zhou Z (2019). Estimating ruminal crude protein degradation from beef cattle feedstuff. Scientific Reports. 9: 11368. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-47768-3 I Google Scholar
- Lukuyu, B., Franzel, S., Ongadi, P.M. and Duncan, A.J., (2011). Livestock feed resources: Current production and management practices in central and northern rift valley provinces of Kenya. Livestock Research for Rural Development. 23(5): 112. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Steven\_Franzel/publication/287168075 | Google Scholar
- Mapiye C, MwaleM, Mupangwa JF, Mugabe PH, Poshiwa X and Chikumba N (2007). Utilisation of ley legumes as livestock feed in Zimbabwe. Tropical Grasslands. 41(2): 84. <u>https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/46221970</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Mbuthia EW, and Gachuiri CK (2003). Effect of inclusion of Mucuna pruriens and Dolichos lablab forage in Napier grass silage on silage quality and on voluntary intake and digestibility in sheep. Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems, 1(2-3): 123-128. http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/bitstream/handle/11295/10442 I Google Scholar
- Meale SJ, McAllister TA, Beauchemin KA, Harstad OM and Chaves AV (2012). Strategies to reduce greenhouse gases from ruminant livestock. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section A-Animal Science. 62(4): 199-211. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/09064702.2013.770916 I Google Scholar
- Njarui DM, Mureithi JG, Wandera FP, Muinga RW. (2003). Evaluation of four forage legumes as supplementary feed for Kenya dualpurpose goat in the semi-arid region of Eastern Kenya. Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems. 2(2): 65-71. http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=93912118002 | Google Scholar
- Omondi OS (2011). The potential for Njahi (Lablab Purpureus L) in improving consumption adequacy for protein, iron and zinc in households: for Nandi south District, Ph.D University Α Case Kenya. Thesis. of Nairobi. http://erepository.uonbi.ac.ke/bitstream/handle/11295/10913 I Google Scholar
- Onyango M, Otieno DJ, Nyikal RA and Ojiem J (2016). An economic analysis of grain legumes profitability in Nandi County, Kenya. In African association of agricultural economists 5<sup>th</sup> international conference. <u>https://ideas.repec.org/p/ags/aaae16/246921.html</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Redae M and Tekle D (2020). Effect of intercropping dates of lablab (*Lablab purpureus* L.) with maize (Zea mays L.) on forage and maize grain yields. Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports, 13(2): 1-4. DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.9734/ajarr/2020/v13i230302">https://doi.org/10.9734/ajarr/2020/v13i230302</a> I <a href="https://doi.org/10.9734/ajarr/2020/v13i230302">Google Scholar</a>
- Roy AK, Malaviyal DR and Kaushal P (2016). Genetic improvement of fodder legumes especially dual purpose pulses. Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding. 76: 608-625. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/0975-6906.2016.00076.6</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Sharma SC, Balai RC and Sahoo A (2018). Effect of pasture type, phosphorus levels and phosphate solubilizing bacteria on forage productivity under agroforestry system in semi-arid regions. Journal of Agriculture and Ecology. 5: 42-49. http://saaer.org.in/online\_journal/2018/05/04.pdf | Google Scholar
- Tilley JMA and Terry RA (1963). A two-stage technique for the *in vitro* digestion of forage crops. Grass and Forage science, 18(2): 104-111. https://elearning.unja.ac.id/pluginfile.php/285989 I Google Scholar
- Tulu A, Temesgen W, Diribsa M, Keba W, Fekede G and Kumsa A (2018). Herbage yield potential, crude protein yield and feeding value of selected Lablab purpureus cultivars grown under sub-humid climatic condition of western Oromia, Ethiopia. International Journal of Academic and Applied Rsearch. 6: 93-100. <u>http://www.bluepenjournals.org/ijaar/pdf/2018/October/Tulu\_et\_al.pdf</u> I <u>Google</u> <u>Scholar</u>
- Turk M (2010). Effects of fertilization on root yield and quality of fodder beet (Beta vulgaris var. crassa Mansf.). Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science, 16(2): 212-219. <a href="http://www.agrojournal.org/16/02-11-10.pdf">http://www.agrojournal.org/16/02-11-10.pdf</a> I Google Scholar
- Van Soest PV, Robertson JB and Lewis BA (1991). Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. Journal of Dairy Science. 74(10): 3583-3597. <u>https://www.journalofdairyscience.org/article/S0022-0302(91)78551-2/pdf</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>
- Washaya S, Mupangwa J and Muchenje V (2018). Chemical composition of Lablab purpureus and Vigna unguiculata and their subsequent effects on methane production in Xhosa lop-eared goats. South African Journal of Animal Science, 48(3): 445-458. http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/sajas.v48i3.5 | Google Scholar
- Yiberkew N, Mekuriaw Y and Asmare B (2020). Effects of fertilizer types and plant spacings on plant morphology, biomass yield and chemical composition of brachiaria hybrid mulato ii grass grown in lowlands of Northwest Ethiopia. Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnologies. 53 (1):20-35. <u>http://spasb.ro/index.php/spasb/article/view/2590</u> I <u>Google Scholar</u>

# **Instructions for Authors**

OJAFR EndNote Style EndNote | Manuscript Template

Manuscripts as Original Research Paper, Review, Short Communication and Case Reports are invited for rapid peer-review publishing in the Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research (ISSN 2228-7701).

Sample Articles 🎩

T

Declaration form

Papers can be in any relevant fields of Animal Sciences (Animal Nutrition, Physiology, Reproduction, Genetics and Breeding, Behavior, Health, Husbandry and its economic, Animal products and Veterinary medicines of domestic animals) and relative topics. The journal does encourage papers with emphasis on the nutritive value and utilization of feeds that is depended to methods of Improvement, Assessment, Conserving and Processing feeds, Agronomic and climatic factors, Metabolic, Production, Reproduction and Health responses to dietary inputs (e.g., Feeds, Feed Additives, Specific Feed Components, Mycotoxins). Also, Mathematical models relating directly to animal-feed interactions, Analytical and experimental methods for Feed Evaluation as well as Animal Production studies with a focus on Animal Nutrition that do have link to a feed (Food Science and Technology) are acceptable relative topics for OJAFR. ...view full aims and scope

# Submission

The manuscripts should be submitted using our <u>online</u> submission systems (<u>Scienceline Online Submission System</u> ). For facile submission, please embed all figures and tables at the end of the manuscript to become one single file for submission. Once submission is complete, the system will generate a manuscript ID and password sent to author's contact email. If you have any difficulty in submitting the manuscript, kindly send via email: <u>editors@ojafr.ir</u>. All manuscripts must be checked (by English native speaker) and submitted in English for evaluation in totally confidential and impartial way.

#### Supplementary information:

Author guidelines are specific for each journal. Our MS Word template can assist you by modifying your page layout, text formatting, headings, title page, image placement, and citations/references such that they agree with the guidelines of journal. If you believe your article is fully edited per journal style, please use our <u>Word template</u> before submission. Supplementary materials may include figures, tables, methods, videos, and other materials. They are available online linked to the original published article. Supplementary tables and figures should be labeled with a "S", e.g. "Table S1" and "Figure S1". The maximum file size for supplementary materials is 10MB each. Please keep the files as small as possible to avoid the frustrations experienced by readers with downloading large files.

#### Submission to the Journal is on the understanding that:

1. The article has not been previously published in any other form and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere;

2. All authors have approved the submission and have obtained permission for publish work.

3. Researchers have proper regard for conservation and animal welfare considerations. Attention is drawn to the <u>'Guidelines for the</u> <u>Treatment of Animals in Research and Teaching</u>'. Any possible adverse consequences of the work for populations or individual organisms must be weighed against the possible gains in knowledge and its practical applications. If the approval of an ethics committee is required, please provide the name of the committee and the approval number obtained.

#### **Ethics Committee Approval**

Experimental research involving human or animals should have been approved by author's institutional review board or ethics committee. This information can be mentioned in the manuscript including the name of the board/committee that gave the approval. Investigations involving humans will have been performed in accordance with the principles of <u>Declaration of Helsinki</u>. And the use of animals in experiments will have observed the <u>Interdisciplinary Principles and Guidelines for the Use of Animals in</u> Research, Testing, and Education by the New York Academy of Sciences, Ad Hoc Animal Research Committee. If the manuscript contains photos or parts of photos of patients, informed consent from each patient should be obtained. Patient's identities and privacy should be carefully protected in the manuscript.

# **Presentation of the article**

## Main Format:

First page of the manuscripts must be properly identified by the title and the name(s) of the author(s). It should be typed in Times New Roman (font sizes: 12pt in capitalization for the title and the main text, double spaced, in A4 format with 2cm margins. All pages and lines of the main text should be numbered consecutively throughout the manuscript. The manuscript must be saved in a .doc format, (not .docx files). Abbreviations in the article title are not allowed except the well-known ones.

#### Manuscripts should be arranged in the following order:

- a. TITLE (brief, attractive and targeted)
- b. Name(s) and Affiliation(s) of author(s) (including post code) and corresponding E-mail
- c. ABSTRACT
- d. Key words (separate by semicolons; or comma,)
- e. Abbreviations (used in the manuscript)
- f. INTRODUCTION;
- g. MATERIALS AND METHODS
- h. RESULTS
- i. DISCUSSION
- j. CONCLUSION
- k. DECLARATIONS
- I. REFERENCES
- m. Tables;
- n. Figures

The sections "RESULTS AND DISCUSSION" can be presented jointly. The sections "DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION" can be presented jointly.

## **Article Sections Format:**

**Title** should be a brief phrase describing the contents of the paper. Title Page should include full names and affiliations of the author(s), the name of the corresponding author along with phone and e-mail information. Present address(es) of author(s) should appear as a footnote.

**Abstract** should be informative and completely self-explanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions. The abstract should be 150 to 300 words in length. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the third person should be used, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited.

Following the abstract, about 3 to 7 key words should be listed.

**Introduction** should provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

**Materials and Methods** should be complete enough to allow experiments to be reproduced. However, only truly new procedures should be described in detail; previously published procedures should be cited, and important modifications of published procedures should be mentioned briefly. Capitalize trade names and include the manufacturer's name and address. Subheadings should be used. Methods in general use need not be described in detail.

**Results** should be presented with clarity and precision. The results should be written in the past tense when describing findings in the author(s)'s experiments. Previously published findings should be written in the present tense. Results should be explained, but largely without referring to the literature. Discussion, speculation and detailed interpretation of data should not be included in the results but should be put into the discussion section.

**Discussion** should interpret the findings in view of the results obtained in this and in past studies on this topic. State the conclusions in a few sentences at the end of the paper. The Results and Discussion sections can include subheadings, and when appropriate, both sections can be combined.

**Conclusion** should be brief and tight, providing a few specific tasks to accomplish: 1-Re-assert/Reinforce the Thesis; 2-Review the Main Points; 3- Close Effectively. The Conclusion section should not be similar to the Abstract content.

**Declarations** including Ethics, Consent to publish, Competing interests, Authors' contributions, and Availability of data and materials are necessary.

Acknowledgments of persons, grants, funds, etc should be brief.

**Tables** should be kept to a minimum and be designed to be as simple as possible. Tables are to be typed double-spaced throughout, including headings and footnotes. Each table should be on a separate page, numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals and supplied with a heading and a legend. Tables should be self-explanatory without reference to the text. The details of the methods used in the experiments should preferably be described in the legend instead of in the text. The same data should not be presented in both table and graph forms or repeated in the text.

**The Figure** legends should be typed in numerical order on a separate sheet. Graphics should be prepared using applications capable of generating high resolution GIF, TIFF, JPEG or PowerPoint before pasting in the Microsoft Word manuscript file. Use Arabic numerals to designate figures and upper case letters for their parts (Figure 1). Begin each legend with a title and include sufficient description so that the figure is understandable without reading the text of the manuscript. Information given in legends should not be repeated in the text.

#### DECLARATIONS

Please ensure that the sections: Ethics (and consent to participate), Consent to publish, Competing interests, Authors' contributions, and Availability of data and materials are included at the end of your manuscript in a Declarations section.

#### **Consent to Publish**

Please include a 'Consent for publication' section in your manuscript. If your manuscript contains any individual person's data in any form (including individual details, images or videos), consent to publish must be obtained from that person, or in the case of children, their parent or legal guardian. All presentations of case reports must have consent to publish. You can use your institutional consent form or our consent form if you prefer. You should not send the form to us on submission, but we may request to see a copy at any stage (including after publication). If your manuscript does not contain any individual person's data, please state "Not applicable" in this section.

#### Authors' Contributions

For manuscripts with more than one author, OJAFR require an Authors' Contributions section to be placed after the Competing Interests section. An 'author' is generally considered to be someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study. To qualify as an author one should 1) have made substantial contributions to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; 2) have been involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and 3) have given final approval of the version to be published. Each author should have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for appropriate portions of the content. Acquisition of funding, the research group, collection of data, or general supervision of alone, does not justify authorship. We suggest the following format (please use initials to refer to each author's contribution): AB carried out the molecular genetic studies, participated in the sequence alignment and drafted the manuscript. JY carried out the immunoassays. MT participated in the sequence alignment. ES participated in the design of the study and performed the statistical analysis. FG conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. For authors that equally participated in a study please write 'All/Both authors contributed equally to this work.' Contributors who do not meet the criteria for authorship should be listed in an acknowledgements section.

#### **Competing Interests**

Competing interests that might interfere with the objective presentation of the research findings contained in the manuscript should be declared in a paragraph heading "Competing interests" (after Acknowledgment section and before References). Examples of competing interests are ownership of stock in a company, commercial grants, board membership, etc. If there is no competing interest, please use the statement "The authors declare that they have no competing interests.". *Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research* adheres to the definition of authorship set up by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE). According to the ICMJE authorship criteria should be based on 1) substantial contributions to conception and design of, or acquisition of data or analysis and interpretation of data, 2) drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content and 3) final approval of the version to be published. Authors should meet conditions 1, 2 and 3. It is a requirement that all authors have been accredited as appropriate upon submission of the manuscript. Contributors who do not qualify as authors should be mentioned under Acknowledgements.

#### Change in authorship

We do not allow any change in authorship after provisional acceptance. We cannot allow any addition, deletion or change in sequence of author name. We have this policy to prevent the fraud.

#### Acknowledgements

We strongly encourage you to include an Acknowledgements section between the Authors' contributions section and Reference list. Please acknowledge anyone who contributed towards the study by making substantial contributions to conception, design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, or who was involved in drafting the manuscript or revising it critically for important intellectual content, but who does not meet the criteria for authorship. Please also include their source(s) of funding. Please also acknowledge anyone who contributed materials essential for the study. Authors should obtain permission to acknowledge from all those mentioned in the Acknowledgements. Please list the source(s) of funding for the study, for each author, and for the manuscript preparation in the acknowledgements section. Authors must describe the role of the funding body, if any, in study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

#### **Data Deposition**

Nucleic acid sequences, protein sequences, and atomic coordinates should be deposited in an appropriate database in time for the accession number to be included in the published article. In computational studies where the sequence information is unacceptable for inclusion in databases because of lack of experimental validation, the sequences must be published as an additional file with the article.

## REFERENCES

#### An OJAFR reference style for <u>EndNote</u> may be found <u>here</u>. How to install additional styles? Please <u>click here</u> How to turn on "Jumping" from a citation to the bibliography? Please <u>click here</u>

- 1. All references to publications made in the text should be presented in a list with their full bibliographical description.
- 2. In the text, a reference identified by means of an author's name should be followed by the date of the reference in parentheses. When there are more than two authors, only the first author's surname should be mentioned, followed by 'et al'. In the event that an author cited has had two or more works published during the same year, the reference, both in the text and in the reference list, should be identified by a lower case letter like 'a' and 'b' after the date to distinguish the works.
- 3. References in the text should be arranged chronologically (e.g. Kelebeni, 1983; Usman and Smith, 1992 and Agindotan et al., 2003). 'et al.' should not be italic. The list of references should be arranged alphabetically on author's surnames, and chronologically per author. If an author's name in the list is also mentioned with co-authors, the following order should be used: Publications of the single author, arranged according to publication dates publications of the same author with one co-author publications of the author with more than one co-author. Publications by the same author(s) in the same year should be listed as 1992a, I992b,etc.
- 4. Names of authors and title of journals, published in non-latin alphabets should be transliterated in English.
- A sample of standard reference is "1th Author surname A, 2th Author surname B and 3th Author surname C (2013). Article title should be regular, in sentence case form, and 9 pt. Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research, Volume No. (Issue No.): 00-00." (Journal titles should be full and not italic.)
- 6. If available please add DOI numbers or the link of articles at the end of each reference.

#### Examples (at the text)

Abayomi (2000), Agindotan et al. (2003), (Kelebeni, 1983), (Usman and Smith, 1992), (Chege, 1998; Chukwura, 1987a,b; Tijani, 1993,1995), (Kumasi et al., 2001).

#### Examples (at references section)

#### a) For journal

- Lucy MC (2000). Regulation of ovarian follicular growth by somatotropin and insulin- like growth factors in cattle. Journal of Dairy Science, 83: 1635-1647. Link, DOI
- Kareem SK (2001). Response of albino rats to dietary level of mango cake. Journal of Agricultural Research and Development, Pp 31-38. Link, DOI
- Chikere CB, Omoni VT and Chikere BO (2008). Distribution of potential nosocomial pathogens in a hospital environment. African Journal of Biotechnology, 7: 3535-3539. Link, DOI

#### b) For symposia reports and abstracts

Cruz EM, Almatar S, Aludul EK and Al-Yaqout A (2000). Preliminary Studies on the Performance and Feeding Behaviour of Silver Pomfret (Pampus argentens euphrasen) Fingerlings fed with Commercial Feed and Reared in Fibreglass Tanks. Asian Fisheries Society Manila, Philippine, 13: 191-199. Link, DOI

#### c) For edited symposia, special issues, etc., published in a journal

Korevaar H (1992). The nitrogen balance on intensive Dutch dairy farms: a review. In: A. A. Jongebreur et al. (Editors), Effects of Cattle and Pig Production Systems on the Environment: Livestock Production Science, 31: 17-27. Link, DOI

d) For books

AOAC (1990). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis, 15th Edition. Washington D.C. pp. 69-88. Link, DOI

Pelczar JR, Harley JP, Klein DA (1993). Microbiology: Concepts and Applications. McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, pp. 591-603. Link, DOI

e) Books, containing sections written by different authors

Kunev M (1979). Pig Fattening. In: A. Alexiev (Editor), Farm Animal Feeding. Vol. III. Feeding of Different Animal Species, Zemizdat, Sofia, p. 233-243 (Bg). Link, DOI In referring to a personal communication the two words are followed by the year, e.g. (Brown, J. M., personal communication, 1982). In this case initials are given in the text. Where available, URLs for the references should be provided.

#### Formulae, numbers and symbols

- Typewritten formulae are preferred. Subscripts and superscripts are important. Check disparities between zero (0) and the 1. letter 0, and between one (1) and the letter I.
- Describe all symbols immediately after the equation in which they are first used. 2
- 3. For simple fractions, use the solidus (/), e.g. 10 /38.
- 4. Equations should be presented into parentheses on the right-hand side, in tandem.
- Levels of statistical significance which can be used without further explanations are \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, and \*\*\*P < 0.001. 5.
- In the English articles, a decimal point should be used instead of a decimal comma. Use Symbol fonts for " $\pm$ "; " $\leq$ " and " $\geq$ " (avoid underline). 6.
- 7.
- In chemical formulae, valence of ions should be given, e.g. Ca2+ and CO32-, not as Ca++ or CO3. 8.
- Numbers up to 10 should be written in the text by words. Numbers above 1000 are recommended to be given as 10 powered 9.
- 10. Greek letters should be explained in the margins with their names as follows: Aa alpha, B $\beta$  beta,  $\Gamma\gamma$  gamma,  $\Delta\delta$  delta, Eε - epsilon, Zζ - zeta, Hη - eta, Θθ - theta, Īι - iota, Κκ - kappa, Λλ - lambda, Μμ - mu, Νν - nu, Ξξ - xi, Oo - omicron, Ππ pi, Pρ - rho, Σσ - sigma, Tτ - tau, Yu - ipsilon,  $\Phi \phi$  - phi, Xχ - chi, Ψψ - psi, Ωω - omega. Please avoid using math equations in Word whenever possible, as they have to be replaced by images in xml full text.

#### Abbreviations

Abbreviations should be presented in one paragraph, in the format: "term: definition". Please separate the items by ";". E.g. ANN: artificial neural network; CFS: closed form solution; ....

#### **Graphical Abstract**

Authors of accepted articles should provide a graphical abstract (a beautifully designed feature figure) to represent the paper aiming to catch the attention and interest of readers. Graphical abstract will be published online in the table of content. The graphical abstract should be colored, and kept within an area of 12 cm (width)  $\times$  6 cm (height) or with similar format. Image should have a minimum resolution of 300 dpi and line art 1200dpi.

Note: Height of the image should be no more than the width. Please avoid putting too much information into the graphical abstract as it occupies only a small space. Authors can provide the graphical abstract in the format of PDF, Word, PowerPoint, jpg, or png, after a manuscript is accepted for publication.

If you have decided to provide a Professional Graphical Abstract, please click here.



Thng A, Ting JX, Tay HR, Soh CY, Ong HC and Tey D (2020). The use of predicted energy values to understand the oil and fat variability in broilers. Online J. Anim. Feed

# **Review/Decisions/Processing**

Firstly, all manuscripts will be checked by Docol C, a plagiarism finding tool. The received papers with plagiarism rate of more than 40% will be rejected. Manuscripts that are judged to be of insufficient quality or unlikely to be competitive enough for publication will be returned to the authors at the initial stage. The remaining manuscripts go through a double-blind review process by two reviewers selected by section editor (SE) or deputy SE of OJAFR, who are research workers specializing in the relevant field of study. We always try to avoid delays in the reviewing process, but it relies on the time and cooperation of the referees that works without any remuneration, hence, it may take 2 weeks to 2 months. One unfavorable review means that the paper will not be published and possible decisions are: accept as is, minor revision, major revision, or reject. The corresponding authors should submit back their revisions within 14 days in the case of minor revision, or 30 days in the case of major revision.

To submit a revision please click here, fill out the form, and mark Revised", mention the article code (for example OJAFR-1108), attach the revision (MS word) and continue submission. Manuscripts with significant results are typically reviewed and published at the highest priority. After review and editing the article, a final formatted proof is sent to the corresponding author once again to apply all suggested corrections during the article process. The editor who received the final revisions from the corresponding authors shall not be hold responsible for any mistakes shown in the final publication.

The submissions will be processed free of charge for invited authors, authors of hot papers, and corresponding authors who are editorial board members of the Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research. This journal encourages the academic institutions in low-income countries to publish high quality scientific results, free of charges.

Plagiarism: There is an instant policy towards plagiarism (including self-plagiarism) in our journals. Manuscripts are screened for plagiarism by Docol@c, before or during publication, and if found they will be rejected at any stage of processing.

Declaration: After manuscript accepted for publication, a declaration form will be sent to the corresponding author who that is responsible to coauthors' agreements to publication of submitted work in OJAFR after any amendments arising from the peer review.

#### **Date of issue**

All accepted articles are published bimonthly around 25th of January, March, May, July, September and November, each year in full text on the Internet.

#### **Publication charges**

No submission and peer-reviewing charges are required. However, the publication costs are covered through article processing charges (APCs). There is a modest APC of 120 Euro( $\in$ ) editor fee for the processing of each primary accepted paper (1000-4000 words) to encourage high-quality submissions. APCs are only charged for articles that pass the pre-publication checks and are published. A surcharge will be placed on any article that is over 4000 words in length to cover the considerable additional processing costs. Payment can be made by credit card, bank transfer, money order or check. Instruction for payment is sent during publication process as soon as manuscript is accepted. Meanwhile, this journal encourages the academic institutions in lowincome countries to publish high quality scientific results, free of charges.

WORD COUNT	PRICE*
1000-4000 words (medium article)	€120
over 4000 words (long article)	€150

\* The prices are valid until 30<sup>th</sup> December 2021.

#### The Waiver policy

The submission fee will be waived for invited authors, authors of hot papers, and corresponding authors who are editorial board members of the *Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research*. The Journal will consider requests to waive the fee for cases of financial hardship (for high quality manuscripts and upon acceptance for publication). Requests for waiver of the submission fee must be submitted via individual cover letter by the corresponding author and cosigned by an appropriate institutional official to verify that no institutional or grant funds are available for the payment of the fee. Letters including the manuscript title and manuscript ID number should be sent to: editors@ojafr.ir. It is expected that waiver requests will be processed and authors will be notified within two business day.

#### The OA policy

Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research is an Open Access journal which means that all content is freely available without charge to the user or his/her institution. Users are allowed to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or link to the full texts of the articles, or use them for any other lawful purpose, without asking prior permission from the publisher or the author. This is in accordance with the <u>BOAI definition of Open Access</u>.

#### **Submission Preparation Checklist**

Authors are required to check off their submission's compliance with all of the following items, and submissions may be returned to authors that do not adhere to the following guidelines:

- The submission has not been previously published, nor is it before another journal for consideration (or an explanation has been provided in -Comments to the Editor).
- The submission file is in Microsoft Word, RTF, or PDF document file format.
- Where available, URLs for the references have been provided.
- The text is double-spaced; uses a 12-point font; and all illustrations, figures, and tables are placed within the text at the appropriate points, rather than at the end.
- The text adheres to the stylistic and bibliographic requirements outlined in the Author Guidelines.



ABOUT US CONTACT US

US PRIVACY POLICY

Scienceline Publication, Ltd Editorial Offices: Ömer Nasuhi Bilmen Road, Dönmez Apart., G Block, No: 1/6, Yakutiye, Erzurum/25100, TURKEY Atatürk University, Erzurum 25100, Turkey 796-704 Montrose St, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3T 2N2, CANADA Phone: +90 538 770 8824 (TURKEY); +1 209 732 4265 (CANADA) Homepage: www.science-line.com Emails: administrator@science-line.com ; saeid.azar@atauni.edu.tr

# SCIENCELINE PUBLICATION

Scienceline Publication, Ltd is a limited liability non-profit non-stock corporation incorporated and registered in Turkey (Company No. 0757086921600001). Scienceline online journals that concurrently belong to many societies, universities and research institutes, publishes internationally peer-reviewed open access articles and believe in sharing of new scientific knowledge and vital research in the fields of life and natural sciences, animal sciences, engineering, art, linguistic, management, social and economic sciences.

**Biomedicine** 

Journal of

SCIENCE

Submit Online >>

Life Science

and Biomedicine

Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research







ISSN 2228-7701; Bi-monthly View Journal | Editorial Board Email: editors@ojafr.ir Submit Online >>

#### Journal of World's Poultry Research



**Journal of World's Poultry Research** 

Studies

ISSN: 2322-455X; Quarterly View Journal I Editorial Board Email: editor@jwpr.science-line.com Submit Online >>

Iournal **Civil Engineering** 

Journal of Civil Engineering and

Urbanism

ISSN 2252-0430; Bi-monthly View Journal I Editorial Board Email: ojceu@ojceu.ir Submit Online >>

and Urbanism

World's Veterinary Journal



World's Veterinary Journal

ISSN: 2322-4568; Quarterly View Journal | Editorial Board Email: editor@wvj.science-line.com Submit Online >>

Journal of Educational and Management Studies

ISSN: 2251-9939; Bi-monthly

View Journal | Editorial Board

Email: editors@jlsb.science-line.com

Journal of Life Sciences and



2 al and Mana ISSN: 2322-4770; Quarterly View Journal | Editorial Board Email: info@jems.science-line.com Submit Online >>

Asian Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Researches



Asian Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Researches ISSN: 2322-4789; Quarterly View Journal I Editorial Board Email: editor@ajmpr.science-line.com Submit Online >>

#### Journal of World's Electrical Engineering and Technology



ISSN: 2322-5114; Irregular View Journal | Editorial Board Email: editor@jweet.science-line.com Submit Online >>

Journal of Art and Architecture Asian Journal of Social and **Economic Sciences** 



ISSN: 2383-0948; Quarterly View Journal | Editorial Board Email: ajses@science-line.com Submit Online >>

Journal of Applied Business and Finance Researches



ISSN: 2382-9907; Quarterly View Journal I Editorial Board Email: jabfr@science-line.com Submit Online >>

Scientific Journal of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering





ISSN: 2383-0980; Quarterly View Journal I Editorial Board Email: sjmie@science-line.com Submit Online >>

Scienceline is a non-profit organisation inspired by research funders and led by scholars. Our mission is to help researchers accelerate discovery and innovation by operating a platform for research communication that encourages and recognises the most responsible behaviours in science. Scienceline Publications, Ltd is a limited liability non-profit non-stock corporation registered in the State of Erzurum, Turkey, with company number 0757086921600001, and branch number 18677/25379 at the address: Scienceline Publications, Ltd

Ömer Nasuhi Bilmen Road, Dönmez Apart., G1/6, Yakutiye, Erzurum 25100, Turkey



Science . Science Line Pr ISSN: 2383-1553; Irregular View Journal I Editorial Board Email: jaas@science-line.com Submit Online >>

ABOUT AIMS AND SCOPE LEADERSHIP TEAM WHO WE WORK WITH POLICIES AND PUBLICATION ETHICS TERMS AND CONDITIONS CONTACT

Scienceline Publications, Ltd. Subject to a Creative Commons Attribution license (CC BY-NC 4.0), except where otherwise noted.